## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 1 of 105

1

1 2 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 3 EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI 4 Case No. 12-51502 5 - - --x 6 In the Matter of: 7 8 PATRIOT COAL CORPORATION, et al., 9 Debtors. 10 11 12 - -x 13 14 United States Bankruptcy Court 15 111 South 10th Street 4th Floor 16 17 St. Louis, Missouri 18 19 August 20, 2013 20 10:16 AM 21 22 BEFORE: 23 HON. KATHY A. SURRATT-STATES 24 CHIEF U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE 25

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 2 of 105

2

1 2 Motion of the Debtors for Entry of an Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 363(b), 1113, 1114(e) and 105(a) and Fed. R. 3 Bankr. P. 9019(a) Authorizing Entry Into Collective Bargaining 4 5 Agreements and Memorandum of Understanding with the United Mine 6 Workers of America [ECF No. 4460] 7 Debtors' Motion for Supplemental DIP Financing Order 8 9 Authorizing, Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 363 and 364, (i) Amendment to the DIP Financing, (ii) Engagement of the First 10 11 Out DIP Agent in Connection Therewith, (iii) Payment of Fees 12 Related Thereto, and (iv) Waiver of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h) 13 Stay [ECF No. 4417] 14 15 Debtors' Third Motion for an Order Extending Debtors' Exclusive Periods Within Which to File a Plan of Reorganization and 16 17 Solicit Votes Thereon [ECF No. 4415] 18 19 Application of the Debtors for Authority to Employ and Retain 20 GCP Legal Advisors, LLC as Special Claims Administration 21 Counsel for the Debtors Nunc Pro Tunc to July 15, 2013 [ECF No. 22 4414] 23 24 25

### Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 3 of 105

3

1 2 Application of the Debtors for Authority to Employ and Retain Duff & Phelps, LLC as Valuation Services Provider for the 3 4 Debtors Effective July 11, 2013 [ECF No. 4428] 5 6 The Salaried Retiree Committee's Motion to Approve VEBA Trust 7 and to Take Possession of Funds [ECF No. 4409] 8 9 Omnibus Objection to Claims 1449 and others (re Raleigh Mine & Industrial Supply Inc.) by Debtor [ECF No. 4307] 10 11 12 Omnibus Objection to Claims 2325 and others (re CSE Corp) by 13 Debtor [ECF No. 4308] 14 15 Omnibus Objection to Claims 315 and others (re J.H. Fletcher & Co.) by Debtor [ECF No. 4309] 16 17 18 Debtors' Objection to Claims of Fairchild International [ECF 19 No. 4317] 20 21 Debtors' Tenth Omnibus Objection to Claims [ECF No. 4331] 22 23 Debtors' Eleventh Omnibus Objection to Claims [ECF No. 4332] 24 25 Debtors' Twelfth Omnibus Objection to Claims [ECF No. 4333]

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 4 of 105

Debtors' Thirteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims [ECF No. 4334] Transcribed by: Hana Copperman eScribers, LLC 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040 (973)406-2250operations@escribers.net

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 5 of 105

1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP 4 Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession 5 450 Lexington Avenue 6 New York, NY 10017 7 8 BY: MARSHALL S. HUEBNER, ESQ. 9 ELLIOTT MOSKOWITZ, ESQ. 10 MICHELLE MCGREAL, ESQ. 11 BRIAN RESNICK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY) 12 13 14 BRYAN CAVE LLP 15 Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession One Metropolitan Square 16 17 211 North Broadway 18 Suite 3600 19 St. Louis, MO 63102 20 21 BY: LAURA HUGHES, ESQ. 22 BRIAN C. WALSH, ESQ. 23 24 25

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 6 of 105

6

1 2 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 3 Office of the United States Trustee 4 111 South 10th Street Suite 6.353 5 6 St Louis, MO 63102 7 8 BY: LEONORA S. LONG, ESQ. 9 10 11 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP Attorneys for the Official Committee of Unsecured 12 Creditors 13 1177 Avenue of the Americas 14 15 New York, NY 10036 16 17 GREGORY GENNADY PLOTKO, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY) BY: 18 P. BRADLEY O'NEILL, ESQ. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 7 of 105

```
1
 2
    CARMODY MACDONALD P.C.
 3
           Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured
 4
           Creditors
 5
           120 South Central Avenue
 6
           Suite 1800
 7
           St. Louis, MO 63105
 8
 9
    BY:
           GREGORY D. WILLARD, ESQ.
10
           ANGELA SCHISLER, ESQ.
11
12
    WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
13
14
           Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A.
15
           as Pre-Petition Agent and Second Out DIP Agent
16
           787 Seventh Avenue
17
           New York, NY 10019
18
19
    BY:
           ANA M. ALFONSO, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
20
           MARGOT B. SCHONHOLTZ, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
21
22
23
24
25
```

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 8 of 105

STAHL COWEN CROWLEY ADDIS LLC Attorneys for Official Committee of Salaried Retirees 55 West Monroe Street Suite 1200 Chicago, IL 60603 BY: JON D. COHEN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY) ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP Attorneys for Peabody Energy Corporation 7700 Forsyth Boulevard Suite 1800 St. Louis, MO 63105 STEVEN N. COUSINS, ESQ. BY: 

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 9 of 105

```
1
 2
    LATHROP & GAGE LLP
 3
           Attorneys for Agent for Second Out DIP Lender
 4
           7701 Forsyth Boulevard
           Suite 500
 5
 6
           St. Louis, MO 63105
 7
 8
    BY:
           RANDY SCHERK, ESQ.
 9
10
11
    LATHROP & GAGE LLP
           Attorneys for Caterpillar Financial Services Corporation
12
           and Caterpillar Global Mining
13
14
           7701 Forsyth Boulevard
15
           Suite 500
           St. Louis, MO 63105
16
17
18
    BY:
           DANIEL D. DOYLE, ESQ.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 10 of 105

10 1 2 STITES & HARBISON PLLC 3 Attorneys for Argonaut Insurance Company, Indemnity 4 National Insurance Company, U.S. Specialty Insurance, and 5 Westchester Fire Insurance Company 6 250 West Main Street 7 Suite 2300 8 Lexington, KY 40507 9 10 BY: BLAINE EARLY, ESQ. 11 12 13 KIRKLAND & ELLIS 14 Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Noteholders 15 601 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022 16 17 18 BY: STEPHEN HESSLER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 11 of 105

```
1
 2
    MCGUIREWOODS LLP
 3
           Attorneys for the Ohio Valley Coal Company
 4
           EQT Plaza
 5
           625 Liberty Avenue
 6
           23rd Floor
 7
           Pittsburgh, PA 15222
 8
 9
    BY:
           LEONARD J. MARSICO, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
10
11
12
    THE PREVIANT LAW FIRM, S.C.
13
           Attorneys for United Mine Workers of America
           1555 North RiverCenter Drive
14
15
           Suite 202
           Milwaukee, WI 53212
16
17
18
    BY:
           FREDERICK PERILLO, ESQ.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 12 of 105

```
1
 2
    NIEMANN ROURKE LLC
 3
           Attorneys for Lexon Surety Group and Aspen American
 4
           Insurance
 5
           1650 Des Peres Road
 6
           Suite 150
 7
           St. Louis, MO 63131
 8
 9
    BY:
           JOHN W. ROURKE, ESQ.
10
11
12
    DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
13
           Attorneys for U.S. Bank National Association, as
           indenture trustee to the HoldCo notes
14
15
           51 West 52nd Street
           New York, NY 10019
16
17
18
    BY:
           ERIC LOPEZ SCHNABEL, ESQ.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 13 of 105

1 2 HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP 3 Attorneys for Citibank N.A., First Out DIP Agent 4 190 Carondelet Plaza 5 Suite 600 6 St. Louis, MO 63105 7 8 BY: MARSHALL TURNER, ESQ. 9 10 11 MOONEY, GREEN, SAINDON, MURPHY & WELCH, P.C. 12 Attorneys for UMWA Plans and Trusts 13 1920 L Street, N.W. 14 Suite 400 15 Washington, D.C. 20036 16 17 BY: RICHARD C. WELCH, ESQ. 18 19 20 UNITED MINE WORKERS OF AMERICA 21 18354 Quantico Gateway Drive 22 Suite 200 23 Triangle, VA, 22172 24 25 BY: GRANT CRANDALL, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

## Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 14 of 105

```
1
 2
    WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
 3
           Attorneys for Citibank N.A., First Out DIP Agent
 4
           767 Fifth Avenue
 5
           New York, NY 10153
 6
 7
    BY:
           JOSEPH H. SMOLINSKY, ESQ.
 8
           ANDREA C. SAAVEDRA, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
 9
10
    JONES DAY
11
12
           Attorneys for Peabody Energy Corporation
13
           North Point
           901 Lakeside Avenue
14
15
           Cleveland, OH 44114
16
17
    BY:
           JACK M. NEWMAN, JR., ESQ.
18
           PAULA WILSON, ESQ.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	15
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE CLERK: The United States Bankruptcy Court for the
3	Eastern District of Missouri is now in session, the Honorable
4	Kathy A. Surratt-States presiding.
5	THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.
6	All right. This is the status hearing in the Patriot
7	Coal Corporation case. Let me get appearances first in the
8	courtroom.
9	MR. HUEBNER: Good morning, Your Honor. For the
10	record, I am Marshall Huebner of Davis Polk & Wardwell on
11	behalf of Patriot and its debtor affiliates. With me in the
12	courtroom today are my colleagues from Davis Polk, Elliott
13	Moskowitz and Michelle McGreal.
14	THE COURT: Good morning. Good morning.
15	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor.
16	MR. WILLARD: Good morning, Your Honor. May it please
17	the Court, Greg Willard and Angie Schisler from Carmody
18	MacDonald on behalf of the official unsecured creditors'
19	committee. With me at counsel's table is our cocounsel, Mr.
20	Brad O'Neill, from the Kramer Levin firm.
21	THE COURT: Good morning.
22	MR. PERILLO: Good morning, Your Honor. Fred Perillo
23	on behalf of the United Mine Workers of America. On the
24	telephone today is the general counsel of the United Mine
25	Workers, Mr. Grant Crandall.

1 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. MS. LONG: Good morning, Your Honor. Leonora Long on 2 behalf of the United States Trustee. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. 4 5 MS. HUGHES: Good morning. Laura Hughes and Brian 6 Walsh of Bryan Cave, local counsel for the debtors. 7 THE COURT: Good morning. 8 MR. TURNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Marshall 9 Turner, local counsel for Citibank as agent for the first out 10 DIP lenders. Also in the court is lead counsel, Joe Smolinsky, 11 from Weil, Gotshal. 12 MR. SMOLINSKY: Good morning, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. TURNER: And I believe on the phone is Andrea 14 15 Saavedra. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. COUSINS: Good morning, Your Honor. Steven Cousins of Armstrong Teasdale, here representing Peabody Energy 18 Corporation. I'm joined today by Jack Newman and Paula Wilson 19 20 of the Jones Day law firm. 21 THE COURT: Good morning. 22 MR. COUSINS: Thank you very much. 23 MR. SCHNABEL: Good morning. Good morning, Your 24 Eric Lopez Schnabel of Dorsey & Whitney on behalf of Honor. 25 U.S. Bank, as indenture trustee to the HoldCo notes.

11	
	17
1	THE COURT: Good morning.
2	MR. WELCH: Good morning, Your Honor. Richard Welch
3	with Mooney, Green, Saindon, Murphy & Welch on behalf of the
4	UMWA 1974 plan.
5	THE COURT: Good morning.
6	MR. SCHERK: Good morning, Your Honor. Randy Scherck
7	from Lathrop & Gage on behalf of Bank of America, as agent for
8	second out DIP lender. On the phone are lead counsel Margot
9	Schonholtz and Ana Alfonso of the Willkie Farr & Gallagher
10	firm.
11	THE COURT: Good morning.
12	MR. SCHERK: Thank you.
13	MR. DOYLE: Good morning, Your Honor. Dan Doyle,
14	Lathrop & Gage LLP, representing Caterpillar Financial Services
15	Corporation and Caterpillar Global Mining.
16	THE COURT: Good morning.
17	MR. EARLY: Good morning, Your Honor. Blaine Early
18	from Stites & Harbison on behalf of five of the surety
19	companies: Argonaut Insurance, Indemnity National, Travelers,
20	U.S. Specialty, and Westchester Fire.
21	THE COURT: Good morning.
22	MR. ROURKE: Morning, Your Honor. John Rourke,
23	representing the Lexon Surety Group as well as Aspen American
24	Insurance.
25	THE COURT: Good morning.

18

1 All right. And then on the phone we have Mr. Resnick 2 on behalf of the debtors. MR. RESNICK: Good morning, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. Mr. Plotko on behalf of the 4 5 creditors' committee. Good morning, Your Honor. 6 MR. PLOTKO: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Good morning. Ms. Alfonso and Ms. Schonholtz on behalf of Bank of America. 8 9 MS. ALFONSO: Good morning, Your Honor. 10 MS. SCHONHOLTZ: Good morning, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. Ms. Saavedra on behalf of Citibank. 12 13 MS. SAAVEDRA: Good morning, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good morning. Mr. Hessler on behalf of 14 15 the ad hoc group of noteholders. 16 MR. HESSLER: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Good morning. Mr. Cohen on behalf of the 18 official committee of salaried retirees. 19 MR. COHEN: Good morning, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Good morning. And Mr. Marsico on behalf of the Ohio Valley Coal Company. 21 22 MR. MARSICO: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Good morning. 24 All right. Now that we have the appearances then, Mr. 25 Huebner, I thought we'd take up the docket in the order that

1 it's printed.

2 MR. HUEBNER: Sure, Your Honor. We are working off of 3 an agenda letter, so if you'd -- I apologize. I just don't 4 know the docket order entry, so if you'd prefer to do it in 5 that order if you would just tell me what each matter is I will 6 handle it as we hit them.

7 THE COURT: All right. Not a problem. And it looks 8 like a lot of the matters have been continued. Let me go 9 through first. The first matter that appears on the printed 10 docket is the motion for authorization to assume or reject 11 unexpired leases. The STB and Arch Coal matter has been 12 continued to September the 24.

13 MR. HUEBNER: That's correct, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right. Then the third omnibus 15 objections to claims, there's been a notice and withdrawal by 16 the LRPB Group by the debtor, but I have a note as well, that 17 that's been continued to September the 24th.

18

21

MR. HUEBNER: Yes.

19THE COURT: All right. The motion for relief from20stay filed by Kenneth Bevins has been withdrawn.

Hurray.

MR. HUEBNER:

THE COURT: All right. So that'll be denied. Then the fifth omnibus objections to claims, there have been orders on some matters and then the other remaining matters are continued to September 24th.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 MR. HUEBNER: Yes, Your Honor. The approach that we 2 continue to take for efficiency's sake is that anybody who raises an issue we adjourn and try to work out consensually, 3 and we ask that the orders be entered as to all the rest so we 4 5 can continue to refine our claims pool, which will be very important, especially as we move into the third major phase of 6 7 these proceedings. 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 9 All right. Then there's a motion for relief that was 10 filed by Gary Hudson. It's been reset to September the 24th. 11 MR. HUEBNER: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: The omnibus objections to claims 1449 and 13 others, what are we doing with that? I have no note on that 14 one. 15 Your Honor, I think that my colleagues MR. HUEBNER: from Bryan Cave will be able to illuminate all of us on that 16 17 one. 18 All right. THE COURT: 19 Thank you. I'd be happy to address MS. HUGHES: Yes. 20 the claims issues, but if the Court would prefer to talk about the claims issues together after Davis Polk has made its 21 22 presentation, then I'm happy to do that as well. 23 THE COURT: We can take them up now, because I see 24 no --MS. HUGHES: Okay. 25

1

THE COURT: Yes.

Of all the objections that we filed 2 MS. HUGHES: Yes. we received one response from an unrepresented creditor, and so 3 as to that single objection we would ask that that be 4 5 continued. As to all the other claims objections that are on the docket today we've received no responses or have received 6 7 positive responses from creditors who agree with the substance 8 of the objections, and so we would ask that all of the 9 objections be sustained. I'm happy to talk about any of them 10 in particular if the Court would like.

THE COURT: Oh, all right. The first one that comes up is the objection to claim 1449 and others, and it references Raleigh Mine & Industrial Supply Inc.

MS. HUGHES: That's right. There are a few claim objections that I would refer to as single creditor objections, as opposed to the omnibus ones. And we formatted them in that way because we thought that it was more efficient to handle all of the different objections from a single creditor, to the extent that there were various objections to raise --

20

THE COURT: All right.

21 MS. HUGHES: -- in a single objection, rather than 22 requiring that creditor to keep track of all the different 23 omnibus objections that have been filed.

24 So as to Raleigh Mine we filed an objection to several 25 of their claims, although I'd like to point out that the

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

substance of the objections is not particularly unusual for any
 of these single creditor objections. It's just that, for
 example, the claim would have been raised against a wrong
 particular debtor, and that's what the objection seeks to
 correct.

THE COURT: All right.

6

MS. HUGHES: So as to Raleigh Mine we received no
response. We would ask that the Court sustain that objection.
THE COURT: All right. Then I will sustain that claim
objection.

11 All right. The next is the claim objection as to 12 claim 2325 and others regarding CSE Corp.

MS. HUGHES: Yes, Your Honor. And exactly the samedeal there. We received no response from CSE Corporation.

15 THE COURT: All right. Then I'll sustain the 16 objection.

17 Then there's the objection to claims 315 and others18 regarding J.H. Fletcher & Company.

MS. HUGHES: There we received a response from counsel, and the parties agreed that the most efficient way to handle the objections was to just have the proposed order entered.

23THE COURT: Oh. All right. Then I will sustain those24objections as well.

25 MS. HUGHES: Thank you.

#### eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1THE COURT: Then there was the objection to claim 7992and others regarding Motion Industries.

3 MS. HUGHES: That claim objection was withdrawn, Your4 Honor.

5 THE COURT: Oh. All right. Then that'll be denied as 6 withdrawn. Thank you.

All right. Then on page 2 of the docket there was the
objection to claim 3421 and others regarding Fairchild
International.

