operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 2 Debtors' motion for an order extending debtors' exclusive periods within which to file a plan of reorganization and 3 solicit votes thereon. 4 5 Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for 6 7 entry of an order (a) establishing information sharing 8 procedures, and (b) granting related relief. 9 10 Debtors' motion pursuant to Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code 11 and Bankruptcy Rule 4001 for an order modifying the automatic 12 stay to permit payments of defense costs under certain 13 insurance policies. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Transcribed by: Penina Wolicki 21 eScribers, LLC 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 22 23 New York, NY 10040 24 (973)406-2250 25 operations@escribers.net

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 2 APPEARANCES: DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP 3 4 Attorneys for Debtors 5 450 Lexington Avenue 6 New York, NY 10017 7 8 BY: BRIAN RESNICK, ESQ. 9 AMELIA T.R. STARR, ESQ. 10 MICHELLE M. MCGREAL, ESQ. 11 12 13 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP Attorneys for Official Creditors' Committee 14 15 1177 Avenue of the Americas 16 New York, NY 10036 17 18 BY: ADAM C. ROGOFF, ESQ. 19 ANUPAMA YERRAMALLI, ESQ. 20 21 22 23 24 25

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

```
4
 1
 2
    WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
 3
          Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A.
 4
          as Second Out DIP Agent
 5
          787 Seventh Avenue
 6
          New York, NY 10019
 7
 8
    BY: ANA M. ALFONSO, ESQ.
 9
10
11
    COZEN O'CONNOR P.C.
12
          Attorneys for Various Insurance Companies
13
          457 Haddonfield Road
          Suite 300
14
15
          Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
16
17
    BY: ARTHUR J. ABRAMOWITZ, ESQ.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                     eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
```

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

```
1
 2
    MCKOOL SMITH
          Attorneys for Shareholder Group
 3
 4
          One Bryant Park
 5
          47th Floor
          New York, NY 10036
 6
 7
 8
    BY:
          MICHAEL R. CARNEY, ESQ.
 9
          PETER S. GOODMAN, ESQ.
10
11
12
    MOONEY, GREEN, SAINDON, MURPHY & WELCH, P.C.
13
          Attorneys for United Mine Workers
14
          1920 L Street, N.W.
15
          Suite 400
16
          Washington, DC 20036
17
18
    BY: PAUL A. GREEN, ESQ.
19
20
21
    ALSO PRESENT: (TELEPHONICALLY)
22
          JOSEPH W. BEAN, ESQ., Patriot Coal
23
          MONTY L. KEHL, Mesirow Financial Consulting
24
25
```

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

PATRIOT COAL CORPORATION, ET AL.

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Good morning. Please have a seat. All right. How are you? Welcome back to Bowling Green. It's our grand reopening.

We have a number of people on the phone. Let me go through who is with us. We have Mr. Joseph Bean from Patriot; Ms. Chan from Aurelius; Mr. Gruzka from Chicago Fundamental Investment Partners, I believe; Mr. Kehl from Mesiro; Mr. Persinger on behalf of the Southernland Company; Mr. Thau, GMP Securities; Ms. Thompson, Barclays Capital; Mr. or Ms. Tiwana for CRT Capital Group; and Ms. Wong from Kramer Levin.

Is anybody else on the phone who'd like to note their appearance?

Okay.

MR. RESNICK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, this is Brian Resnick of Davis Polk & Wardwell on behalf of Patriot and its affiliated debtors. Thank you for having us this morning. And it's good to be back at One Bowling Green, and thank you for accommodating us during the storm and agreeing to hold the hearing in Brooklyn, and then canceling that for the second storm. And it's nice to be back here at Bowling Green.