10 MS. HUGHES: Yes. And the substance of this was 11 handled by Davis Polk for reasons of conflict, but I understand 12 from Davis Polk that there has been no response, and so I think 13 that Davis Polk will submit a proposed order on that.

14 THE COURT: All right. Then I'll sustain those 15 objections.

16 Then there's the tenth omnibus objections to claims 17 1288 and others. I see that there was a response by creditor 18 Nancy Smith.

MS. HUGHES: Yes. That's the one unrepresented creditor from whom we received a response, and so as to that objection we would ask that the objection be continued, but as to the other objections raised in the tenth omnibus we would ask that the Court sustain the objections for lack of a response.

THE COURT: All right. Then we'll continue as to the

25

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

one matter regarding Nancy Smith to the September 24th date, 1 2 and then I'll sustain all the other objections. 3 MS. HUGHES: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. Then there's the eleventh 4 5 omnibus objection to claims. 6 MS. HUGHES: Yes, Your Honor. These are 7 insufficiently documented claims, and there are six claims at 8 issue here. We received no responses from any of the 9 creditors. 10 THE COURT: All right. Then I'll sustain those 11 objections. 12 MS. HUGHES: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Then there's the twelfth 14 omnibus objections. 15 MS. HUGHES: Yes. And this deals with equity claims, 16 and so it's two holders of shares of stock who filed claims 17 against the debtor, and so we received no responses on either 18 of those and ask that the Court sustain the objections. 19 THE COURT: All right. Then I'll sustain those 20 objections. Then there's the thirteenth omnibus objections to 21 22 claims. 23 MS. HUGHES: And that is superseded and amended claims. And there are fifteen claims at issue there. 24 Aqain, 25 no responses from any of the creditors. The exhibit, as we

discussed at the last hearing, shows the superseding and 1 2 surviving claim, so we would ask that the Court sustain the 3 objection as to those. THE COURT: All right. Then I'll sustain those 4 5 objections. 6 All right. I think that takes care of all the claim 7 objections then for today. 8 MS. HUGHES: It does, Your Honor. As to the fifth 9 omnibus objection, which the Court already mentioned being continued as to certain creditors, for purposes of the record, 10 11 if I may, I'd like to just announce the names of a few 12 creditors --13 THE COURT: Certainly. MS. HUGHES: -- that have either had their claim 14 15 settled or that will have their claims continued to September. 16 THE COURT: All right. MS. HUGHES: The fifth omnibus objection listed 17 18 several claims to be continued to today, and as to some of the ones mentioned as adjourned claims in the order that was 19 20 entered, Guyan Service Company has been settled and the objection has been withdrawn. C & A Cutter Head was settled, 21 22 and we submitted a proposed stipulation last week. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MS. HUGHES: Alpha Records Management has been settled 25 and the objection withdrawn. And then Hawthorn Bank was

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

settled and we submitted a stipulated order last week. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Then, as to the other creditors who had 3 MS. HUGHES: adjourned claims in that fifth omnibus objection, Robert Johns, 4 5 the trustee for Panther Branch, we have settled that, and we plan to submit a supplemental order on that fifth omnibus 6 7 objection later today resolving that objection. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MS. HUGHES: And then the same for Petroleum Products. 10 And then there were two creditors that were inadvertently left off of the adjourned claims list from the fifth omnibus order, 11 12 and so the supplemental order will actually bring those back. 13 THE COURT: All right. MS. HUGHES: And those creditors are Continental Bank 14 15 and Tire Centers LLC. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MS. HUGHES: And the remaining claims are adjourned as 18 noted on the agenda that we submitted. 19 All right. THE COURT: Thank you. 20 MS. HUGHES: And that's all. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Hughes. 22 MS. HUGHES: Thank you. 23 THE COURT: All right. Then that brings us to the 24 motion to approve the VEBA trust and to take possession of 25 funds, filed by interested party, official committee of

1 salaried retirees.

2	MR. HUEBNER: Yes. And, Your Honor, that is someone
3	else's motion, so I will leave it to them to present it.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. COHEN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Jon
6	Cohen. I will be presenting that motion this morning.
7	THE COURT: Yes. You may proceed, Mr. Cohen.
8	MR. COHEN: Thank you. Let me indicate that while I
9	can hear the other callers very well I'm having great
10	difficulty hearing the courtroom proceeding, so, please, if you
11	get frustrated because you can't interrupt me just, maybe, give
12	a holler.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cohen.
14	MR. COHEN: Your Honor, the motion to approve the VEBA
15	trust and to take possession of the funds, it was being
16	presented, in large part, because while there was an order
17	entered on April 26th of this year reflecting a resolution of
18	the Section 1114 dispute between the retiree committee and the
19	debtors, it only provided in a very broad manner that the
20	retiree committee was to set up and equitably administer a VEBA
21	trust, but because of the way that the process worked and the
22	type of order that was entered it didn't reflect any material
23	details as to how the monies received by the committees would
24	be specifically utilized or what specific entity would
25	administer the funds received from the debtors after the

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 retiree committee was no longer an ongoing -- an entity. 2 Additionally, while the April 26th order reflects that the retiree committee could, or was empowered to ask the 3 debtors to cooperate to have remaining and future life 4 5 insurance funding redirected into health insurance benefits, 6 there was no mechanism for the retiree committee to notify the 7 affected retirees or to determine if there were any objections 8 to this process from the retirees that were going to be 9 impacted by such a decision. Moreover, the retiree committee did not want to commit to completing a design of a new welfare 10 11 plan until there was transparency of its intentions and a 12 process where it could put its proposed welfare plan out to the retirees for feedback. 13

I will acknowledge at this point that we have received positive feedback from several retirees informally but have not received any objections formally or informally from any retirees.

18 Consistent with the motion, the retiree committee 19 seeks permission to enter into the VEBA trust document that was 20 attached as Exhibit B to its motion. That VEBA trust will allow it to take the monies provided by the debtors' estate and 21 22 thereafter into a VEBA trust to provide benefits to the 23 affected retirees on a tax-free basis; and that same agreement 24 defines the eligibility and the proposed use of the funds to be 25 administered by the retiree committee.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

All of the money placed into the trust will be used to provide for a health reimbursement type mechanism, which will be limited to providing reimbursement to the affected retirees for COBRA premium costs that they may incur, reimbursement of nonemployer sponsored Medicare gap insurance premiums, or any other type of nonemployer sponsored third-party health insurance premiums.

8 The VEBA trust will further utilize a precise 9 mathematical formula to equitably distribute on a weighted 10 basis reimbursement money to retirees, with pre-Medicare 11 retirees receiving a weighted balance to provide them greater 12 benefits in recognition of the greater impact of their loss of 13 benefits as compared to the Medicare group.

Pursuant to the VEBA trust that the committee seeks 14 15 permission to enter, the retiree committee will appoint a board 16 of trustees, that will be comprised of salaried Patriot retirees, to administer the VEBA trust going forward. And that 17 18 will be the entity that the retirees will have to or will, I 19 quess, have the benefit of utilizing in the future after the 20 retiree committee is disbanded in conjunction with the eventual emergence of the debtor from this bankruptcy proceeding. 21

Accordingly, Your Honor, the retiree committee
requests that this Court grant the retiree's motion.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Cohen.
Likewise, the Court has received no written objections to the

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

motion. Are there any parties in the courtroom that wish to be
 heard regarding this motion? All right.

3 And are there any other parties on the phone, besides4 Mr. Cohen, that wish to be heard on this matter?

5 All right. Then hearing none, Mr. Cohen, I will grant 6 the motion and approve the VEBA trust.

7 MR. COHEN: Thank you, Your Honor. I did realize this 8 morning that I did not circulate a proposed order to the core 9 group, and if Your Honor would agree I will do so with the core 10 group in the next day or two, make sure that there's no 11 objection to the language, and include the trustee and then 12 present that order to the Court.

13THE COURT: All right. That'll be fine, Mr. Cohen.14Thank you.

MR. COHEN: Thank you very much.

15

16 THE COURT: All right. Next is the application to 17 employ GCP Legal Advisors as special claims administration 18 counsel.

Yes, Your Honor. For the record, 19 MR. HUEBNER: Yes. 20 there are no objections to that motion. This is yet another cost-saving device that Patriot is employing. 21 Essentially, 22 they're hiring an individual to work at the company on claims 23 matters, which will be much cheaper than having outside 24 professionals, even the lower cost outside professionals that 25 the company has, so -- it's not really a firm. It's really a

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

guy, who works through a firm, but hopefully will save the
 company a whole bunch of money as compared to having we
 slightly higher-priced hourly professionals and others working
 on claim resolution issues.

5 And there were no objections. The objection deadline 6 passed a while ago. And we ask that the order be entered.

7 THE COURT: All right. And that's my only question, 8 Mr. Huebner, is that's how it's going to work? The claims, 9 then, are going to go to GCG and not remain with Davis Polk or 10 other counsel?

11

16

MR. HUEBNER: Yes. It's --

12 THE COURT: They'll work it all out. I have concerns.
13 I realize that certainly the hourly rate is cheaper, but I
14 wanted to make sure that we weren't being duplicative --

15 MR. HUEBNER: Yes.

THE COURT: -- of what would be done.

17 MR. HUEBNER: Yes. So the answer is, Your Honor, it's 18 Obviously there's some very large matters of some and some. 19 which Your Honor is aware, some of the adversary proceedings 20 and the like and some of the larger omnibus claims. And outside counsel will still be filing the motions; by and large 21 22 Bryan Cave and not Davis Polk. We've already shifted almost 23 all of the claims work over to Bryan Cave, because it's just 24 the right way to approach things.

25 The individual underlying GCP is really more in the

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

32

1	nature of an in-house employee who will be working almost full-
2	time on claims-related matters, which should hopefully cut down
3	very substantially. A lot of the negotiations he can have
4	directly and merely then tell the outside counsel I've resolved
5	it at this number. Put that on the next objection. Or this
6	one they just won't resolve. File the objection. So he won't
7	be the outside counsel of record to the Court, but hopefully he
8	can clear away a tremendous amount of the underbrush at much
9	cheaper rates, leaving us, and primarily Bryan Cave, and only
10	in rare instances Davis Polk, only to deal with, sort of,
11	what's left that
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. HUEBNER: he wasn't able to resolve and needs
14	the full legal process to bring the issue to a close.
15	THE COURT: All right. All right. That answers my
16	questions. All right. I have as you've indicated there
17	have been no written objections, so I will approve the
18	application, then, to employ.
19	MR. HUEBNER: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Next is the third
21	motion to extend the exclusivity period.
22	MR. HUEBNER: Yes, Your Honor. Here, as well, there
23	were no objections. This was discussed with the creditors'
24	committee. Obviously all interested parties had a right to see
25	it, and we're very happy to report that our ninety-day

1 extension is unopposed/supported, depending on your point of 2 view, and I don't -- if you'd like I could expand more, but I 3 think it's relatively straightforward, and we would ask that 4 the order be entered.

5 THE COURT: All right. And, as you've indicated, 6 there's been no objections, so I'll grant the motion and extend 7 the exclusivity period. Thank you.

8 All right. Next is the motion for a supplemental DIP 9 financing order.

10 MR. HUEBNER: Yes, Your Honor. So, there, as well, there have been no objections, as is typically the case. 11 The core parties in this case, including, obviously, the creditors' 12 13 committee and other interested parties know what's going on here and, unsurprisingly, liquidity, liquidity, liquidity is 14 15 the lifeline of a company in Chapter 11. If liquidity is not there, essentially, it is game over, and you go into a very 16 17 scary and different type of bankruptcy than, hopefully, the one 18 that we will be on until the very end.

We, essentially, as I think was presaged some time ago, knew that we would need EBITDA relief in the summer, which has come to pass exactly as we predicted. As Your Honor may remember, there was even some discussion about the specific covenant and the upcoming need to get relief from it at the 1113 trial and what it meant for the debtors. And the good news is as we candidly predicted, I believe, at that trial, we

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 needed relief from a single covenant, albeit a very important 2 one, since EBITDA is a commonly used and sensitive financial 3 metric in credit agreements, in particular in the bankruptcy 4 and distress space, because you're look at, sort of, earnings 5 net of certain issues.

So the DIP amendment is relatively straightforward. 6 7 There are, really, two changes. One, we have relief of the 8 nature that we needed on the EBITDA covenant. Two, in order to 9 continue to pace us our DIP already has a variety of milestones in it, including a, sort of, triggered extension that's coming 10 up, hopefully, in September, assuming, as we hope and expect 11 to, to meet the conditions. The lenders, in order to keep us 12 13 on pace, to the pace that we believe we're already on, did ask 14 that we insert a new covenant that we have, essentially, 15 committed financing for our exit by October 31. We certainly 16 hope and expect to do that, and so we agreed to that covenant. Obviously if things go on a different track than we hope and 17 18 expect we'll have to have a conversation with them. But part 19 of the package of certainty that this is moving in the 20 direction that they are looking for, on the originally expected timeline, which does have a backend of December 31 of this year 21 as the current maturity date. In the real world we would more 22 23 or less need to have financing by October 31st to get closed 24 and funded and exit by December 31 anyway, so, frankly, we 25 don't view this as particularly onerous. We view it as, sort

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

of, our schedule, and if they insisted on it being a covenant,
 I mean, you always like fewer covenants rather than more, but
 it was certainly something that we felt that we could live
 with.

5 Obviously there are certain fees involved in the DIP. 6 The financial markets are what they are, and, obviously, the 7 view of lenders in general is that this was the package of 8 economic expectations I had when I did this facility. If you 9 are not meeting those expectations my risk profile is 10 different, and changes in risk profile generally result in 11 compensation changes as well.

There is a combination of fees to the lenders that are 12 13 public and described in the motion, and then there is a 14 confidential engagement letter that the Court and the core 15 parties have all seen. And other than that, the structure and 16 priorities of the DIP and the DIP financing order are unchanged, which is great news for everybody, because, 17 18 obviously, knowing that we have financing until the end of the 19 year has always been a bedrock of this case and the timetable 20 that you need to reorganize a multibillion dollar enterprise.

So, we ask, unless somebody surprises me with an unheralded, procedurally improper objection, which will make me very upset, that I can say that there is no one who is looking sideways at this, and we'd request that the order be entered. THE COURT: All right. Certainly there have been no

36

1 written responses. Are there any parties in the courtroom that 2 wish to be heard on this motion? All right. Are there any parties on the phone that wish to be 3 4 heard on this motion? 5 All right. Then hearing none I will grant the motion. Thank you very much, Your Honor. 6 MR. HUEBNER: 7 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Next that brings 8 us to the motion to expedite hearing on the application to 9 employ Duff & Phelps, LLP (sic) as valuation services provider. 10 MR. HUEBNER: Sure. And, Your Honor, there, as well, this is part of the exit planning. There are a variety of very 11 technical accounting things that need to be done in connection 12 13 with exit. There's something called fresh start accounting, 14 that for more than twenty years I have managed never to 15 understand, something that I really hope to continue until the 16 end of my career, but luckily other people do understand it, and those people include people like Duff & Phelps. 17 And 18 obviously we view this as a positive sign that we are beginning 19 to work with greater focus and detail on the mechanics that are 20 necessary to actually get Patriot, with all due respect, out of your courtroom and back into the winds of reorganized commerce. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. I have seen no written 23 objections to the application. Are there any parties in the 24 courtroom that wish to be heard regarding this application? 25 All right.
Are there any parties on the phone that wish to be
 heard regarding this application?

All right. Then I'll grant the motion to expedite the4 hearing and I'll approve the application to employ.

5

MR. HUEBNER: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Thank you. And then that brings us to the 7 motion to expedite hearing and the motion for order regarding 8 the new collective bargaining agreement.

9 So, Your Honor, let me begin with MR. HUEBNER: Yes. 10 the motion to expedite. Let me begin, as is very often appropriate in this and other fast-moving large cases, by 11 12 thanking the Court and the Court's staff. Obviously there is a 13 lot going on on this motion and this case in general. As we said, and we meant it, obviously, on our motion to expedite, 14 15 the timetable is absolutely critical here, and, frankly, there 16 are many very, very tired people on this side of the bench who have been working even during the hearing to continue 17 18 finalizing all the various documents. We know of no 19 objections. We actually discussed the schedule with certain of 20 the key parties in the case prior to even calling chambers and asking if such a schedule would be possible. 21 Obviously a settlement that is a variant of matters that were heard very 22 23 extensively, with full notice to many people, is very different 24 than a brand new thing nobody's ever heard of. And, as I'll 25 describe in a few minutes, there -- obviously, the terms are

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

well described in the motion, and many of them will look relatively familiar, based on things that people have heard in the past. Most importantly, we know of no objection. We believe that we got everybody on side with the schedule, as well as, as I'll describe in a few minutes, with the merits, and knowing of no one who thinks that this matter should not be heard today, we ask that the motion to expedite be granted.

8 THE COURT: All right. And then I'll grant the motion 9 to expedite the hearing.

10

MR. HUEBNER: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

11 So that brings us to, hopefully, the last but not least for today's hearing, which is the fabulous and important 12 13 and wonderful and milestone news that we have reached a 14 settlement with the UMWA that resolves the issues that are 15 I was sort of getting very excited to announce and between us. 16 remind the Court that I promised you in the beginning that we'd move heaven and earth to settle matters, and then I just have 17 18 one little settlement, and Ms. Hughes gets up, and she has, 19 like, forty-one settlements today to announce, and I feel like 20 I'm just, sort of, like, piker by comparison.

21 But this is a rather important settlement, and so I'd 22 like to take, if I can, a few minutes just to explain, kind of, 23 where we ended up.

24 THE COURT: Certainly.

25 MR. HUEBNER: There are quite a few moving pieces,

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

39

including things that were moving until about midnight last 1 2 night. We did send over to chambers very, very first thing this morning a black-line of the order and the other documents. 3 I thought that what I might do in a few minutes is actually 4 5 walk through very quickly the primary changes to the order. Ι 6 think they really should not be objectionable to any party, and 7 they were continuously circulated over the course of the last three days to the bondholders, the creditors' committee, of 8 9 course the union, the first lien DIP lenders, the second lien 10 DIP lenders. So this was not something that any primary partyin-interest, as we think about them as seeing for the first 11 12 time; rather, I can assure you to the bottom of my heart and 13 soul, that every single word of this order was extremely carefully negotiated and focused on by many parties. 14 We were 15 often, sort of, playing a little push me, pull you, as somebody wanted it more like this, and somebody else wanted it more like 16 that, and we ended up very happily. And it was a rather 17 18 laborious and complex process to actually bring everybody on 19 side with a single form of order that ultimately met 20 everybody's needs. So, we have a fully consensual deal with the union. 21 22 This is, obviously, one of the most significant, if not the

23 single most significant, development in these cases. As Your
24 Honor knows, almost half of our mining workforce is unionized,
25 and knowing the certainty of both your cost structure on the

labor side -- since labor is possibly the single greatest cost 1 2 input in mining coal -- but also having, as we said at the 1113 hearing, and we meant it then, multiple years of stability of 3 your cost structure; you can't go to the financial markets and 4 5 ask them to fund you and to fund your exit unless they know what your cost structure looks like and they can examine and 6 7 analyze your business plan and get comfortable that that cost 8 structure is a viable one that they are comfortable funding.

9 So, essentially, sort of like when you're doing a renovation and the floors aren't in yet, there are just lots of 10 things that you just cannot do at all, as important as they are 11 and as time-sensitive as they are, until the base layer is 12 And so this is a critical milestone that will then 13 finished. 14 free us to run at rather high and intense speed to then go 15 figure out the capital structure, the financing issues, the 16 exit financing structures, et cetera.

17 We're very happy to report, as may have been seen from 18 public news reports, that the ratification vote took place last 19 Friday. We all owe our union brethren a great debt of 20 gratitude as a process matter, because once the deal was agreed to, which, itself, was a very lengthy and complex process, they 21 22 did move with extreme speed to communicate it, through some 23 extraordinary efforts, including hundreds and hundreds of 24 people attending town hall meetings with personal presentations 25 by President Roberts in one venue, his chief deputy, I believe,

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

And then they scheduled the ratification 1 in another venue. 2 hearing in advance of this hearing so the Court would know that we were not here on an idle errand, but rather that, 3 essentially, the two conditions precedent to the deal going 4 5 effective, one is, obviously, the approval of this Court, which is our signature being able to be tendered. The second is the 6 7 approval of the membership, which is the union's signature being able to be tendered. And so one of those two pieces is 8 9 now in place at a very high level.

There should be no mistake. This is a painful and 10 concessionary contract. That's just Patriot's financial 11 12 situation, as I think everybody understands, and the fact that 13 the union membership ultimately understood that, and at very 14 high levels -- and eighty-five percent is a very high level, I 15 think, for almost any ratification vote -- but for one that, 16 unfortunately, rolls back and has some takeaways in it is, I think, more remarkable yet in terms of people understanding 17 18 that, unfortunately, everybody has to do their part if Patriot is to survive, which we hope and believe that it will. 19

So, you won't be surprised, Your Honor, that since May, as we told you, we were not going to seek to impose the Court's ruling, but, rather, we would seek to use it as, sort of, the new framework within which to continue our tireless efforts to reach a consensual settlement with the UMWA, which we did. And so there were negotiations going on, I would say,

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

virtually every week, and in many cases, multiple days a week, almost -- maybe not quite -- but almost continuously since Your Honor's ruling; and ultimately they led to the deal that is before us.

5 So, fundamentally, what is the deal? The deal provides 130 million dollars or so per year of savings compared 6 7 to our preexisting CBA and related obligations over the next 8 four years. The changes that were made were many, and they 9 were meant to address the needs and requirements of the UMWA, 10 specifically with how the savings got implemented, and we moved a lot of pieces around and found creative ways to do things a 11 12 little bit differently, while, at the same time, enabling us to 13 realize the vast majority, I think, probably, actually, more 14 than eighty-five percent -- ironically, it's about the same 15 number as the ratification -- of the dollar amount of savings 16 that we needed.

The settlement, fundamentally, is embodied in three 17 18 documents. One is a new form of collective bargaining agreement, which is a very, very lengthy complicated document. 19 20 The second is a memorandum of understanding, or MOU. That sets forth a bunch of the primary agreements between the parties 21 that are not, really, sort of, core labor contract matters. 22 It 23 doesn't have work rules and wages and shift differentials and 24 overtime and holiday. That's all in the CBA. The MOU deals 25 with some of the more businessy, structural, sort of,

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

Bankruptcy Code related things that it was appropriate to do in a separate document, because the MOU, frankly, will not live forever in terms of its operative terms. Most of its terms really relate to the deal and the restructuring, as opposed to that very large, complicated, organic being known as the CBA that governs the daily lives, wages, and benefits of thousands of people on a day-to-day basis.

8 And then the third document, Your Honor, which I'm 9 going to talk about in a few minutes, because it's a little bit 10 funky, is the VEBA funding agreement or the VFA, which was 11 negotiated, really, over the last several days. We were all 12 sprinting on that one to get it done in time for the hearing.

So, as Your Honor knows, the union jointly represented and represents both the active workers under 1113 and the retirees, who are UMWA retirees, unlike Mr. Cohen, who we heard from a few minutes ago, who, of course, represents the nonunion retirees. The union agreed at the beginning of the case, and was and is the representative of the UMWA retirees.

So the 1113 settlement, fundamentally is in the CBA, and that is what reflects the new savings and work rules and wage structure and paid time off and holidays and shift differentials and all sorts of things, probably a list of about a hundred long of the things that were addressed in the new CBA.

Also very importantly, Your Honor, is that we are

25

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

44

staying in the 1974 pension plan. As Your Honor remembers, 1 2 there was a fair amount of discussion about the benefit plans at many junctures in this case, and what we said all along was 3 as long as we can get comfort that our pension rates will not 4 rise to an unsustainable level that would make withdrawing from 5 the plan and potential paying those out over time under ERISA 6 7 just simply cheaper and more sustainable for us than staying in 8 the plan, we would be delighted to stay in the plan. We have 9 no inherent desire to withdraw. We just need to be able to afford it and have a business plan that the market believes is 10 11 financeable.

So happily, in, I think, what is probably the only 12 13 truly confidential side letter, because for lots of reasons 14 it's not appropriate to make the precise terms of the union's 15 agreements with us on the pension issues public, because this is a multiemployer plan, and there are lots of other people 16 involved as well, we were able to hammer out the terms of the 17 18 assurances that we need with the union, and happily, while we 19 are still withdrawing from the two smaller plans, the bonus 20 plan and the '93 benefit plan, the big plan, the pension plan, which was always, sort of, possibly the main event in this 21 22 case, and Your Honor heard the funds say there could be over a 23 one billion dollar joint and several claim, and that would 24 dilute everybody else's recoveries and possibly dilute them 25 down to zero, and there were lots of reasons why the stars

aligned into having us stay in the plan, and we're very
 delighted to announce that we were able to do so.

In fact, ironically, it is the continued premia for that plan that actually account for the majority of the spread between 150 million dollars in savings originally contemplated by our 1113 proposals and the 130 million ultimately achieved.

7 Then there is the 1114 settlement, Your Honor, which, 8 at its base, provides for the transition of both the provision 9 and the administration of retiree benefits to a newly 10 established by the UMWA VEBA trust.

11 So, again, this is a complicated deal, and I'm only summarizing at a very high level, but, simply stated, through 12 13 the end of this year, through the end of calendar year 2013, the debtors will continue to provide their retiree benefits. 14 15 There are a variety of funding sources for that that are set 16 forth in the VEBA funding agreement. There's there fifteen million dollars that was always part of our -- even 1113 17 18 proposal -- 1114 proposal, rather, excuse me -- in the early 19 days, and there are other funding sources there to address 20 that, but as a matter of mechanics, we are still providing the 21 benefits, because getting a VEBA up and running and having it 22 actually buy insurance for thousands of people and send out 23 notices and transition the coverage, and where do premiums get 24 paid, and who is administering it, is just a complicated issue. 25 It's not something that you can turn on in a matter of a week

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 or two or three or four. And, so, as a matter of accommodation 2 as part of the global deal, while we had originally 3 contemplated being out of the retiree healthcare benefits 4 business, frankly, by this summer, possibly even now, we 5 agreed, as part of the negotiation, to continue that function 6 until the end of the year.

7 Then, Your Honor, starting on 1/1/14, the VEBA itself 8 will provide -- administer the benefits. There is a lot of 9 detail in Article 20 of the CBAs, which was a very carefully calibrated and negotiated structure, because there were a lot 10 of different issues at play here. But from the economic 11 12 perspective, the debtors, I think -- a probably a reasonable 13 way to super-summarize it, and I don't think this should be parsed too carefully, because the documents speak for 14 15 themselves -- but I think it's fair to say that the debtors 16 don't have further economic liability for retiree benefits after January 1st, 2014, with the caveat there's a lot of 17 18 structural stuff in there that probably I would only ruin if I 19 tried to summarize it, because every word was very carefully 20 negotiated.

And then right now, what the VFA provides is that the debtor is going to fund the VEBA through a contribution of between thirty-five to thirty-eight percent of the equity of reorganized Patriot. That's prong number 1. Your Honor probably remembers, thirty-five percent was our offer as part

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 of proposal number 5. There are certain circumstances related 2 to whether our friends at Peabody and to what extent they 3 continue to pay for the so-called Peabody assumed group that 4 will result in the thirty-five percent going potentially as 5 high as thirty-eight percent. So that's prong number one of 6 the funding from the debtor in the VFA, which is the equity 7 piece.

8 Then again, as may be remembered from the trial, the 9 two other pieces, we stuck to what we said we were going to. It'd been negotiated into a form that's now reflected in a 10 There are per ton royalty payments that go to the 11 document. VEBA based on the tons of coal that are mined on an annual 12 13 And there is a profit-sharing mechanism to allow a basis. 14 union to share in what hopefully will be upside as the coal 15 markets recover, and Patriot, god willing, with its new sustainable cost structure, is able to benefit from that rise 16 in market pricing and profitability. 17

But I also want to be clear, because I think that we should leave nobody with a misimpression, that while it is an unbelievably critical first step, the VEBA funding agreement, in its current form, is not the last step. Because the union ultimately does not want equity. Equity doesn't buy health insurance next week or next month or next year; cash buys health insurance.

25

And so there's a commitment, and the way the documents

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

48

are structured, are that there is a contemplated amendment to 1 2 be coming to the VFA in which we all will be working together as hard and as fast as we can to seek to monetize possibly all 3 three elements of the current consideration provided for in the 4 5 And so what the union has in there is they have a VFA. termination right of the CBA if we are unable to ultimately all 6 7 reach agreement, which obviously will involve third parties who 8 have cash, since we don't have cash, right, of the nature and 9 amount that the union, I think, would like to see go into the 10 VEBA. And so now that we have our cost structure fixed, 11 essentially, we can now turn together back to the market, to 12 other market participants, and say okay, here's the structure, 13 here -- essentially, I mean, we're kind of hanging a "For Sale" sign as it were, on the consideration being tendered to the 14 15 union under the VEBA, and the union has a contractual right to 16 have that sale agreed to.

And so the two termination conditions in the CBA that 17 18 relate to the as-yet-to-be-found-and-negotiated amendment to 19 the VFA relate both to the ability to reach an amendment that 20 provides them with satisfactory payments in the first place, and then secondly, just to keep everybody honest -- which we 21 22 had certainly no problem at all with -- there's a concept that 23 appears a few times in the documents, that the initial investor 24 payment under the amended VFA has to be timely made.

So if -- I'll just make stuff up, this is

25

hypothetical, just to sort of save the point -- if they find 1 2 somebody that agrees to buy their stock for X million dollars under the following structure, and the first payment is to be 3 4 made on the effective date in the amount of one-fifth of X 5 million dollars, that payment has to actually be made on the effective date, as was promised to them in the amendment to the 6 7 VFA, and if it's not, they have the termination right of sort 8 of the whole deal.

9 So this is an element that is still to come. And look, we live in a real world. Nobody knows right now, because 10 we can't know yet -- we just laid the floors. Now we've got to 11 go kind of build the cabinets. We don't know the form or the 12 13 value of this monetization effort, because that's what we're 14 now going to embark on right now as part of the debtors' 15 overall capital structure for emergence, which itself is not yet known. How much is debt? Do we do preferred stock? Do we 16 do converts? What does it all look like? How does the union's 17 18 piece fit into that?

19 Those things just can't be known yet. It's not like 20 someone knows them and we're not ready to describe them. We've 21 only inked this deal; the deal was ratified one business day 22 ago, literally Friday night. It's now kind of basically 23 Tuesday morning, last I checked. So that's the next big thing, 24 which is now to figure out on the union side, as part of our 25 overall capital structuring, what we can get from the market in

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

terms of securities, capital structure, et cetera, and then
 what the union's piece of that looks like. And that will be
 reflected in an amended VFA.

So in terms of the law, Your Honor, I mean, there are no objections, so I don't think I will spend more than about twenty seconds on the law. But this is a 9019 settlement of inordinately complicated matters. I think if I were to tick down the factors for 9019, every one of them would be sort of like in the no-brainer grand-slam category.

The issues are extremely complex. They would be brutal to litigate. The union obviously does, in their view, and I'm not going to say to the contrary at this juncture, have the right to call a strike that could be fatal for the company, if the issues were not resolved. And it just resolves so many things in this case and enables us to move forward.

And so again, I won't really say more than that, but I think that both under 363 and under 19 (sic), it is just simply beyond any possible question that the legal standards have been more than amply satisfied.

Your Honor, what I would propose to do now, if it matches the Court's desires and pleasure, is to just quickly walk through a black-line of the order. We of course had copies and have copies available in court. This is against the version that was filed on the GCG Web site at the time of the initial filing. They're really virtually all in the nature of

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1	clarifications, Your Honor, with the one structural change
2	which is relatively subtle, that now there is an actual VFA,
3	although, again, it is subject to amendment and change, as
4	opposed to the VFA also being in the "to come" category.
5	The way it works now is that amendment number one to
6	the VFA, what I think of as the monetization amendment, that's
7	what's now in the "to come" category. So the order needed a
8	few little tweaks to acknowledge the fact that there is
9	actually a VFA now, it's not just something that we sort of
10	hope to do.
11	So would that be okay, Your Honor, at this juncture
12	THE COURT: Yes, certainly.
13	MR. HUEBNER: to quickly walk through the black-
14	line.
15	THE COURT: Um-hum.
16	MR. HUEBNER: So I would begin on page 2, Your Honor.
17	So the first change is what I just said. It's just now, it
18	just contemplates that there is an actual VFA. The second
19	change which we live in a paranoid world these days. So
20	some people were of the view on all different sides it
21	wasn't just one party that they didn't want there to be any
22	question that the generic further assurance languages in there
23	"take such further actions", somehow gave us the authority,
24	rather sneakily I would note, if anybody thought it was going
25	to do that, to go and do some huge amendment and raise a bunch

of money and give liens and all sorts of stuff like that.
So we were delighted to add in the end, once we
understood what the parties' concerns were, because they were
legitimate and we were happy to address them, that nothing in
the paragraph exempts the debtors from seeking approval for any
transaction that requires such approval under the Bankruptcy
Code.

So we know what 363 means. We know what ordinary 8 9 course is. If it's outside the ordinary course, which I'm 10 quessing any material amendment to the VFA that says in lieu of 11 equity you're getting X gazillion dollars, I would be hard pressed to imagine any lawyer on the planet would ever say that 12 13 that's ordinary course. And we will very likely be back before 14 the Court if and when we are fortunate enough to have a 15 monetization amendment that turns one or all of the forms of 16 consideration in the VFA into cash.

On the top of page 3, Your Honor, that's just 17 18 mechanical, now that there is a form of VFA. The next one, the 19 union just wanted to make it clear, which was correct, that 20 until the new documents are actually signed, they're not valid So they now have the authority to sign them, by 21 and binding. virtue of their ratification vote, assuming that Your Honor is 22 23 willing to enter the order, we will have the ability to sign 24 them. And then we will go sign them, and then they will be 25 binding and effective.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

53

The next paragraph changes sort of basically say the same thing. They're just reminding us that the documents have their terms and conditions and need to be executed, which they will.

On the bottom of page 4, Your Honor, the union, again, 5 6 they sort of view the we are all now done and the deal is as we 7 would have it to be, and now we're just back to being a regular 8 company and a regular union with a CBA, is the date on which 9 the plan has gone effective, because the plan -- one of the other remaining termination conditions, is that the plan cannot 10 be inconsistent with the CBA, which of course it won't and it 11 can't, as a plan's a plan and a CBA is a CBA. And I can't even 12 13 imagine how they would be inconsistent, and that they get this initial investor payment. 14

So what the change on the bottom of paragraph 4 does, it triggers, essentially, the effectiveness of the releases to the day that both of those two things have happened, that the initial investor payment is made to them, again, a term that doesn't -- we don't know it means yet, but it's whatever the first payment is -- let me just back up and say it like this.

In the real world, I think we all think that if the union is able to monetize -- let's just for simplicity's sake say -- the equity in the current form of the VFA, it may well not be somebody saying here's payment in full; I'll take your equity. It'll be a payment stream over time that in lieu of

1 equity you'll get the following payment stream.

2 And so the initial investor payment, which if I were a betting man, I would bet would get paid on the effective date 3 of a plan, and the union does not want it to happen after that, 4 5 which we agree with that -- that's sort of like a very important trigger date -- so that's what this initial investor 6 7 payment concept means, which we all have discussed at great 8 length and agreed to which is, if there's a stream of payments, 9 the first payment has to be made as the triggering event for 10 the termination right under the CBA to sunset, and the release and the other sort of "we are now done" type provisions like 11 12 the one I'm describing now, to become effective. 13 Paragraph 5 is just more words for the release and 14 indemnification provisions. And then on the top -- sorry, I 15 meant, page 5, Your Honor. I apologize --16 THE COURT: Um-hum. MR. HUEBNER: -- they're not paragraph numbers. 17 18 And then the final change is on page 7. So I want to 19 explain it just for a minute so that I hope that it will be 20 fair to everybody, and I apologize if anyone who is involved in this negotiation feels that I am subtly or otherwise not 21 22 perfectly casting their position. 23 It was very important to the union, with which we had 24 no disagreement, that the actual living, breathing CBA between

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

us be a bilateral document. There's no right for a creditor in

25

a case to say I think that at that mine there were an
 inappropriate number of supervisors or safety things
 implemented. Right?