So before I dive into the agenda, I just wanted to mention that Susan Golden, on behalf of the U.S. Trustee's Office, e-mailed that she's stuck in horrendous traffic. But

9

23

24

25

she said that she had -- she was just here to monitor and had 1 2 nothing to say and --THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. RESNICK: -- said we could go ahead without her. 5 So on the agenda today are two motions filed by the 6 debtors and one filed by the committee. Unless Your Honor 7 would prefer a different order, it would probably make sense to 8 take the two uncontested --THE COURT: Sure. 10 MR. RESNICK: -- matters first, starting with the debtors' exclusivity motion, followed by the committee's 11 information sharing procedures motion. Then we can turn to the 12 13 debtors' lift stay motion, which does have one limited 14 objection pending. 15 So as we turn to the exclusivity motion, it seems like an appropriate time to provide the Court with a brief status of 16 17 the case and what we have accomplished and what we --18 THE COURT: Sure. MR. RESNICK: -- have yet to accomplish. 19 So as Your Honor is well aware, the first four months 20 21 of this case have been very active and, we think, quite 22 productive. Debtors and their advisors have been working quite

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

diligently around the clock to stabilize their business and

take steps necessary to ultimately develop a confirmable plan

of reorganization, all while remaining dedicated to the goal of

preserving our very valuable workforce.

This progress was accomplished during an increasingly challenging business environment, and while defending against multiple motion to transfer venue of these cases, a motion to appoint an equity committee, and various automatic stay issues, among others. Despite these challenges, the debtors have successfully completed their transition into Chapter 11, including obtaining critical first-day relief to continue to operate their business, obtain approval of a complex 802 million dollar DIP facility, which is funding the cases, preparing and filing the debtors' schedules and statements of financial affairs, participating in a two-part Section 341 meeting, et cetera.

At the outset of these cases, the debtors also initiated communications and negotiations with key stakeholders, including the creditors' committee, which has continued and will continue during these cases, as the debtors move towards their goal of completing a plan of reorganization and creating a competitive cost structure.

The debtors have also begun the process of addressing the costs associated with their labor and retiree obligations. At this time, the debtors have entered into a protective stipulation with the union governing the sharing of confidential information and have provided the union with a substantial amount of information through a virtual data room.

PATRIOT COAL CORPORATION, ET AL.

The debtors have negotiated and entered into coal supply agreement stipulations, restructured several key coal supply agreements; and the debtors are in the process of analyzing thousands of leases and executory contracts to identify those that are beneficial to the estates and those that should be rejected. Thus far, the debtors have rejected seventy-eight equipment leases.

Moreover, the claims analysis and reconciliation process is underway. Intercompany accounts analyses are underway. The debtors are processing reclamation claims, and are on track to file their reclamation report on November 30th. A bar date for the filing of claims has been established, and bar date notices and employee and retiree letters have all been mailed out.

So as you can see, Your Honor, tangible process (sic) has been made. However, these are very complex cases, and there is a lot more that needs to be done. Thus, at this stage in the case, the debtors believe that an extension of exclusivity is warranted. Specifically, an extension of exclusivity would enable the debtors to continue to refine their business model, in order to deliver both a more efficient cost structure and future revenue growth.

Specifically, one of the primary restructuring initiatives is to achieve savings with respect to the debtors' labor and retiree obligations, an effort that has just begun

and may involve the debtors' use of the 1113/1114 process,
which, as Your Honor knows, takes some time. After the bar
date passes on December 14th, the debtors will need time to
analyze, reconcile, and if and when appropriate, object to

5 claims. And of course, at this stage in the case, the debtors

6 are understandably in no position to develop a plan of

reorganization reflecting the restructuring initiatives that are underway, or to secure the liquidity necessary to fund an

9 emergence.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Accordingly, Your Honor, the debtors are seeking, pursuant to Section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, an extension of their exclusive period by 180 days, which we think is the appropriate amount of time at this juncture, from November 6th, which was extended pursuant to Your Honor's bridge order, which would take that to May 5th, 2013, and consequently the extension of the right to solicit votes in a plan to July 4th, 2013.

We believe good cause exists to grant these extensions, and if Your Honor has any questions or would like me to walk through the factors, I'd be happy.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Does the committee want to add anything?