What's happening under the CBA in terms of what I call 4 5 the guts of the labor agreement and the living, breathing labor 6 world, is really not something in which other parties really 7 should be getting involved. On the other hand, we are a 8 debtor. And to the extent that we need to make motions to the 9 bankruptcy court, again, hypothetically we do a monetization structure and we come to the Court, it was very important to 10 11 lots of other parties that the language in the CBA essentially saying this is bilateral, not be read by anybody, certainly not 12 13 by the Court as saying you have no rights to come to the 14 hearing and express your view that the debtor has not satisfied 15 363 or that this is an inappropriate amendment.

16 What if hypothetically we wanted to grant superpriority liens, right, in connection with new financing 17 18 being put in by somebody to take out the union's equity? Well, 19 clearly the DIP lenders would say you're out of your mind, like 20 literally you're a crazy person if you think I'm going to be barred from coming into court if you started trying to put 21 22 priming liens in place in this VFA amendment and then say, oh, 23 but remember the CBA says it's just bilateral, nobody else is a 24 party-in-interest.

25

So what we realized after a fair number of

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

conversations, is that there wasn't really a real dispute here, 1 2 because the parties were talking about two different things. And so the CBA does have a -- this is a two-party contract 3 provision with nobody else sort of getting in our faces about 4 5 it and the like. Again, that's not what the document actually says, that's me being colloquial and summarizing it. 6 I believe 7 the phrase "getting in our faces" nowhere appears in the CBA, 8 just for the record.

9 But then on the bankruptcy side, this new paragraph 10 which was very important to multiple parties and is correct and 11 appropriate and was agreed to by all, is that to the extent 12 that we are making motions before the Court and invoking the 13 bankruptcy process, obviously people's rights are what they 14 are. We're not allowed by contract to agree that they can't 15 come and speak at the next hearing.

So it took a little while for us to get there, because we were moving extremely quickly and there were many complicated moving pieces, but that resolved the last of the issues.

So Your Honor, that's kind of it. I certainly could walk through the black-line of the MOU if that were the Court's pleasure, but I think that's -- that's the contract, and there it is. So I frankly stand ready to answer any other questions. But barring that, I would like to end where I began, which is this is a monumental and momentous day for Patriot; the fact

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

that we have an agreement of great complexity and
 sophistication with our union is a huge leap forward for our
 company and its likely exit from Chapter 11.

We have a lot of work left to do. There should be no 4 5 mistake about that. We're not ready tomorrow morning to file a There's a lot of capital markets work that now needs to 6 plan. But now the table has been set for doing all that. 7 be done. 8 And then on a personal note, I do want to issue a thank you and 9 sort of an apology to lots of people who are in the room and on 10 the phone. We worked almost literally around the clock, and there were about eight parties who viewed themselves, in most 11 cases correctly, about being directly, absolutely, intensely, 12 13 and personally interested and involved.

We were fielding comments from all parties almost literally around the clock. People worked with extreme good cheer, even through the occasional pinch points. And especially where we had frankly somebody saying I need X and somebody saying I need not-X, and we had to figure out how to resolve two literally completely contradictory sets of strongly held positions.

But I do want to thank everybody involved, because there really were a fair number of heroes, frankly, Your Honor, in getting us to this place. Most importantly, frankly, Your Honor, I think the genuine heroes are actually the clients on both sides, because while the lawyers exchanged lots of stuff,

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 good and bad, in the real world, day after day after day after 2 day, Cecil Roberts personally and Ben Hatfield personally sat 3 in the room for hours and hours and hours working through 4 issues of great complexity and frankly great pain that affect 5 real people's lives in a very difficult way, but had to be 6 addressed to get Patriot a strong chance of survival.

And so to the extent anybody gets a cape and a
uniform, Your Honor, I think it is most assuredly Mr. Hatfield
and Mr. Roberts. And with that, my presentation is complete.

10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Huebner. I 11 have one questions. I did -- I looked at the order and the 12 red-line order -- the black-line. Thank you for going over 13 that with me this morning. I did not read the collective 14 bargaining agreement. It's a little lengthy.

15 I did go over the memorandum of understanding, and I 16 had only one question. In page 5 of the MOU at paragraph 6, 17 where it talks about the litigation trust being funded upon 18 emergence from bankruptcy, I assume that means upon 19 confirmation of a plan, or does it --20 MR. HUEBNER: I think --THE COURT: -- or what does it mean? 21 22 MR. HUEBNER: -- not. 23 I guess I shouldn't --THE COURT: 24 MR. HUEBNER: Yeah, I think not, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: -- assume.

#### eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

MR. HUEBNER: So when we say "emergence from
 bankruptcy", and I apologize, people often use that
 synonymously with the "effective date" of the plan, which is
 the substantial consummation, which is the technical term under
 the Code of a plan of reorganization.

Now, all of the mechanics about what goes where,
really are designed to take effect on the effective date. And
so the capital structure will be new, the DIPs will be paid off
or rolled, certain entities may be -- certain debtors may be
folded up or liquidated, and the litigation trust may be
formed.

There's also, obviously, always the possibility that 12 13 the primary litigations get settled between now and the 14 effective date, in which case we may not need the litigation 15 And so that's sort of the reset date for a lot of trust. So the use of "effective date" or "date of emergence" 16 things. is in fact, intentional. Confirmation, of course, is the 17 18 Court's blessing that we may now go and close on this plan. 19 There will then be a time lag, usually, measured in a small 20 number of weeks, before we do it. And it would be when we do it, that all the funding and all the sources and uses and all 21 22 the restructurings and all the transfers, in this case, of 23 causes of action, as opposed to assets, would be contemplated 24 to take place.

25

I think that's relatively typical, by the way. Often

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

60

1	litigation trusts are set up in connection with emergence,
2	because among other things, the committee will be disbanding
3	around that time. They would then nominate their members for
4	it. It's just that's kind of like the closing of lots of
5	things, and this would be contemplated as one of those things.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right, then I
7	have no other questions. Are there other parties that wish to
8	be heard on this motion in the courtroom? Mr. Perillo?
9	MR. PERILLO: Your Honor, if you would indulge me in a
10	few brief remarks?
11	THE COURT: Certainly.
12	MR. PERILLO: First, the UMWA urges the Court to grant
13	the motion and to enter the order as it has been presented to
14	you in written form.
15	I want to add that while I am not in disagreement with
16	Mr. Huebner as to any of the points that he's made today, his
17	summary is not a complete detailed summary of all of the terms
18	of the various agreements, which are quite voluminous. And the
19	fact that he has omitted something in his summary for
20	example, he omitted the existence of the reopener clause
21	does not mean, of course, that there is no reopener clause.
22	If people want to learn what is in the contract,
23	they're actually going to have to pick it up and read the
24	document.
25	I have a few other remarks about the approval. It was
1	

61

in 1970, Your Honor, that the Supreme Court of the United 1 2 States, in a famous case known as H.K. Porter, which is found at 397 U.S. 99, defined the role of the federal courts in the 3 approval of labor settlements. The court said at the time that 4 5 it's fundamental to our -- excuse me -- the fundamental premise of our national labor policy is freedom of contract. 6 And the 7 court said that that policy means -- I'm quoting -- "private 8 bargaining under governmental supervision of the procedure 9 alone without any official compulsion over the actual terms of 10 the contract."

And so I believe that the Court's role today is a rather limited one, in light of the fact that apart from the union and the employer, and in the absence of any illegality --I mean, you can't make a contract to commit an illegal act -there is no other party on earth that has the power to regulate what terms the union and the employer would put into their labor agreement.

18 And notwithstanding the existence of 9019 and Section 19 105 of the Code, that would still be the rule. And I'd cite to 20 you the Continental Airlines case found in 907 F.2d 1500, where the court observed, citing the H.K. Porter case I just pointed 21 22 out to you, that there is a strong federal labor policy -- I'm 23 quoting now, "precluding judicial modifications of the 24 substantive terms of labor agreements. We cannot agree that 25 the Congress intended for the broad equitable powers of the

1 bankruptcy court to be exercised in a manner that would 2 undermine that longstanding and important federal labor 3 policy."

The court went on to say, "The bankruptcy court has no 4 5 greater authority to modify the labor portions of the settlement than any federal court would otherwise have." And 6 7 so the court concluded under both Section 105 and Rule 9019 8 that the bankruptcy court, and I'm quoting again, "did not have 9 the authority to condition its approval of the negotiated 10 settlement upon modifications to the lawful labor provisions 11 thereof."

12 So, Your Honor, what the Court is actually doing today 13 is looking to see whether we have violated any substantive 14 provisions of the Code in our settlement. And if we have not, 15 that truly is the end of the matter. And I believe no party 16 thinks that we have violated any substantive provisions of the 17 Code.

18 If, in the future, there are amendments to documents 19 such as the VFA, and absent any illegality on the part of what 20 the union and the employer do -- and I certainly believe all 21 parties will endeavor not to break any laws -- then those 22 modifications, while they may be presented for approval in the 23 comfort sense, are actually up to the union and the employer 24 And that is prevailing law in the United States and has alone. 25 been for more than forty years.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

For that reason, Your Honor, I would liken our position today as people who have stopped at a green light and are asking the police officer for permission to go through it. But we really had the power to go through it all along anyway, provided we weren't doing something else illegal, like speeding.

7 So for that reason, Your Honor, I join in the things 8 that Mr. Huebner said and I believe that we are taking a belt 9 and suspenders approach here to approval of this agreement. 10 And having said that, I would urge the Court to approve the 11 agreement, on the terms as they were laid out.

12 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

13 MR. PERILLO: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Thank you. Are there other parties that15 wish to be heard on this motion? Mr. Schnabel?

MR. SCHNABLE: Thank you, Your Honor. Very briefly,
Eric Lopez Schnable on behalf of the U.S. Bank as trustee.

18 Your Honor, as our response stated, we're really here about process. And I think the changes in the order and the 19 20 statements we've heard today make clear that the confirmation process here is being perfected. And we're not really -- this 21 22 Court is not taking step one in confirmation. What's really 23 occurring here is that two parties have agreed to a framework 24 of what a plan might look like, although it's only, as Mr. 25 Huebner said, step one of many subsequent steps.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

64

So our concern, obviously, is process, that our 1 2 holders and other creditors have the protections afforded to them under the Bankruptcy Code. And we don't believe this 3 order or this bilateral agreement impedes that in any way. 4 5 And obviously, as we stated, we do think a consensual resolution is a good step and we're happy to see that, although 6 7 we don't take a position with respect to the substance of the 8 settlement. 9 All right. THE COURT: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. SCHNABEL: Thank you. All right. Are there other 11 THE COURT: parties that wish to be heard on this motion in the courtroom? 12 13 All right. And are there other parties on the phone 14 that wish to be heard on this motion? 15 All right. Then hearing none, Mr. Huebner? 16 MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, just a couple of very quick, 17 tiny closing thoughts. 18 Let me certainly agree very completely with Mr. 19 Perillo. If I -- if anyone thought I gave a summary of the 20 CBA, it was the worst summary ever in the history of the world. I left a million things out, including, for example, the 21 22 limited reopener that he referenced. So please forgive me if I 23 created a misimpression I was walking through the CBA. It is 24 very complicated and very long, and I certainly could never 25 have summarized it with justice.

Although I do note that if somebody wants to understand the deal without reading the whole thing, the motion does purport to lay out several pages of bullet points which might be helpful as a cheat sheet -- it can't be relied upon -but it is there in case anybody in the public is curious about it.

7 I'm not quite sure that I agree with Mr. Perillo on 8 the law. We've had many philosophical debates about the proper 9 role of the bankruptcy court in the interplay. I don't know if 10 the light is yellow or green or red and whether you hold a 11 clicker or not. Happily we don't need to resolve, because I think what everybody agrees on is that this form of order, word 12 by word by word by word, is wonderful and great, and everyone I 13 14 have ever met wants it entered. And so without having to 15 resolve whether we "could have signed it without your 16 approval", either as to the retirees under 1114 or the union, I think we can leave the intellectual debate for sort of having a 17 18 beer, and address what we have before us, which is a fully 19 agreed order.

In terms of Mr. Schnabel; to be clear, Your Honor, what his statement that he filed said was that they wanted to ensure that nothing in the order affected SubCon. I don't know how anybody could have possibly have read anything in the order as affecting SubCon. But just again to give the investing public and the world comfort that I -- it doesn't build a Mr.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

Potatohead and it doesn't affect SubCon. It just affects a
 bilateral deal between us and the union its two capacities as
 1113 and 1114 representative.

And so the fact that I waited to see if anyone else 4 5 wanted to speak and nobody wanted to speak to the negative, leads me to be able to make the delighted statement that as we 6 7 expected and hoped and trusted, since the objection deadline 8 was yesterday morning, and we were keeping pretty much 9 everybody at the core of the case involved step-by-step, there 10 are no detractors, no objectors. The words of the order are There could certainly be another tweak 11 completely agreed to. 12 or two, technical glitches and the like. The committee, for 13 example, has caught one that I think we've already all agreed it's just sort of a typo that we need to fix in these 14 15 voluminous underlying documents.

But we are absolutely ready and would all but implore the Court to please enter the order in the form that it was tendered so that we can announce that both signatures are ready to be affixed to the documents, and we can go on from there to help finish saving this company.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Huebner.
All right. Then if hearing nothing else, I have
reviewed the motion and the various agreements, the responses
that have been provided in writing by the creditors' committee
and U.S. Bank. Those are responses, not objections. So I can

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 find for the record that there have been no objections to the 2 motion. And I will grant the debtors' motion under 9019(a) and 3 approve the settlement with the United Mine Workers of America.

4 Let me just say that I would like to thank the debtors 5 and the union for all of their hard work to reach this 6 consensual resolution of this matter. I know that it was a 7 difficult task. I would have liked to have ordered a similar 8 compromise resolution. But as you all know, Congress did not 9 allow for such discretion to bankruptcy judges, under the 10 Bankruptcy Code, when presented with these issues.

11 You are to be commended for your work and the 12 progression that this will allow for the debtors to move 13 towards reorganization. Thank you.

14 All right. Then Mr. Huebner, is there anything else15 then, this morning?

MR. HUEBNER: Your Honor, I think that, as is obvious, Michelle McGreal always tells me what to do and say. So that concludes the main hearing. I think there is a status conference on some Peabody litigation matters that is separate from the agenda and the docket. And I think that Mr. O'Neill, of the creditors committee would purport to frame that for the Court, if that's okay?

THE COURT: All right. Mr. O'Neill?
MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning.

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 MR. O'NEILL: You'll recall that the Peabody 2004 2 process was before Your Honor back in April. You entered an 3 order. And I wanted to take a moment to provide you with an 4 update on what's going on.

5 I guess let me begin with the good news. The good news is that the process has been overwhelmingly a cooperative 6 7 And the parties have managed on a consensual basis to one. 8 resolve over -- the overwhelming majority of issues, perhaps 9 all issues. But I don't want to be too optimistic. I'm sure there'll be something. 10

11 The bad news is that we've been doing an awful lot of 12 cooperating. The process has been extraordinarily lengthy and 13 laborious. It started back in January when we provided a form of subpoena to Peabody. And it's been ongoing since then. 14 15 Over the eight months since that began, we have -- I've had, I 16 think, over thirty conference calls relating to this. I have an avalanche of e-mails from Jones Day and Armstrong Teasdale 17 18 concerning issues relating to this production.

We spent six weeks negotiating the terms of the 2004
order. We spent ten weeks negotiating a confidentiality
agreement. We've spent the better part of four months
negotiating a very complex set of computer search terms.

On top of the fact that it has been so lengthy and so laborious, Your Honor, it is almost entirely preliminary. To date, we have received about 3,000 documents. Those are all

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

hard-copy documents or images of hard copy documents. But we have received virtually no electronic documents; I mean, a handful. I've received far more e-mails from Jones Day than I have from Peabody, Your Honor.

5 And given that it's been eight months, we want to know 6 when we're going to start to see that stuff, the electronic 7 discovery, and as importantly, when this process is reasonably 8 going to be completed.

9 We put questions like that to Peabody and they told 10 us, essentially, they don't feel that they're under any 11 obligation to tell us what the status of the electronic review 12 and production is. And so we decided to raise the issue with 13 you, just to find out what's going on. I mean, this is a process that's been going on a long a time. It's consuming a 14 15 lot of estate resources. And we'd like to know when it's going to -- when we're going to see what we set out to get and when 16 17 we can reasonably expect for it to -- for that part of the 18 investigation to be complete.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Moskowitz?
 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor. For the
 record, Elliott Moskowitz, of the law firm of Davis Polk and
 Wardwell, representing the debtors.

Your Honor, I'll just speak very briefly. We have
been -- the debtors have been working closely together with the
committee in connection with the Peabody investigation. I rise

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 only to echo the comments that Mr. O'Neill mentioned. We too have been -- we share Mr. O'Neill's and the committee's 2 frustration with the pace of production. We've been at this a 3 4 very long time. Some of the pacing and some of the lack of information that's come forth from Jones Day and from Peabody 5 has been, frankly, befuddling. And I think at this point, all 6 7 we're asking for is to try to hold Peabody's feet to the fire a 8 little bit, in terms of moving things along.

9 We've asked them for a timetable for production. It's a completely reasonable request. 10 It's ordinary course in 11 They should know by now how much material they litigation. have to review, how many people they will devote to undertake 12 13 that review. There are technologies that people can bring to bear. 14 I don't have to get too granular. The point is, we 15 simply need to know when this process will end so the 2004 process can be completed and the investigation can be completed 16 promptly. So for those reasons, we share in the committee's 17 18 frustration and echo the request for a timetable for Peabody.