MR. ROGOFF: Good morning, Your Honor. Adam Rogoff,
Kramer Levin, on behalf of the committee. The committee did
not object and does not object to the requested extension. It

has not objected for a couple of reasons. One, we agree that
there is much that still needs to be done. And we think that

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 accomplish what does need to be done over the next six months.

the six-month request is a reasonable opportunity to try to

5 We have had discussions with the company, particularly

6 regarding information access, diligence, and the like,

including the committee in various processes without limiting,

for example, the process of dealing with the labor and pension

9 claims and how the company intends to address that.

And so in short, there's much that needs to be done. We think that six months is a right time for the company working with the committee to try to accomplish that. Our not objecting today is not an indication that -- as to what our position would be for any further extensions of time. But we look forward to working with the company over the next six months and trying to make tangible progress towards these Chapter 11 cases.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Rogoff. Does anyone else wish to be heard with respect to the debtors' request to extend exclusivity?

All right. I'm going to grant your application.

This is probably a good time, just to say, as you noted, that there are pending motions to change venue. It had been my hope and expectation that I would have given you a decision by now, but we had Hurricane Sandy. And

received.

THE COURT: Okay.

	notwithstanding our, I think, rather remarkable efforts of the				
	court staff here and my personal staff to keep working out of				
	my apartment and remotely and on buses and everything that we				
	can think of, it has been very difficult to continue to move				
	forward at our customary pace. But I can assure you, you're				
	going to have a decision in the very near future.				
	MR. RESNICK: Thank you, Your Honor.				
	THE COURT: All right.				
	MS. YERRAMALLI: Good morning, Your Honor.				
	THE COURT: Good morning.				
	MS. YERRAMALLI: Anu Yerramalli of Kramer Levin on				
	behalf of the committee.				
	THE COURT: All right.				
	MS. YERRAMALLI: Before the Court today is the				
	committee's 1102 motion to allow the committee to fulfill their				
	statutory duties to establish procedures for communication with				
	general unsecured creditors. These procedures were shared with				
	the debtors in advance of filing, and we took comments from				
	them that have been incorporated.				
	THE COURT: Okay.				
	MS. YERRAMALLI: The motion was also shared with Ms.				
	Schwartz at the U.S. Trustee's Office, and there have been no				
	objections filed with respect to the motion or other comments				
-					

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. YERRAMALLI: If Your Honor has questions, I can answer the questions or I could explain the procedures for the record; whichever you prefer. THE COURT: I've read the papers. So I'll just ask if anyone else would like to add anything. All right. Very well. MS. YERRAMALLI: Thank you, Your Honor. We'll submit an order. THE COURT: Great. MS. STARR: Good morning, Your Honor. Amelia Starr from Davis Polk & Wardwell on behalf of the debtors. I'm here to address the motion for modification of the automatic stay, such that the insurance policy from XL can be accessed by certain former directors and officers, Mr. Whiting and Mr. Schroeder, in connection with the securities class action that's been recently filed. THE COURT: [Shray-der]. MS. STARR: [Shray-der]. My apologies, Mr. Schroeder. This, I think, is listed as disputed, but I believe that we've now resolved the issues. So I can just briefly explain. THE COURT: Okay. MS. STARR: The remedy that we are seeking here is the lifting of the automatic stay with respect to the XL policy.

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

ACE did have an objection to that motion, because of a

particular provision of the order relating to reporting.

1	THE COURT: Right.				
2	2 MS. STARR: We have revised the order so that				
3	THE COURT: Okay.				
4	MS. STARR: and we can submit to Your Honor a clean				
5	version and a black-line showing the change.				
6	THE COURT: All right. Do you have that now?				
7	7 MS. STARR: Yes. Your Honor, the change that has bee				
8	made to accommodate ACE's concern is on page 3 of the order,				
9	the paragraph at the top. You'll see that the word "insurance				
10	providers" has been deleted and it's been substituted with				
11	"XL", so that it's now clear that the order requires reporting				
12	by XL with respect to exhaustion of the XL policy. With that				
13	change, it's my understanding that ACE no longer objects.				
14	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Your Honor, Arthur Abramowitz of				
15	Cozen O'Connor on behalf of ACE. I would note that it's also				
16	reestablished on the prior page in the last paragraph that				
17	refers exclusively to the XL policy as well. So long as it				
18	applies only to XL, ACE has no objection to the relief				
19	requested.				
20	THE COURT: Okay. I think I'm a little confused. So				
21	can you I thought the objection was that by its terms, was				
22	that there's a confidentiality provision and that the insurer				
23	3 was not going to agree to provide any information.				
24	MS. STARR: I think there are there're two				

25 basically we sought relief primarily with respect to the ACE

1	policy sorry, the XL policy which we were just discussing				
2	If the Court is not willing or is not inclined to grant our				
3	motion to lift the stay, then we asked, in the alternative, for				
4	an order clarifying that the ACE policy, which is actually				
5	about 80 million dollars up the insurance stack, and benefits				
6	only the directors and officers, that the Court issue an order				
7	7 stating that that's not subject to the automatic stay.				
8	ACE does have an objection to that relief, which we				
9	may not even have to get to, because they object to releasing				
10	information about the policy. The order that's before Your				
11	Honor, which relates only to XL, XL has reviewed, and has no				
12	objections to. And they have not expressed any concern to us				
13	in				
14	THE COURT: I'm sorry. You're just going to have to				
explain this one more time.					
16	MS. STARR: Sure.				
17	THE COURT: Because I've now gone from when last				
18	seen and I looked at your reply to the objection, I was looking				
19	at something that had only to do with ACE.				
20	MS. STARR: Oh, so				
21	THE COURT: Am I missing something? When what				
22	MS. STARR: Well				
23	THE COURT: what I'm looking at has to do with the				

objection of ACE. And the order has to do with ACE. And so I

completely don't understand what's going on.

24

25

MS. STARR: Okay. Let me -- I apologize. Let me start again. There are -- we brought a motion -- our original motion seeks one form of relief and then in the alternative, a second form of relief. So the first form of relief we seek is a stay -- a lift stay for the XL policy, which is the first policy in the insurance stack, and the policy that we are required, by contract, to seek to access.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. STARR: XL has no objection to this, hasn't appeared. ACE expressed an objection to that XL piece, solely on the grounds that in the XL order, it said that the "insurance providers" will provide information about the exhaustion of the policy.

THE COURT: I see.

MS. STARR: So we, to try to accommodate ACE, changed "insurance provider" to "XL", so it was clear that this bound XL only. I think it was clear it bound XL only anyway, but now it's doubly clear.

If Your Honor is inclined to grant that order, we are done. If Your Honor -- and there are no objections to that order now. If Your Honor is not inclined to lift the stay, we have asked, in the alternative, for a separate relief, which is with respect to the ACE policy, which is in -- Patriot has an insurance -- has about 150 million of insurance, the first 80 of which XL is the very first of the policies, applies to the

	FAIRIOI COAL CORFORATION, ET AL.			
	directors and the officers in the company. Starting after 80			
	million dollars, there are yet another group of insurers who			
	cover just the Ds and Os. ACE is the first policy in that			
1	second piece of the stack.			
ı	THE COURT: Right.			
	MS. STARR: If Your Honor grants the first motion,			
	then we have no need to get to the ACE motion. And so at that			
	point, it becomes moot. If Your Honor doesn't grant the motion			
	to lift the stay, then there is an objection from ACE that we			
	would need to discuss.			
i	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: Maybe I can crystallize it just a			
1	little more, if I could? We have an excess policy.			
	THE COURT: You have an excess policy. Right.			
1	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: We may never get there.			
1	THE COURT: Right.			
	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: And I think what			
	THE COURT: So that's why changing the language to			
	just deal with XL defers your issue to another day.			
	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: That's correct. And the point is			
	that the parties are reserving their rights. We may or may not			
	be reached. We think that this is an accommodation where			
	clearly XL has no issue. We do. At the point, if and when it			
	ever reaches us, we'll either reach some type of consent or be			

back in court. But it seemed to us that this was a very good

resolution without turning the world upside down on this issue.