And I will just note in closing that the fact that we're here this morning is actually a bit ironic, because while Peabody has been dragging its feet with respect to the production in this case, they have somehow found the time to propound discovery requests in another litigation against the debtors -- this is in the litigation that the union has brought against Peabody. So they're busy with propounding discovery in

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 that litigation against the debtors, while dragging their feet
2 in this litigation.

This morning is not the morning to discuss that other set of discovery requests. But we are -- rest assured that we are studying those requests and will respond in due course. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. Cousins?

9 MR. COUSINS: Good morning, again, Your Honor. Steven 10 Cousins of Armstrong Teasdale.

Mr. Jack Newman of Jones Day will be responding to the comments made by counsel for the creditors' committee and counsel for the debtor and also will be providing Your Honor with a status report.

15 I will only enter a prefatory comment to Mr. Newman's 16 comments, and that is, I've been personally involved in about 17 eighty-five percent of -- along with Ms. Wilson -- of the 18 status conferences and the discovery conferences, and I can 19 just assure you, Your Honor, that we've been proceeding --20 Peabody's been proceeding in good faith. They've made some enormous requests upon us, mammoth requests, and we've sort of 21 22 gone through those item-by-item and spent a lot of good time, 23 and I think we've made a lot of progress, which I think has 24 been understated here today.

25

7

8

And I think we have demonstrated a track record of

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1	showing cooperation, not only with respect to dealing with the
2	2004 Peabody the request of Peabody, but also just
3	historically looking at how we handled the 2004 requests made
4	by the salaried retirees. We of course resolved that. Also
5	looking at the way we handled the 2004 request made of Duffs
6	and made of Morgan Stanley; we've resolved that. And of course
7	with the respect to this third-party litigation, what counsel
8	fails to tell you is that we voluntarily gave them a
9	two-week a thirteen-day extension to respond to the third-
10	party subpoena, which we think is quite a legitimate
11	expression, again, of the cooperation that we've brought to
12	bear.
13	But for the particulars, I'll let Mr. Newman go into
14	that for you.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Newman?
16	MR. NEWMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Good morning.
18	MR. NEWMAN: Jack Newman from Jones Day on behalf of
19	Peabody. Your Honor, let me remind the Court, although it
20	really goes without saying, there's no motion before the Court
21	here; really is no dispute that's been brought to the Court.
22	There have been lots of disputes. There's been
23	considerable contentiousness, even hostility on occasion. This
24	has been an enormously difficult process, more difficult than I
25	think any of us, at least on our side, had ever encountered
I	
before. Peabody has honored every agreement it's made. It's been working on the 2004 and the agreements that were made in connection with that. And the reason that nobody has been before the Court, is because Peabody has worked very hard in these difficult circumstances, to resolve the issues.

6 Just as a little bit of history on the electronic 7 documents, Your Honor. There are a whole set of paper 8 documents separately. But just on the electronic documents, 9 recall that the Court entered its Rule 2004 order and the confidentiality order on June 7th. 10 There's a separate production going on -- this doesn't have to do with the 11 separate litigation that has been called for -- or that has 12 13 referred to -- but there's a separate production going on in 14 connection with two 2004 subpoenas that have been issued in 15 this proceeding to financial advisors; and we have been involved in that also. And confidentiality orders there were 16 entered at the end of June. 17

18 Recall that there was a request for mailboxes of 19 twenty-three people on fifteen sets of backup tapes -- and I 20 emphasize sets, because it's more than one tape for each of the 21 days -- plus all of the documents on shared drives to which 22 those people had access.

We actually started production on -- and have been engaged in a rolling production since then on our own, plus assisting in -- through confidentiality review, the production

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

by the third part -- the two third parties, the two financial advisors, over these past months, with about in the vicinity total of I think 80,000 pages delivered both from Peabody and from the third parties with whom Peabody is working on the confidentiality issues.

With particular reference, Your Honor, to the so-6 7 called electronic production, there was a big debate over a 8 long period of time about search terms as a basis for somehow, 9 on an electronic basis, a computerized basis, limiting the number of documents that attorneys would actually have to 10 11 That debate went on until finally on July 25th, examine. 12 essentially Peabody gave up and said okay; we don't see --13 we're not going to be reaching agreement here on additional 14 limitations on the search terms that you want. I think there 15 was something like forty-three.

And, Your Honor, so we finally said okay, we'll 16 take -- start with those search terms here to be as narrow as 17 18 we could get them in discussions with the other side. We knew they would be horribly broad. In fact, Your Honor, I have a 19 20 piece of paper here that contains the listing of the search I'd be happy to provide that to the Court just so you 21 terms. 22 get a visual notion of what we are dealing with here in terms 23 of one of the first steps on a computer basis, once we have 24 retrieved all the electronic documents.

The search terms cover over onto seven -- even eight

25

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

pages, Your Honor. Very, very complicated. We knew they would
 bring back a whole lot of material, including material that
 would not be responsive.

Then there was the issue of tape restoration, because 4 5 remember, this is -- these are period of time covering three 6 and a half years, from the beginning of 2005, all this at the 7 insistence of the committee -- from the beginning of 2005 until 8 May of 2008. So this -- in order to get materials and make 9 sure we had the materials, not only for people who are still at 10 Peabody, but people who are at Patriot but used to be at 11 Peabody, others who are no longer at Peabody but not at 12 Patriot, go back and restore tapes.

13 That process -- tapes -- well, first of all there was 14 the selection of dates which the plaintiffs -- or excuse me --15 which the committee and Patriot made. And they gave us a 16 selection of dates. And then there were issues of -- well, 17 there weren't tapes for certain of the days. They wanted to 18 sequence them in a certain way. So we finally got tapes sent 19 for restoration in the beginning of July.

20 But when they were sent for restoration and some of 21 them were corrupted, some of them were mismarked on dates. 22 Finally restoration was complete, that is, just the physical 23 restoration of all these old, old tapes, was completed in early 24 August.

25

What happened then was that they were processed so

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

that there was de-duplication and that's one of the initial and
 standard processes of dealing with electronic data.
 De-duplication and run through the date filter so that
 materials that were from -- that were outside the date ranges
 could be excluded. And then the search terms were run.

And what that returned once material outside the date
range was excluded and once the de-duplication was done and
then once the search terms were run, were about 630,000
documents.

We are satisfied that among that 630,000, are a whole 10 11 bunch that are not going to be responsive, because remember, that's just running electronically and automatically running 12 13 the search terms. So we've been considering how it is that we 14 approach not having to have attorneys set eyes on 630,000 15 documents. And that's just, again, the electronic documents. 16 We have recovered, I think, something in the range of eighty 17 boxes of paper documents recently from Iron Mountain. And 18 there are going to be more paper documents, given the breadth 19 that has been insisted upon here for this discovery.

So we're in the process now of putting attorneys' eyes on documents, assisted on the front end by analytical tools available in the software program, to try to find a way and a sensible fashion to narrow down on a significant basis the number of documents that would otherwise have to be reviewed by attorneys.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

We -- this process, under no circumstances, Your Honor, that is the discovery process, given the breadth and just the insistence that has come principally from the committee, there's no possibility that this process will finish before the beginning of next year, some time in the early part of next year.

Now, that's not saying that -- at all, that we plan to 7 8 gather everything together and then just make a delivery then. 9 We have been and we will continue to deliver documents as we are able to determine that they are responsive, not privileged 10 and we can mark them as confidential or as AEP confidential, 11 12 under the terms of the protective order. So the process is 13 We're fully prepared, if the Court, for example, in ongoing. 14 let's say sixty days, wants to have some kind of a report. 15 When we'll have, we think, after various iterations of looking at the electronic materials, trying to make sure that in our 16 narrowing-down process, we're getting the responsive ones and 17 18 not getting nonresponsive ones, then we'll be in a position, I 19 think at that point, to give the Court a pretty good factual 20 recitation.

But that's not to say in the meantime even then, Your Honor, that we won't be producing documents. We're going to be producing documents on a regular basis. And we're also going to be -- to the extent the third parties are -- that is the two investment banks are producing documents, we'll be side-by-side

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

looking at them, doing the confidentiality review on them, so
 that they can continue the production to the extent they have
 additional documents to produce.

So that's where we stand. It's a process that we constantly hear, well, it's been going on for seven months, but the fact is, that as I've set out for you here the dates, the issue of the tapes, the tape restoration, the search terms, which we -- as I say, we knew we were going to produce way, way more than what would be properly responsive here, that really is something that's been occurring over the summertime.

11

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

12 MR. NEWMAN: If you have any questions, Your Honor, 13 I'll be happy to attempt to answer them further. But this is a 14 monumental process. Your Honor, remember there are bunches of 15 subsidiaries. The requests are for anything having to do with 16 any of the subsidiaries that were transferred to Patriot or that were considered for transfer to Patriot, or assets that 17 18 were transferred to Patriot or considered for transfer to 19 Patriot, or any other transactions of a like variety that were 20 considered during a period of time at the beginning of 2005 to the middle of 2008. So it's a huge process to identify what is 21 22 it that we need to be looking for to educate the attorneys that 23 are reviewing them and so forth.

And there are over 200 lawyers that at one point or another touched this. So their names need to be addressed for

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

privilege purposes. This is a monumental task. We are doing
 it in the best possible way that we can think of, and with the
 best possible organized approach, Your Honor.

5

4

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Newman. Mr. O'Neill.

6 MR. O'NEILL: I was hoping, Your Honor, that in our 7 eight months of cooperation we would have spared you some of 8 the details of this. Certainly that was our intention. We 9 wanted to get it to the point where we could just start getting 10 documents and not involve you in eight pages of search terms, 11 which we agree are highly complex. It's a highly complex 12 transaction. It's a lot of discovery.

13 But you would think that Mr. Newman was going from a 14 standing start today, not that he'd been working for eight 15 months on this -- or he and his team have been working on this 16 for eight months. They ought to be up and ready to go. And to say that it's another four months before they're complete, Your 17 18 Honor, that sounds like he's trying to run out the clock. 19 He's playing four corners on the estate and not making Right? 20 a good-faith effort to comply with discovery requests which have been outstanding for a lengthy period of time. 21

We agree, Your Honor -- and part of the reason we requested this conference is because we think it's appropriate, frankly, to involve the Court, because we felt that we were getting sort of slow walked -- we agree that Your Honor should

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

set a control date. We don't think sixty days is appropriate. However, we were thinking of something in the order of two weeks. And we hope that Peabody will more than redouble its efforts, but try to get done quickly, not in four months, Your Honor. That's effectively saying we're not going to get done with the investigation before the case is over.

7

THE COURT: Mr. Moskowitz?

8 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Your Honor, just two quick closing 9 Number one, Mr. Newman repeatedly referred to the notes. committee, the committee, the committee, the committee, in his 10 recitation of the events. I should certainly note that the 11 debtors have been intimately involved and working arm-in-arm 12 13 with the committee in all aspects of this. And again, we 14 support everything that Mr. O'Neill said in his recitation of 15 the events. And Mr. Newman's recitation of the events, I don't 16 think, is exactly correct.

I will say, though, that it speaks for itself, the 17 18 fact that we've been at this for nine months, and the fact that 19 only a trickle of electronic documents have been produced, 20 speaks for itself in terms of the timing of this all. Your Honor has seen discovery processes before. Your Honor has seen 21 them in Chapter 11 in particular. And I think we can all 22 23 agree, this one is moving at a snail's pace and they're trying 24 to run out the clock. They need a deadline in order to 25 complete their production.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

11

1	And even if it's a best efforts deadline, they should
2	make their best efforts to complete their production by a
3	deadline. Maybe that deadline, I don't know if it's thirty
4	days, I don't know if it's sixty days. Jones Day has a lot of
5	lawyers and a lot of contract attorneys. There's no excuse to
6	get an aspirational prediction that they'll be finished with
7	their production when? Hm, I think when the debtors emerge
8	from Chapter 11. Thank you, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Mr. Newman, did you have anything else?
11	MR. NEWMAN: Just one moment, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Um-hum.
13	MR. NEWMAN: Your Honor, if this is an accusation of
14	bad faith on behalf of either Peabody or our law firm, I would
15	ask that Patriot or the committee put something in writing,
16	make that accusation on which the Court can act, and we will
17	respond.
18	I don't know how this Court can sit there and hear
19	this kind of lawyer wrangling without having an opportunity to
20	look at an organized presentation on paper. Again, I say if
21	there's an accusation of bad faith, put it in paper on
22	paper. We will respond. This is a difficult process. We're
23	doing our best.
24	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Let me just say, Your Honor, that I
25	respect Mr. Newman very much and I think he's a great guy. And

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

1 I don't think he's personally acting in bad faith.

2 What I will suggest is that what we're seeing here is 3 potentially another delay tactic, the fact that we should 4 actually have to go ahead and make a motion. As Your Honor is 5 painfully aware, there are no magistrates for -- discovery 6 magistrates in bankruptcy, so unfortunately, these kinds of 7 disputes darken Your Honor's door.

8 Oral conferences with respect to the pace and timing 9 of discovery are utterly commonplace. I'm sure Your Honor has had them many times before. 10 That's what this is. And what we're asking for is something completely ordinary course, which 11 is a time table. And the fact that they're resisting it so 12 13 fiercely and suggest that we should go through a letter-writing 14 campaign or a motion-writing campaign, just to find out if they 15 can produce things on an ordinary, normal time table, I think is guite telling. Thank you, Your Honor. 16

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Let me take a
brief recess and let me take a look at the orders again. We'll
be in temporary recess.

20 (Recess from 11:48 a.m. until 12:07 p.m.) 21 THE CLERK: Your Honor, we're back on the record. 22 And are we ready, or have there been some discussions? 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There have been discussions, 24 but nothing that I think would affect --25 THE COURT: Mr. Moskowitz, we don't need any more

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

83

1 time? They're coming, Your Honor. 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 3 MR. MOSKOWITZ: No, no --THE COURT: All right. 4 5 All right, thank you, be seated, please. I quickly reviewed the order that was entered on June 6 7 the 6th, I believe it was -- June the 7th, which actually 8 contained very few references to dates on when documents would 9 be produced. So what I'm inclined to do -- I have to agree with Mr. 10 11 Newman that there is no formal motion in front of me, and it 12 certainly seems as though the parties have reached an impasse. 13 So Mr. O'Neill and Mr. Moskowitz, if you would please prepare a motion to compel, and file it by August the 29th. 14 Mr. Newman, 15 Mr. Cousins, Ms. Wilson, you all can file a response by September the 5th. And then we'll take the matter upon Friday 16 17 September the 13th at 10 a.m. Parties may appear in person or 18 by phone that day. And that'll be the only matter we take up. 19 The only other comment that I will make, Mr. Newman, 20 is the beginning of 2014 seems like a long time. So I would encourage Peabody to look at what can be done to speed up the 21 22 process at something sooner than that, keeping in mind that it 23 is a large undertaking to go through all of those documents. 24 But I think that we've got to move things along a little 25 faster, certainly being here in bankruptcy court.

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

84

All right, Mr. O'Neill and Mr. Moskowitz, anything 1 2 else? 3 MR. NEWMAN: No, Your Honor. Thank you. MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right, Mr. Newman, anything else? 6 7 MR. NEWMAN: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. All right, then. 9 Mr. Huebner, anything else, then, on behalf of the 10 debtors? 11 MR. HUEBNER: No, Your Honor. We really appreciate, 12 once again, the Court's time and indulgence. You have, I 13 believe, all the orders, so we're good to go. I believe I do. So we'll be busy this 14 THE COURT: 15 afternoon. 16 MR. HUEBNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. All right, then, thank you. 18 We'll be in recess until next week. All right, thank you. 19 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 12:10 PM) 20 21 22 23 24 25

# Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 85 of 105

INDEX RULINGS Page Line Debtors' objection to claims of Raleigh Mine & Industrial Supply Inc. is sustained Debtors' objection to claims of CSE Corporation is sustained Debtors' objection to claims 315 and others regarding J.H. Fletcher & Company is sustained Debtors' objection to claim 799 and others regarding Motion Industries is denied as withdrawn Debtors' objection to claim 3421 and others Regarding Fairchild International is sustained Tenth omnibus objection to claims is sustained except for Nancy Smith's claims Eleventh omnibus objection to claims is sustained Twelfth omnibus objection to claims is sustained 

> eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

# Case 12-51502 Doc 4503 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Pg 86 of 105

Thirteenth omnibus objection to claims is sustained Salaried retiree committee's motion to approve VEBA trust and to take possession of funds is granted Motion to employ GCP Legal Advisors, LLC as special claims administration counsel for the Debtors is granted Debtors' third motion to extend the exclusivity period is granted Motion for a supplemental DIP financing order is granted Motion to expedite the application to employ Duff & Phelps is granted Application to employ Duff & Phelps, LLC as valuation services provider is approved Motion to expedite hearing regarding the new collective bargaining agreement is granted 9019 settlement between debtors and UMWA is approved 

CERTIFICATION I, Hana Copperman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Hama Copperman HANA COPPERMAN AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D 487 eScribers 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040 August 21, 2013 Date: 