1	TUE COIDE. All right I undergrand now. It is the				
2	kind of issue that also could have been resolved without having				
3	to file papers, for future reference. I think that a couple of				
4	phone calls would have been a better way to deal with it,				
5	but				
6	MR. ABRAMOWITZ: We just for the record, we had				
7	7 numerous phone calls and e-mails on the issue.				
8	8 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Thank you for the				
9	clarification. Does anyone else wish to be heard with respect				
10	to the debtors' request for an order granting this limited				
11	1 modification of the automatic stay?				
12	All right, then. We will enter you'll give us the				
13	version that you just handed me, the revised order.				
14	MS. STARR: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.				
15	THE COURT: And we'll enter that one. Okay.				
16	MS. STARR: Thank you very much.				
17	THE COURT: Thank you very much. Is that all we have?				
18	MR. RESNICK: That is, Your Honor.				
19	THE COURT: Okay.				
20	MR. ROGOFF: May actually, Your Honor, if I could				
21	just give a brief status update				
22	THE COURT: Sure.				
23	MR. ROGOFF: on the engagement of the committee				
24	professionals, just so Your Honor knows where that stands from				

the last hearing that we had.

Your Honor had approved, subject to working out the 1 2 language of the order with the U.S. Trustee's Office, the 3 engagement of Mesirow and Houlihan Lokey as financial advisors 4 for the committee. With respect to Mesirow, I believe -- and because Ms. Golden is not here and Ms. Schwartz is unavailable, 5 I don't want to say that it's definitive, but I believe that 6 7 they are done on the form of the order. There were revised orders that were provided to the U.S. Trustee's Office. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. ROGOFF: And I believe everything is in agreement. There may be one clarification that we just need to provide --11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. ROGOFF: -- and explain that there's no overlap on certain intercompany claims analysis. With respect to 14 Houlihan, unfortunately, they have not yet reached an agreement 15 16 on the form of the order and the open issues. Both as Your 17 Honor mentioned, the displacement that happened as a result of 18 the hurricane, Ms. Schwartz, who I understand has had an 19 accident has also been unavailable, understandably. And so counsel for Houlihan had been reaching out to the U.S. 20 21 Trustee's Office to resolve it. I just wanted to underscore 22 that the delay is not for a lack of trying to get it

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

MR. ROGOFF: -- by the parties. It's just either act

23

24

25

resolved --

THE COURT: Sure.

PATRIOT COAL CORPORATION, ET AL.

1	of God or misfortune that has interceded. I do hope that we				
2	will be in a position to notice or to submit to chambers				
3	directly the Mesirow retention order				
4	THE COURT: Okay.				
5	MR. ROGOFF: which I believe is done. And on				
6	Houlihan, hopefully their counsel and the U.S. Trustee will be				
7	able to coordinate on the order.				
8	THE COURT: Okay. Very well.				
9	MR. ROGOFF: Thank you.				
10	THE COURT: We'll stand by and wait. Thank you. All				
11	1 right.				
12	Did I understand that there was also a request for a				
13	conference on something?				
14	MS. STARR: Yes, Your Honor. A request for a				
15	conference in connection with the equity committee motion,				
16	which we asked to take in chambers if possible.				
17	THE COURT: Okay. We will do that. All right. Very				
18	well. We're adjourned, then. Thank you.				
19	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:26 AM)				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	Pg 21 01 22			
				21
1				
2	INDEX			
3				
4	RULINGS			
5		Page	Line	
6	Debtors' motion to extend exclusivity,	11	21	
7	granted.			
8	Committee's motion to establish information	13	6	
9	sharing procedures, granted.			
10	Debtors' motion to modify automatic stay for	18	15	
11	defense costs, granted.			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	eScribers, LLC (973) 406-22 operations@escribers.net www.escr	250		
	operations@escribers.net www.escr	ibers.net	3	

CERTIFICATION I, Penina Wolicki, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Penina waish. PENINA WOLICKI AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D-569 eScribers 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040 Date: November 16, 2012

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net