### CaseA12:500ALCorforATIENED 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pg 88 of 105 August 20, 2013

Case No. 12-51502		Pg 88 of 105		August 20, 2013
Α	- 20:19;42:9;45:19; 52:4;65:18 - addressed (3)	56:14;61:24;64:18; 65:7;79:11,22,25; 80:23;83:10	<b>15:23;17:7;18:8;67:3</b> <b>American (2)</b> 12:3;17:23	<b>27:17;28:2;68:2</b> <b>Arch (1)</b> 19:11
ability (2)	43:23;58:6;78:25	agreed (12)	Americas (1)	Argonaut (2)
48:19;52:23	adjourn (1)	22:20;34:16;40:20;	6:14	10:3;17:19
able (11)	20:3	43:17;46:5;48:16;	among (2)	arm-in-arm (1)
20:16;32:13;41:6,	adjourned (4)	54:8;56:11;63:23;	60:2;76:10	80:12
8;44:9,17;45:2;	25:19;26:4,11,17	65:19;66:11,13	amount (5)	<b>ARMSTRONG (4)</b>
47:16;53:22;66:6;	administer (4)	agreement (17)	32:8;42:15;44:2;	8:11;16:18;68:17;
47.10,55.22,00.0, 77:10	27:20,25;29:17;	28:23;37:8;42:19;	48:9;49:4	71:10
	46:8	43:10;45:16;47:20;	amply (1)	around (4)
absence (1)	administered (1)	48:7;55:5;57:1;	50:19	42:11;57:10,15;
61:13	28:25	58:14;61:17;63:9,11;	ANA (2)	60:3
absent (1)	administering (1)	64:4;68:21;73:1;	7:19;17:9	Article (1)
62:19	45:24	74:13	analytical (1)	46:9
absolutely (3)	administration (2)	agreements (7)	76:21	aspects (1)
37:15;57:12;66:16	30:17;45:9	34:3;42:21;44:15;	analyze (1)	80:13
access (1)	advance (1)		•	
73:22		60:18;61:24;66:23;	40:7	Aspen (2)
accommodation (1)	41:2	73:2	<b>ANDREA</b> (2)	12:3;17:23
46:1	adversary (1)	agrees (2)	14:8;16:14	aspirational (1)
Accordingly (1)	31:19	49:2;65:12	ANGELA (1)	81:6
29:22	Advisors (3)	ahead (1)	7:10	assets (2)
account (1)	30:17;73:15;74:2	82:4	Angie (1)	59:23;78:17
45:4	<b>AEP</b> (1)	Airlines (1)	15:17	assisted (1)
accounting (2)	77:11	61:20	announce (5)	76:21
36:12,13	affect (3)	albeit (1)	25:11;38:15,19;	assisting (1)
accusation (3)	58:4;66:1;82:24	34:1	45:2;66:18	73:25
81:13,16,21	affected (4)	ALFONSO (4)	annual (1)	Association (1)
achieved (1)	28:7,23;29:3;65:22	7:19;17:9;18:7,9	47:12	12:13
45:6	affecting (1)	aligned (1)	apart (1)	assume (3)
acknowledge (2)	65:24	45:1	61:12	19:10;58:18,25
	affects (1)	allow (4)	apologize (4)	assumed (1)
28:14;51:8	66:1	28:21;47:13;67:9,	19:3;54:15,20;59:2	47:3
act (2)	affiliates (1)	12	apology (1)	assuming (2)
61:14;81:16	15:11	allowed (1)	57:9	34:11;52:22
acting (1)	affixed (1)	56:14	appear (1)	assurance (1)
82:1	66:19	almost (9)	83:17	51:22
action (1)				
59:23	afford (1)	31:22;32:1;39:24;	appearances (2)	assurances (1)
actions (1)	44:10	41:15;42:2,2;57:10,	15:7;18:24	44:18
51:23	afforded (1)	14;68:24	appears (3)	assure (2)
active (1)	64:2	alone (2)	19:9;48:23;56:7	39:12;71:19
43:14	afternoon (1)	61:9;62:24	application (7)	assured (1)
actual (4)	84:15	along (5)	30:16;32:18;36:8,	71:4
51:2,18;54:24;61:9	Again (18)	44:3;63:4;70:8;	23,24;37:2,4	assuredly (1)
actually (21)	24:24;45:11;47:8;	71:17;83:24	appoint (1)	58:8
26:12;36:20;37:19;	50:16;51:3;53:5,18;	Alpha (1)	29:15	as-yet-to-be-found-and-negotiated (1
39:4,18;42:13;45:4,	55:9;56:5;62:8;	25:24	appreciate (1)	48:18
22;49:5;51:9;52:20;	65:24;71:9;72:11;	although (6)	84:11	attached (1)
56:5;57:24;60:23;	76:15;80:13;81:20;	21:25;51:3;63:24;	approach (5)	28:20
62:12,23;70:20;	82:18;84:12	64:6;65:1;72:19	20:1;31:24;63:9;	attempt (1)
	against (6)	always (6)	76:14;79:3	78:13
73:23;74:10;82:4;	22:3;24:17;50:23;	35:2,19;44:21;	appropriate (6)	attending (1)
83:7	70:23,25;71:1	45:17;59:12;67:17	37:11;43:1;44:14;	40:24
Ad (2)	agenda (3)	amended (3)	56:11;79:23;80:1	Attorneys (22)
10:14;18:15	19:3;26:18;67:20	24:23;48:24;50:3	approval (10)	6:12;7:3,14;8:3,12;
add (2)	Agent (7)	amendment (13)	41:5,7;52:5,6;	9:3,12;10:3,14;11:3,
52:2;60:15	7:15,15;9:3;13:3;		60:25;61:4;62:9,22;	
ADDIS (1)		34:6;48:1,18,19;		13;12:3,13;13:3,12;
8:2	14:3;16:9;17:7	49:6;51:3,5,6,25;	63:9;65:16	14:3,12;74:10;76:14,
additional (2)	ago (4)	52:10,15;55:15,22	approve (7)	25;78:22;81:5
74:13;78:3	31:6;33:20;43:16;	amendments (1)	26:24;27:14;30:6;	attorneys' (1)
Additionally (1)	49:22	62:18	32:17;37:4;63:10;	76:20
28:2	agree (12)	America (7)	67:3	August (2)
addross (5)	21:7:30:9:54:5;	7:14;11:13;13:20;	April (3)	75:24:83:14

**Min-U-Script**®

address (5)

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

7:14;11:13;13:20;

April (3)

21:7;30:9;54:5;

75:24;83:14

				_
authority (4)	47:13;68:7;74:8,9,9,	bit (5)	brutal (1)	40:15;49:15,25;
51:23;52:21;62:5,9	23;76:23;77:23	42:12;43:9;70:8,	50:11	50:1;57:6;59:8
authorization (1)	bear (2)	20;73:6	Bryan (5)	care (1)
19:10	70:14;72:12	black- (1)	16:6;20:16;31:22,	25:6
automatically (1)	become (1)	51:13	23;32:9	career (1)
76:12	54:12	black-line (4)	build (2)	36:16
available (2)	bedrock (1)	39:3;50:22;56:21;	49:12;65:25	carefully (4)
50:23;76:22	35:19	58:12	bullet (1)	39:14;46:9,14,1
avalanche (1)	beer (1)	<b>BLACKWELL (1)</b>	65:3	CARMODY (2)
68:17	65:18	13:2	bunch (4)	7:2;15:17
Avenue (7)	befuddling (1)	BLAINE (2)	31:2;42:21;51:25;	Carondelet (1)
6:14;7:5,16;10:15;	70:6	10:10;17:17	76:11	13:4
11:5;14:4,14	began (2)	blessing (1)	bunches (1)	case (20)
aware (2)	56:24;68:15	59:18	78:14	15:7;33:11,12;
31:19;82:5	begin (4)	board (1)	business (4)	35:19;37:13,20;
away (1)	37:9,10;51:16;68:5	29:15	40:7;44:10;46:4;	43:17;44:3,22;5
32:8	beginning (9)	bondholders (1)	49:21	55:1;59:14,22;6
awful (1)	36:18;38:16;43:17;	39:8	businessy (1)	20,21;65:5;66:9
68:11	75:6,7,19;77:5;	bonus (1)	42:25	70:22;80:6
	78:20;83:20	44:19	busy (2)	cases (4)
В	behalf (19)	both (10)	70:25;84:14	37:11;39:23;42:
	15:11,18,23;16:3,	39:25;43:14;45:8;	buy (3)	57:12
back (12)	24;17:3,7,18;18:2,4,	48:19;50:17;53:17;	45:22;47:22;49:2	cash (4)
26:12;36:21;41:16;	8,11,14,17,20;63:17;	57:25;62:7;66:18;	buys (1)	47:23;48:8,8;52
48:11;52:13;53:7,20;	72:18;81:14;84:9	74:3	47:23	casting (1)
68:2,13;75:2,12;	believes (1)	bottom (3)	47.25	54:22
82:21	44:10	39:12;53:5,15	С	category (3)
			<b>C</b>	
backend (1)	belt (1)	Boulevard (3)		50:9;51:4,7
34:21	63:8	8:13;9:4,14	cabinets (1)	Caterpillar (4)
backup (1)	Ben (1)	boxes (1)	49:12	9:12,13;17:14,1
73:19	58:2	76:17	calendar (1)	caught (1)
bad (5)	bench (1)	Brad (1)	45:13	66:13
58:1;68:11;81:14,	37:16	15:20	calibrated (1)	causes (1)
21;82:1	benefit (4)	<b>BRADLEY</b> (1)	46:10	59:23
balance (1)	29:19;44:2,20;	6:18	call (2)	Cave (5)
29:11	47:16	Branch (1)	50:13;55:4	16:6;20:16;31:2
Bank (9)	benefits (11)	26:5	called (3)	23;32:9
7:14;12:13;16:25;	28:5,22;29:12,13;	brand (1)	36:13;73:12;74:7	caveat (1)
17:7;18:8;25:25;	43:6;45:9,14,21;46:3,	37:24	callers (1)	46:17
				CBA (20)
26:14;63:17;66:25	8,16	breadth (2)	27:9	
Bankruptcy (20)	besides (1)	76:18;77:2	calling (1)	42:7,24;43:5,19
15:2;29:21;33:17;	30:3	break (1)	37:20	24;48:6,17;53:8
34:3;43:1;52:6;55:9;	best (5)	62:21	calls (1)	12,12;54:10,24;
56:9,13;58:18;59:2;	79:2,3;81:1,2,23	breathing (2)	68:16	11,23;56:3,7;64
62:1,4,8;64:3;65:9;	bet (1)	54:24;55:5	campaign (2)	23
67:9,10;82:6;83:25	54:3	brethren (1)	82:14,14	CBAs (1)
banks (1)	better (1)	40:19	can (32)	46:9
77:25	68:21	Brian (1)	20:5,23;27:9;32:3,	Cecil (1)
bargaining (4)	betting (1)	16:5	8;35:23;38:22;39:12;	58:2
37:8;42:18;58:14;	54:3	brief (2)	40:6;44:4;45:25;	Centers (1)
61:8	Bevins (1)	60:10;82:18	48:3,11;49:25;65:17;	26:15
barred (1)	19:20			
		briefly (2)	66:18,19,25;69:17;	Central (1)
55:21	beyond (1)	63:16;69:23	70:13,16,16;71:18;	7:5
barring (1)	50:18	bring (5)	77:11;78:2;79:2;	certain (9)
56:24	big (3)	26:12;32:14;39:18;	80:22;81:16,18;	25:10;34:5;35:5
base (2)	44:20;49:23;74:7	70:13;75:2	82:15;83:15,21	37:19;47:1;59:9
40:12;45:8	bilateral (5)	brings (4)	candidly (1)	75:17,18
based (2)	54:25;55:12,23;	26:23;36:7;37:6;	33:25	Certainly (19)
38:2;47:12	64:4;66:2	38:11	capacities (1)	25:13;31:13;34:
basically (2)	billion (1)	broad (3)	66:2	35:3,25;38:24;4
49:22;53:1	44:23	27:19;61:25;74:19		51:12;55:12;56
	44:23 binding (2)	27:19;61:25;74:19 brought (3)	cape (1)	60:11;62:20;64:
		neonant ( 4)	58:7	- 60.11.67.70.64
<b>basis (11)</b> 28:23;29:10;43:7;	52:21,25	70:24;72:11,21	capital (6)	24;66:11;79:8;8

### CaseAtrio1500ALDoor450ATI5Ned 98/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pq 90 of 105 August 20, 2013

83:12.25 certainty (2) 34:19:39:25 cetera (2) 40:16:50:1 chambers (2) 37:20:39:2 chance (1) 58:6 change (6) 51:1,3,17,19; 53:15:54:18 changes (7) 34:7;35:10,11; 39:5;42:8;53:1;63:19 Chapter (4) 33:15;57:3;80:22; 81:8 cheaper (4) 30:23;31:13;32:9; 44:7 cheat (1) 65:4 checked (1) 49:23 cheer (1) 57:16 Chicago (1) 8:6 chief (1) 40:25 circulate (1) 30:8 circulated (1) 39:7 circumstances (3) 47:1:73:5:77:1 cite (1) 61:19 Citibank (4) 13:3:14:3:16:9: 18:12 citing (1) 61:21 claim (14) 21:12,14;22:3,9,11, 12;23:1,3,8;25:2,6, 14;31:4;44:23 claims (29) 19:15,23;20:5,12, 20,21;21:5,25;22:17; 23:16;24:5,7,7,15,16, 22,24,24;25:15,18, 19;26:4,11,17;30:17, 22;31:8,20,23 claims-related (1) 32:2 clarifications (1) 51:1 clause (2) 60:20.21 clear (5) 32:8;47:18;52:19;

63:20:65:20 clearly (1) 55:19 CLERK (2) 15:2:82:21 Cleveland (1) 14:15 clicker (1) 65:11 clients (1) 57:24 clock (4) 57:10,15;79:18; 80:24 close (2) 32:14;59:18 closed (1) 34:23 closely (1) 69:24 closing (4) 60:4;64:17;70:19; 80:8 Coal (7) 11:3;15:7;18:21; 19:11;40:2;47:12,14 COBRA (1) 29:4 cocounsel (1) 15:19 **Code (8)** 43:1:52:7:59:5: 61:19:62:14,17:64:3; 67:10 **COHEN (16)** 8:8;18:17,19;27:5, 6,7,8,13,14;29:24; 30:4,5,7,13,15;43:15 colleagues (2) 15:12:20:15 collective (3) 37:8:42:18:58:13 colloquial (1) 56:6 combination (1) 35:12 comfort (3) 44:4;62:23;65:25 comfortable (2) 40:7,8 coming (4) 34:10;48:2;55:21; 83:2 commended (1) 67:11 comment (2) 71:15;83:19 comments (4) 57:14;70:1;71:12, 16 commerce (1) 36:21 commit (2)

28:10:61:14 commitment (1) 47:25 committed (1) 34:15 **Committee (37)** 6:12;7:3;8:3; 15:19;18:5,18;26:25; 27:18,20;28:1,3,6,9, 18,25;29:14,15,20, 22;32:24;33:13;39:8; 60:2;66:12,24;67:21; 69:25;71:12;75:7,15; 77:4;80:10,10,10,10, 13:81:15 committees (1) 27:23 committee's (2) 70:2,17 commonly (1) 34:2 commonplace (1) 82:9 communicate (1) 40:22 companies (1) 17:19 Company (15) 10:3,4,5;11:3; 18:21;22:18;25:20; 30:22,25;31:2;33:15; 50:13;53:8;57:3; 66:20 compared (3) 29:13;31:2;42:6 comparison (1) 38:20 compel (1) 83:14 compensation (1) 35:11 complete (7) 58:9;60:17;69:18; 75:22;79:17;80:25; 81:2 completed (4) 69:8;70:16,16; 75:23 completely (5) 57:19;64:18;66:11; 70:10;82:11 completing (1) 28:10 complex (6) 39:18;40:21;50:10; 68:22;79:11,11 complexity (2) 57:1;58:4 complicated (8) 42:19:43:5:45:11, 24;50:7;56:18;64:24; 75:1 comply (1)

79:20 comprised (1) 29:16 compromise (1) 67:8 compulsion (1) 61:9 computer (2) 68:22;74:23 computerized (1) 74:9 concept (2) 48:22;54:7 concern (1) 64:1 concerning (1) 68:18 concerns (2) 31:12;52:3 concessionary (1) 41:11 concluded (2) 62:7;84:19 concludes (1) 67:18 condition (1) 62:9 conditions (5) 34:12;41:4;48:17: 53:3.10 conference (3) 67:19:68:16:79:23 conferences (3) 71:18.18:82:8 confidential (4) 35:14;44:13;77:11, 11 confidentiality (6) 68:20;73:10,16,25; 74:5;78:1 confirmation (4) 58:19:59:17:63:20, 22 conflict (1) 23:11 Congress (2) 61:25;67:8 conjunction (1) 29:20 connection (6) 36:12:55:17:60:1; 69:25:73:3.14 consensual (5) 39:21;41:24;64:5; 67:6;68:7 consensually (1) 20:3 considerable (1) 72:23 consideration (3) 48:4,14;52:16 considered (3) 78:17,18,20

76:13 **Consistent** (1) 28:18 constantly (1) 78:5 consuming (1) 69:14 consummation (1) 59:4 contained (1) 83:8 contains (1) 74:20 contemplated (5) 45:5;46:3;48:1; 59:23;60:5 contemplates (1) 51:18 contentiousness (1) 72:23 Continental (2) 26:14;61:20 continue (12) 20:2,5;23:25;34:9; 36:15;37:17;41:23; 45:14;46:5;47:3; 77:9:78:2 continued (10) 19:8,12,17,25; 21:5;23:21;25:10,15, 18:45:3 continuously (2) 39:7:42:2 contract (10) 41:11;42:22;56:3, 14,22;60:22;61:6,10, 14:81:5 contractual (1) 48:15 contradictory (1) 57:19 contrary (1) 50:12 contribution (1) 46:22 control (1) 80:1 conversation (1) 34:18 conversations (1) 56:1 converts (1) 49:17 cooperate (1) 28:4 cooperating (1) 68:12 cooperation (3) 72:1,11:79:7 cooperative (1)

considering (1)

68:6

copies (2)

## CaseA12601500ALD0024502ATI5Ned 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pg 91 of 105 August 20, 2013

Case No. 12-51502		Pg 91 of 105		August 20, 2013
50:23,23	12;63:10,12,14,22;	curious (1)	65:17;74:7,11	desire (1)
copy (1)	64:9,11;65:9;66:17,	65:5	debates (1)	44:9
69:1	21;67:22,23,25;	current (4)	65:8	desires (1)
core (6)	69:19;71:7;72:15,17,	34:22;47:21;48:4;	debt (2)	50:21
30:8,9;33:12;	19,20,21;73:4,9;	53:23	40:19;49:16	detail (2)
35:14;42:22;66:9	74:21;77:13,19;	cut (1)	debtor (10)	36:19;46:9
corners (1)	78:11;79:4,24;80:7;	32:2	15:11;19:16;22:4;	detailed (1)
79:19	81:9,12,16,18;82:17,	Cutter (1)	24:17;29:21;46:22;	60:17
Corp (1)	25;83:4,25;84:5,8,14,	25:21	47:6;55:8,14;71:13	details (2)
22:12	17	20.21	debtors (20)	27:23;79:8
Corporation (7)	courtroom (9)	D	16:6;18:2;27:19,	determine (2)
8:12;9:12;14:12;	15:8,12;27:10;	<b>D</b>	25;28:4;33:24;45:14;	28:7:77:10
15:7;16:19;17:15;	30:1;36:1,21,24;	daily (1)	46:12,15;52:5;59:9;	detractors (1)
22:14	60:8;64:12	43:6	67:4,12;69:22,24;	66:10
correctly (1)	courts (1)	Dan (1)	70:24;71:1;80:12;	development (1)
57:12	61:3	17:13	81:7;84:10	39:23
corrupted (1)	Court's (7)	DANIEL (1)	debtors' (3)	device (1)
75:21	37:12;41:22;50:21;	9:18	28:21;49:14;67:2	30:21
cost (8)	56:21;59:18;61:11;	darken (1)	December (2)	devote (1)
30:24;39:25;40:1,	84:12	82:7	34:21,24	70:12
	COUSINS (8)	data (1)	decided (1)	different (9)
4,6,7;47:16;48:10	8:17;16:17,18,22;	76:2	69:12	
costs(1)			decision (1)	21:18,22;33:17;
29:4	71:8,9,10;83:15	date (18)		34:17;35:10;37:23;
cost-saving (1)	covenant (6)	24:1;34:22;49:4,6;	28:9	46:11;51:20;56:2
30:21	33:23;34:1,8,14,	53:8;54:3,6;59:3,7,	de-duplication (3)	differentials (2)
counsel (14)	16;35:1	14,15,16,16;68:25;	76:1,3,7	42:23;43:22
15:24;16:6,9,10;	covenants (1)	76:3,4,6;80:1	defined (1)	differently (1)
17:8;22:20;30:18;	35:2	dates (5)	61:3	42:12
31:10,21;32:4,7;	cover (1)	75:14,16,21;78:6;	defines (1)	difficult (6)
71:12,13;72:7	74:25	83:8 Desite (10)	28:24	58:5;67:7;72:24,
counsel's (1)	coverage (1)	Davis (10)	delay (1)	24;73:5;81:22
15:19	45:23	15:10,12;20:21;	82:3	difficulty (1)
couple (1)	covering (1)	23:11,12,13;31:9,22;	delighted (4)	27:10
64:16	75:5	32:10;69:21	44:8;45:2;52:2;	dilute (2)
course (15)	COWEN (1)	DAY (17)	66:6	44:24,24
39:7,9;43:16;	8:2 (DANDALL (2)	14:11;16:20;30:10;	deliver (1)	DIP (15)
50:22;52:9,9,13;	CRANDALL (2)	49:21;53:17;56:25;	77:9	7:15;9:3;13:3;
53:11;59:17;60:21;	13:25;15:25	58:1,1,1,2;68:17;	delivered (1)	14:3;16:10;17:8;
70:10;71:5;72:4,6;	crazy (1)	69:3;70:5;71:11;	74:3	33:8;34:6,9;35:5,16,
82:11	55:20	72:18;81:4;83:18	delivery (1)	16;39:9,10;55:19
Court (162)	created (1)	days (11)	77:8	<b>DIPs</b> (1)
15:2,5,14,17,21;	64:23	39:8;42:1;43:11;	demonstrated (1)	59:8
16:1,4,7,10,13,16,21;	creative (1)	45:19;51:19;73:21;	71:25	direction (1)
17:1,5,11,16,21,25;	42:11	75:17;77:14;80:1;	denied (2)	34:20
18:4,7,11,14,17,20,	credit (1)	81:4,4	19:22;23:5	directly (2)
23;19:7,14,19,22;	34:3	day-to-day (1)	DEPARTMENT (1)	32:4;57:12
20:8,12,18,20,23;	creditor (8)	43:7	6:2	disagreement (2)
21:1,10,11,20;22:6,8,	21:3,15,18,22;	DC (1)	depending (1)	54:24;60:15
9,15,23;23:1,5,14,23,	22:2;23:17,20;54:25	13:15	33:1	disbanded (1)
25;24:4,10,13,18,19;	Creditors (12)	deadline (6)	deputy (1)	29:20
25:2,4,9,13,16,23;	6:13;7:4;21:7;24:9,	31:5;66:7;80:24;	40:25	disbanding (1)
26:2,8,13,16,19,21,	25;25:10,12;26:3,10,	81:1,3,3	Des (1)	60:2
23;27:4,7,13;29:23,	14;64:2;67:21	deal (17)	12:5	discovery (12)
24,25;30:12,13,16;	creditors' (7)	22:14;32:10;39:21;	describe (3)	69:7;70:23,25;
31:7,12,16;32:7,12,	15:18;18:5;32:23;	40:20;41:4;42:3,5,5;	37:25;38:5;49:20	71:4,18;76:19;77:2;
15,20;33:5;35:14,25;	33:12;39:8;66:24;	43:4;45:11;46:2;	described (2)	79:12,20;80:21;82:5,
36:7,22;37:6,12;38:8,	71:12	49:8,21,21;53:6;	35:13;38:1	9
16,24;41:2,5;50:23;	critical (3)	65:2;66:2	describing (1)	discretion (1)
51:12,15;52:14;	37:15;40:13;47:20	dealing (3)	54:12	67:9
54:16;55:9,10,13,21;		72:1;74:22;76:2	design (1)	discuss (1)
56:12;58:10,21,23,	8:2	deals (2)	28:10	71:3
25;60:6,11,12;61:1,4,		24:15;42:24	designed (1)	discussed (4)
7,21;62:1,4,4,6,7,8,	22:12,14	debate (3)	59:7	25:1;32:23;37:19;

#### CaseA12:500ALDoor450ATI5Ned 98/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pg 92 of 105 August 20, 2013

Case No. 12-51502		Pg 92 of 105	
54:7	72:5	48:4	
discussion (2)	duplicative (1)	eleventh (1)	en
33:22;44:2	31:14	24:4	
discussions (3)	during (2)	eligibility (1)	en
74:18;82:22,23	37:17;78:20	28:24	
dispute (3)		Elliott (2)	en
27:18;56:1;72:21	Ε	15:12;69:21	
disputes (2)		ELLIS (1)	en
72:22;82:7	EARLY (6)	10:13	
distress (1)	10:10;17:17,17;	else (11)	
34:4	45:18;75:23;77:5	39:16;55:23;56:4;	en
distribute (1)	earnings (1)	63:5;66:4,22;67:14;	
29:9	34:4	81:10;84:2,6,9	
District (1)	earth (2)	else's (2)	
15:3	38:17;61:15	27:3;44:24	
docket (6)	Eastern (1)	e-mails (2)	en
18:25;19:4,10;	15:3	68:17;69:3	
21:6;23:7;67:20	EBITDA (3)	embark (1)	en
document (8)	33:20;34:2,8	49:14	
28:19;42:19;43:2,	echo (2)	embodied (1)	en
8;47:11;54:25;56:5;	70:1,18	42:17	
60:24	economic (3)	emerge (1)	en
documented (1)	35:8;46:11,16	81:7	
24:7	educate (1)	emergence (6)	en
documents (37)	78:22	29:21;49:15;58:18;	
37:18;39:3;42:18;	effect (1)	59:1,16;60:1	EC
46:14;47:25;48:23;	59:7	emphasize (1)	
52:20;53:2;62:18;	effective (11)	73:20	eq
66:15,19;68:25;69:1,	41:5;49:4,6;52:25;	employ (4)	
1,2;73:7,8,8,21;	53:9;54:3,12;59:3,7,	30:17;32:18;36:9;	eq
74:10,24;76:9,15,15,	14,16	37:4	
17,18,21,24;77:9,22,	effectively (1)	employee (1)	eq
23,25;78:3;79:10;	80:5	32:1	
80:19;83:8,23	effectiveness (1)	employer (4)	
dollar (3)	53:16	61:13,16;62:20,23	
35:20;42:15;44:23	efficiency's (1)	employing (1)	EI
dollars (6)	20:2	30:21	
42:6;45:5,17;49:2,	efficient (2)	empowered (1)	EI
5;52:11	21:17;22:20	28:3	
done (10)	effort (2)	enables (1)	er
31:16;36:12;43:12;	49:13;79:20	50:15	
53:6;54:11;57:7;	efforts (5)	enabling (1)	es]
76:7;80:4,5;83:21	40:23;41:24;80:4;	42:12	E
door (1)	81:1,2	encountered (1)	ES
82:7	eight (8)	72:25	
<b>DORSEY (2)</b> 12:12;16:24	57:11;68:15;69:5;	encourage (1)	
,	74:25;79:7,10,14,16	83:21	
down (4)	eighty (1)	end (12) 33:18;35:18;36:16;	
32:2;44:25;50:8; 76:23	76:16 eighty-five (3)	45:13,13;46:6;52:2;	Es
<b>DOYLE (3)</b>	41:14;42:14;71:17	56:24;62:15;70:15;	La
9:18;17:13,13	either (4)	73:17;76:21	
dragging (2)	24:17;25:14;65:16;	endeavor (1)	
70:21;71:1	81:14	62:21	
Drive (2)	electronic (12)	ended (2)	est
11:14;13:21	69:2,6,11;73:6,8;	38:23;39:17	Co
drives (1)	74:7,9,24;76:2,15;	Energy (3)	est
73:21	77:16;80:19	8:12;14:12;16:18	
due (2)	electronically (1)	engaged (1)	et
36:20;71:5	76:12	73:24	
Duff (2)	element (1)	engagement (1)	ev
36:9,17	49:9	35:14	
<b>Duffs (1)</b>	elements (1)	enormous (1)	
=			

71:21 normously (1) 72:24 nough (1) 52:14 nsure (1) 65:22 nter (6) 28:19;29:15;52:23; 60:13:66:17:71:15 ntered (13) 20:4;22:22;25:20; 27:17,22;31:6;33:4; 35:24;65:14;68:2; 73:9,17;83:6 nterprise (1) 35:20 ntirely (1) 68:24 ntities (1) 59:9 ntity (3) 27:24;28:1;29:18 ntry (1) 19:4 QT (1) 11:4 quitable (1) 61:25 quitably (2) 27:20:29:9 quity (10) 24:15:46:23:47:6, 22,22;52:11;53:23, 25;54:1;55:18 **RIC** (3) 12:18;16:24;63:17 RISA (1) 44:6 rand (1) 41:3 specially (2) 20:6;57:17 SQ (24) 6:8,17,18;7:9,10, 19,20;8:8,17;9:8,18; 10:10,18;11:9,18; 12:9,18;13:8,17,25; 14:7,8,17,18 ssentially (12) 30:21;33:16,19; 34:14;40:9;41:4; 48:11,13;53:16; 55:11;69:10;74:12 stablished (1) 45:10 state (3) 28:21;69:15;79:19 (2)40:16:50:1 ven (12) 30:24;33:22;37:17, 20;45:17;46:4;53:12;

57:16:72:23:74:25: 77:21;81:1 event (2) 44:21:54:9 events (3) 80:11,15,15 eventual (1) 29:20 everybody (11) 35:17:38:4:39:18; 41:12,18;44:24; 48:21;54:20;57:21; 65:12;66:9 everybody's (1) 39:20 everyone (1) 65:13 exactly (3) 22:13;33:21;80:16 examine (2) 40:6;74:11 example (5) 22:3;60:20;64:21; 66:13;77:13 exchanged (1) 57:25 excited (1) 38:15 excluded (2) 76:5.7 exclusivity (2) 32:21:33:7 excuse (4) 45:18;61:5;75:14; 81:5 executed (1) 53:3 exempts (1) 52:5 exercised (1) 62:1 exhibit (2) 24:25;28:20 existence (2) 60:20;61:18 exit (7) 34:15,24;36:11,13; 40:5,16;57:3 expand (1) 33:2 expect (4) 34:11,16,18;69:17 expectations (2) 35:8,9 expected (2) 34:20;66:7 expedite (7) 36:8;37:3,7,10,14; 38:7,9 explain (2) 38:22;54:19 express (1) 55:14

**Min-U-Script**®

#### CaseA178761500ALDoor468ATT6Ned 98/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pa 93 of 105 August 20, 2013

fast (1)
48:3
<b>faster (1)</b> 83:25
fast-moving (
37:11
fatal (1)
50:13 <b>federal (4)</b>
61:3,22;62:
feedback (2)
28:13,15
feel (2)
38:19;69:10 feels (1)
54:21
fees (2)
35:5,12
feet (3)
70:7,21;71:
<b>felt (2)</b> 35:3;79:24
few (14)
21:14;25:1
38:5,22,25;
16;48:23;51 25;83:8
<i>23,83.8</i> fewer (1)
35:2
fielding (1)
57:14
<b>fiercely (1)</b> 82:13
fifteen (3)
24:24;45:10
Fifth (7)
14:4;19:23; 17;26:4,6,1
figure (3)
40:15;49:24
File (4)
32:6;57:5;8
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9)
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2. filing (2)
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2 filing (2) 31:21;50:2;
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2. filing (2)
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2 filing (2) 31:21;50:2 filter (1) 76:3 final (1)
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2 filing (2) 31:21;50:2 filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2 filing (2) 31:21;50:2 filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18 finalizing (1)
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2. filing (2) 31:21;50:2: filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18 finalizing (1) 37:18 finally (4)
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:16 50:24;65:2. filing (2) 31:21;50:2: filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18 finalizing (1) 37:18 finally (4) 74:11,16;7:
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2. filing (2) 31:21;50:2; filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18 finalizing (1) 37:18 finally (4) 74:11,16;75 financeable (
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2. filing (2) 31:21;50:2; filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18 finalizing (1) 37:18 finally (4) 74:11,16;75 financeable ( 44:11
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23,24;24:10 50:24;65:2. filing (2) 31:21;50:2; filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18 finalizing (1) 37:18 finally (4) 74:11,16;75 financeable (
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23;24;24:10 50:24;65:2 filing (2) 31:21;50:2 filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18 finalizing (1) 37:18 finally (4) 74:11,16;7 financeable ( 44:11 Financial (8) 9:12;17:14; 35:6;40:4;4
32:6;57:5;8 filed (9) 19:20;20:10 23;24;24:10 50:24;65:2 filing (2) 31:21;50:23 filter (1) 76:3 final (1) 54:18 finalizing (1) 37:18 finally (4) 74:11,16;75 financeable ( 44:11 Financial (8) 9:12;17:14;

	Pg 93 of 105
	33:9;34:15,23;
	35:16,18;40:15,16;
	55:17
	find (5)
(1)	49:1;67:1;69:13;
	76:22;82:14
	fine (1)
	30:13 <b>finish (2)</b>
2:2,6	66:20;77:4
)	finished (2)
	40:13;81:6
	<b>Fire (3)</b>
10	10:5;17:20;70:7
	<b>FIRM (8)</b> 11:12;15:20;16:20;
	17:10;30:25;31:1;
	69:21;81:14
	First (19)
1:1	13:3;14:3;15:7;
	16:9;19:9,9;21:11;
1	39:2,9,11;47:20;
11;37:25;	48:20;49:3;51:17; 53:20;54:9;60:12;
;39:4;43:9,	74:23;75:13
51:8;60:10,	fit (1)
,,	49:18
	five (1)
	17:18
	<b>fix (1)</b> 66:14
	fixed (1)
	48:10
	Fletcher (1)
16;73:19	22:18
2.25.0	Floor (1)
3;25:8, 11	11:6 floors (2)
11	floors (2) 40:10;49:11
24;57:18	focus (1)
,	36:19
83:14,15	focused (1)
0.01.0	39:14
10;21:2, 16;26:25;	<b>folded (1)</b> 59:10
21	following (2)
-1	49:3;54:1
25	forever (1)
	43:3
	forgive (1)
	64:22
)	<b>form (11)</b> 39:19;42:18;47:10,
)	21;49:12;52:18;
	53:23;60:14;65:12;
75:18,22	66:17;68:13
(1)	formal (1)
)	83:11 formally (1)
) 4;34:2;	<b>formally (1)</b> 28:16
41:11;	formatted (1)
, l	21:16
)	formed (1)

59:11 forms (1) 52:15 formula (1) 29:9 Forsyth (3) 8:13;9:4,14 forth (4) 42:21;45:16;70:5; 78:23 fortunate (1) 52:14 forty (1) 62:25 forty-one (1) 38:19 forty-three (1) 74:15 forward (3) 29:17;50:15;57:2 found (4) 42:11;61:2,20; 70:22 four (6) 42:8;46:1;68:21; 79:17,19;80:4 frame (1) 67:21 framework (2) 41:23:63:23 FRANKEL (1) 6:11 frankly (11) 34:24;37:15;43:2; 46:4;56:23;57:17,22, 23;58:4;70:6;79:24 Fred (1) 15:22 **FREDERICK** (1) 11:18 free (1) 40:14 freedom (1) 61:6 fresh (1) 36:13 Friday (3) 40:19;49:22;83:16 friends (1) 47:2 front (2) 76:21;83:11 frustrated (1) 27:11 frustration (2) 70:3,18 full (3) 32:14;37:23;53:24 full- (1) 32:1 fully (3) 39:21;65:18;77:13 function (1)

46:5 fund (3) 40:5,5;46:22 fundamental (2) 61:5.5 fundamentally (3) 42:5,17;43:19 funded (2) 34:24;58:17 funding (9) 28:5;40:8;43:10; 45:15,16,19;47:6,20; 59:21 funds (5) 26:25;27:15,25; 28:24;44:22 funky (1) 43:10 further (5) 29:8;46:16;51:22, 23;78:13 future (3) 28:4;29:19;62:18 G GAGE (4) 9:2,11;17:7,14 **GALLAGHER** (2) 7:13:17:9 game (1) 33:16 gap (1)

29:5 Gary (1) 20:10 Gateway (1) 13:21 gather (1) 77:8 gave (5) 51:23;64:19;72:8; 74:12;75:15 gazillion (1) 52:11 **GCG** (2) 31:9;50:24 **GCP** (2) 30:17;31:25 general (3) 15:24;35:7;37:13 generally (1) 35:10 generic (1) 51:22 **GENNADY** (1) 6:17 genuine (1) 57:24 gets (2) 38:18;58:7 given (3) 69:5;76:18;77:2

formed (1)

#### CaseA1726041500ALD0024502ATI5Ned 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pg 94 of 105 August 20, 2013 37:2,22,24;38:2,7; glitches (1) 29:19:58:23:68:5 8,12,17,24;17:2,6,13, 16;66:21;67:14,16; 66:12 43:15:44:22:60:8: 17.22:18:3.6.9.10.13. 84:9.11.16 guessing (1) Global (3) 52:10 63:15,20;64:12,14 16,19,22;19:2,13; huge (3)

9:13:17:15:46:2 god (1) 47:15 **goes** (2) 59:6;72:20 Good (62) 15:5,9,14,14,15,16, 21,22;16:1,2,4,5,7,8, 12,13,17,21,23,23; 17:1,2,5,6,11,13,16, 17,21,25;18:3,4,6,7,9, 10,11,13,14,16,17,19, 20,22,23;27:5;33:24; 57:15;58:1;64:6; 67:24,25;68:5,5; 69:20;71:9,20,22; 72:16,17;77:19; 84:13 good-faith (1) 79:20 **GOTSHAL** (2) 14:2;16:11 governmental (1) 61:8 governs (1) 43:6 grand-slam (1) 50:9 **GRANT** (11) 13:25;15:25;29:23; 30:5:33:6:36:5:37:3: 38:8;55:16;60:12; 67:2 granted (1) 38:7 granular (1) 70:14 gratitude (1) 40:20 great (9) 27:9;35:17;40:19; 54:7;57:1;58:4,4; 65:13;81:25 greater (4) 29:11,12;36:19; 62:5 greatest (1) 40:1 **GREEN**(4) 13:11;17:3;63:2; 65:10 Greg (1) 15:17 **GREGORY** (2) 6:17;7:9 Group (9) 10:14:12:3:17:23; 18:15;19:16;29:13; 30:9,10;47:3 guess (3)

guts (1) 55:5 guy (2) 31:1;81:25 Guyan (1) 25:20 Η half (2) 39:24;75:6 hall (1) 40:24 hammer (1) 44:17 hand (1) 55:7 handful (1) 69:3 handle (3) 19:6;21:17;22:21 handled (3) 23:11;72:3,5 hanging (1) 48:13 happen (1) 54:4 happened (2) 53:17:75:25 happening (1) 55:4 happily (4) 39:17;44:12,18; 65:11 happy (9) 20:19,22:21:9; 32:25:40:17:52:4: 64:6;74:21;78:13 HARBISON (2) 10:2;17:18 hard (5) 48:3;52:11;67:5; 69:1;73:4 hard-copy (1) 69:1 Hatfield (2) 58:2,8 Hawthorn (1) 25:25 Head (1) 25:21 health (5) 28:5;29:2,6;47:22, 24 healthcare (1) 46:3 hear (3) 27:9;78:5;81:18 heard (17) 30:2,4;36:2,4,24;

hearing (20) 15:6;25:1;27:10; 30:5;36:5,8;37:4,7, 17;38:9,12;40:3; 41:2,2;43:12;55:14; 56:15;64:15;66:22; 67:18 heart (1) 39:12 heaven (1) 38:17 held (1) 57:20 help (1) 66:20 helpful (1) 65:4 here's (2) 48:12;53:24 heroes (2) 57:22.24 **HESSLER (3)** 10:18;18:14,16 high (6) 40:14;41:9,14,14; 45:12;47:5 higher-priced (1) 31:3 highly (2) 79:11,11 hiring (1) 30:22 historically (1) 72:3 history (2) 64:20:73:6 hit (1) 19:6 HK (2) 61:2.21 Hm (1) 81:7 Hoc (2) 10:14;18:15 hold (2)65:10:70:7 HoldCo (2) 12:14:16:25 holders (2) 24:16:64:2 holiday (1) 42:24 holidays (1) 43:21 holler (1) 27:12 honest (1) 48:21 Honor (129) 15:9,15,16,22;16:2,

20:1.11.15:22:13: 23:4;24:6;25:8;27:2, 5,14;29:22;30:7,9,19; 31:17,19;32:19,22; 33:10,21:36:6,10; 37:5,9;38:10;39:24; 41:20;43:8,13,25; 44:1,22;45:7;46:7, 24;50:4,20;51:1,11, 16;52:17,22;53:5; 54:15;56:20;57:22, 24;58:8,24;60:9; 61:1;62:12;63:1,7,13, 16,18;64:10,16; 65:20;67:16,24;68:2, 24;69:4,20,23;71:6,9, 13,19;72:16,19;73:7; 74:6,16,19;75:1;77:2, 22;78:12,14;79:3,6, 18,22,25;80:5,8,21, 21;81:8,11,13,24; 82:4,9,16,21;83:2; 84:3,4,7,11,16 Honorable (1) 15:3 honored (1) 73:1 Honor's (2) 42:3:82:7 hope (8)34:11,16,17;36:15; 41:19;51:10;54:19; 80:3 hoped (1) 66:7 hopefully (7) 31:1:32:2,7:33:17: 34:11;38:11;47:14 hoping (1) 79:6 horribly (1) 74:19 hostility (1) 72:23 hourly (2) 31:3,13 hours (3) 58:3.3.3 Hudson (1) 20:10 **HUEBNER (45)** 15:9,10;18:25; 19:2,13,18,21;20:1, 11,15;27:2;30:19; 31:8,11,15,17;32:13, 19,22;33:10;36:6,10; 37:5,9:38:10,25: 51:13,16;54:17; 58:10,20,22,24;59:1; 60:16;63:8,25;64:15,

51:25;57:2;78:21 HUGHES (31) 16:5,5;20:19,25; 21:2,14,21;22:7,13, 19.25:23:3.10.19: 24:3,6,12,15,23;25:8, 14,17,24;26:3,9,14, 17,20,21,22;38:18 hundred (1) 43:23 hundreds (2) 40:23,23 Hurray (1) 19:21 HUSCH(1) 13:2 hypothetical (1) 49:1 hypothetically (2) 55:9,16 Ι identify (1) 78:21 idle (1) 41:3 IL (1) 8:6 illegal (2) 61:14;63:5 illegality (2) 61:13;62:19 illuminate (1) 20:16 images (1) 69:1 imagine (2) 52:12;53:13 impact (1) 29:12 impacted (1) 28:9 impasse (1) 83:12 impedes (1) 64:4 implemented (2) 42:10;55:3 implore (1) 66:16 important (10) 20:6;34:1;38:12, 21;40:11;54:6,23; 55:10;56:10;62:2 importantly (4) 38:3;43:25;57:23; 69:7 impose (1)

41:21

improper (1)	75:7;77:3	42:14;45:3	73:10,17;83:6,7	31:20
35:22	instances (1)	issue (9)	<b>JUSTICE (2)</b>	last (11)
inadvertently (1)	32:10	20:3;24:8,24;	6:2;64:25	25:1,22;26:1;
26:10	insufficiently (1)	32:14;45:24;57:8;	0.2,04.23	38:11;39:1,7;40
	24:7	69:12;75:4;78:7	K	43:11;47:21;49
inappropriate (2)			N	56:18
55:2,15	<b>Insurance</b> (14)	<b>issued (1)</b> 73:14	Kathar (1)	
Inc (1)	10:3,4,4,5;12:4;		Kathy $(1)$	later (1)
21:13	17:19,24;28:5,5;29:5,	issues (19)	15:4	26:7
inclined (1)	7;45:22;47:23,24	20:20,21;31:4;	keep (3)	LATHROP (4)
83:10	intellectual (1)	34:5;38:14;40:15;	21:22;34:12;48:21	9:2,11;17:7,14
include (2)	65:17	44:15;46:11;50:10,	keeping (2)	Laura (1)
30:11;36:17	intended (1)	14;56:19;58:4;67:10;	66:8;83:22	16:5
including (6)	61:25	68:8,9,18;73:5;74:5;	Kenneth (1)	LAW (8)
33:12;34:10;39:1;	intense (1)	75:16	19:20	11:12;16:20;50
40:23;64:21;75:2	40:14	item-by-item (1)	key (1)	6;62:24;65:8;69
inconsistent (2)	intensely (1)	71:22	37:20	81:14
53:11,13	57:12	iterations (1)	kind (8)	lawful (1)
incur (1)	intention (1)	77:15	38:22;48:13;49:12,	62:10
29:4	79:8	_	22;56:20;60:4;77:14;	laws (1)
indemnification (1)	intentional (1)	J	81:19	62:21
54:14	59:17		kinds (1)	lawyer (2)
Indemnity (2)	intentions (1)	JACK (4)	82:6	52:12;81:19
10:3;17:19	28:11	14:17;16:19;71:11;	KIRKLAND (1)	lawyers (3)
indenture (2)	interested (4)	72:18	10:13	57:25;78:24;81
12:14;16:25	26:25;32:24;33:13;	January (2)	knew (4)	lay (1)
indicate (1)	57:13	46:17;68:13	33:20;74:18;75:1;	65:3
27:8	<b>International</b> (1)	<b>JH</b> (1)	78:8	layer (1)
indicated (2)	23:9	22:18	knowing (3)	40:12
32:16;33:5	interplay (1)	Joe (1)	35:18;38:6;39:25	lead (2)
individual (2)	65:9	16:10	known (4)	16:10;17:8
30:22;31:25	interrupt (1)	JOHN (2)	43:5;49:16,19;61:2	leads (1)
indulge (1)	27:11	12:9;17:22	knows (4)	66:6
60:9	intimately (1)	Johns (1)	39:24;43:13;49:10,	leap (1)
indulgence (1)	80:12	26:4	20	57:2
84:12	into (15)	join (1)	KRAMER (2)	learn (1)
Industrial (1)	20:6;28:5,19,22;	63:7	6:11;15:20	60:22
21:13	29:1;33:16;36:21;	joined (1)	KY (1)	leases (1)
Industries (1)	45:1;47:10;48:9;	16:19	10:8	19:11
23:2	49:18;52:16;55:21;	joint (1)	10.0	least (2)
informally (2)	61:16;72:13	44:23	L	38:12;72:25
28:15,16	investigation (4)	jointly (1)	<b>.</b>	leave (3)
information (1)	69:18,25;70:16;	43:13	labor (13)	27:3;47:19;65:1
70:5	80:6	JON (2)	40:1,1;42:22;55:5,	leaving (1)
inherent (1)	investing (1)	8:8;27:5	5;61:4,6,17,22,24;	32:9
44:9	65:24	JONES (8)	5;61:4,6,17,22,24; 62:2,5,10	led (1)
44:9 in-house (1)	investment (1)	14:11;16:20;68:17;	62:2,5,10 laborious (3)	42:3
32:1	77:25	69:3;70:5;71:11;		42:5 left (4)
in-interest (1)	investor (5)		39:18;68:13,24	26:10;32:11;57
		72:18;81:4	lack (2)	
39:11 initial (7)	48:23;53:14,18;	JOSEPH (1)	23:23;70:4	64:21
. ,	54:2,6	14:7 <b>ID</b> (1)	$\log (1)$	Legal (3)
48:23;50:25;53:14,	invoking (1)	JR (1)	59:19	30:17;32:14;50
18;54:2,6;76:1	56:12	14:17	laid (2)	legitimate (2)
inked (1)	involve (3)	judges (1)	49:11;63:11	52:4;72:10
49:21	48:7;79:10,24	67:9	Lakeside (1)	Lender (2)
inordinately (1)	involved (10)	judicial (1)	14:14	9:3;17:8
50:7	35:5;44:17;54:20;	61:23	language (2)	lenders (7)
input (1)	55:7;57:13,21;66:9;	July (2)	30:11;55:11	16:10;34:12;35
40:2	71:16;73:16;80:12	74:11;75:19	languages (1)	12;39:9,10;55:1
insert (1)	Iron (1)	juncture (2)	51:22	length (1)
34:14	76:17	50:12;51:11	large (6)	54:8
insisted (2)	ironic (1)	junctures (1)	27:16;31:18,21;	lengthy (6)
35:1;76:19	70:20	44:3	37:11;43:5;83:23	40:21;42:19;58
insistence (2)	ironically (2)	June (4)	larger (1)	68:12.23:79:21

insistence (2)

ironically (2)

larger (1)

June (4)

68:12,23;79:21

LEONARD (1)	49:22;55:20;57:10,	Louis (7)	75:8,9;76:4;77:16	merely (1)
11:9	15,19	6:6;7:7;8:15;9:6,	mathematical (1)	32:4
LEONORA (2)	litigate (1)	16;12:7;13:6	29:9	merits (1)
6:8;16:2	50:11	lower (1)	matter (14)	38:5
less (1)	litigation (12)	30:24	19:5,9,11;24:1;	met (2)
34:23	58:17;59:10,14;	LRPB (1)	30:4;38:6;40:20;	39:19;65:14
letter (3)	60:1;67:19;70:11,23,	19:16	45:20,25;46:1;62:15;	metric (1)
19:3;35:14;44:13	24;71:1,2;72:7;73:12	luckily (1)	67:6;83:16,18	34:3
letter-writing (1)	litigations (1)	36:16	matters (11)	Michelle (2)
82:13	59:13		19:8,24,24;30:23;	15:13;67:17
level (4)	little (10)	Μ	31:18;32:2;37:22;	middle (1)
41:9,14;44:5;45:12	38:18;39:15;42:12;		38:17;42:22;50:7;	78:21
levels (1)	43:9;51:8;56:16;	MACDONALD (2)	67:19	midnight (1)
41:14	58:14;70:8;73:6;	7:2;15:18	maturity (1)	39:1
LEVIN (2)	83:24	magistrates (2)	34:22	might (3)
6:11;15:20	live (4)	82:5,6	May (17)	39:4;63:24;65:4
Lexington (2)	35:3;43:2;49:10;	mailboxes (1)	15:16;25:11;27:7;	milestone (2)
10:8,15	51:19	73:18	29:4;33:21;40:17;	38:13;40:13
Lexon (2)	lives (2)	Main (3)	41:21;47:8;53:23;	milestones (1)
12:3;17:23	43:6;58:5	10:6;44:21;67:18	59:9,9,10,14,18;	34:9
liability (1)	living (2)	major (1)	62:22;75:8;83:17	million (7)
46:16	54:24;55:5	20:6	maybe (3)	42:6;45:5,6,17;
Liberty (1)	LLC (3)	majority (3)	27:11;42:2;81:3	49:2,5;64:21
11:5	8:2;12:2;26:15	42:13;45:4;68:8	McGreal (2)	Milwaukee (1)
lien (2)	LLP (11)	making (2)	15:13;67:17	11:16
39:9,9	6:11;7:13;8:11;9:2,	56:12;79:19	MCGUIREWOODS (1)	mind (2)
liens (3)	11;11:2;12:12;13:2;	mammoth (1)	11:2	55:19;83:22
52:1;55:17,22	14:2;17:14;36:9	71:21	mean (8)	<b>Mine (9)</b>
lieu (2)	local (2)	man (1)	35:2;48:13;50:4;	11:13;13:20;15:
52:10;53:25	16:6,9	54:3	58:21;60:21;61:14;	24;21:13,24;22:
life (1)	LONG (10)	managed (2)	69:2,13	55:1;67:3
28:4	6:8;16:2,2;43:23;	36:14;68:7	means (5)	mined (1)
lifeline (1)	44:4;64:24;69:14;	Management (1)	52:8;53:19;54:7;	47:12
33:15	70:4;74:8;83:20	25:24	58:18;61:7	Mining (4)
light (3)	longer (2)	MANGES (1)	meant (5)	9:13;17:15;39:2
61:12;63:2;65:10	28:1;75:11	14:2	33:24;37:14;40:3;	40:2
liked (1)	longstanding (1)	manner (2)	42:9;54:15	minute (1)
67:7	62:2	27:19;62:1	meantime (1)	54:19
likely (2)	look (8)	many (13)	77:21	minutes (6)
52:13;57:3	34:4;38:1;49:10,	37:16,23;38:1;	measured (1)	37:25;38:5,22;
liken (1)	17;63:24;81:20;	39:14;42:1,8;44:3;	59:19	39:4;43:9,16
63:1	82:18;83:21	50:14;56:17;63:25;	mechanical (1)	misimpression (2)
Likewise (1)	looked (1)	65:8;70:12;82:10	52:18	47:19;64:23
29:25	58:11	MARGOT (2)	mechanics (3)	mismarked (1)
limitations (1)	looking (8)	7:20;17:8	36:19;45:20;59:6	75:21
74:14	34:20;35:23;62:13;	mark (1)	mechanism (3)	Missouri (1)
limited (3)	72:3,5;77:15;78:1,22	77:11	28:6;29:2;47:13	15:3
29:3;61:12;64:22	looks (3)	market (5)	Medicare (2)	mistake (2)
limiting (1)	19:7;40:6;50:2	44:10;47:17;48:11,	29:5,13	41:10;57:5
74:9	LOPEZ (3)	12;49:25	meet (1)	MO (7)
line (1)	12:18;16:24;63:17	markets (4)	34:12	6:6;7:7;8:15;9:6
51:14	loss (1)	35:6;40:4;47:15;	meeting (1)	16;12:7;13:6
liquidated (1)	29:12	57:6	35:9	modifications (3)
59:10	lot (18)	MARSHALL (3)	meetings (1)	61:23;62:10,22
liquidity (4)	19:8;32:3;37:13;	13:8;15:10;16:8	40:24	modify (1)
33:14,14,14,15	42:11;46:8,10,17;	MARSICO (3)	members (1)	62:5
list (2)	57:4,6;59:15;68:11;	11:9;18:20,22	60:3	moment (2)
26:11;43:22	69:15;71:22,23;75:2;	matches (1)	membership (2)	68:3;81:11
12-4-1 (1)	79:12;81:4,5	50:21	41:7,13	momentous (1)
listed (1)	79.12,01.4,5	30.21	41.7,15	momentous (1)

**momentous (1)** 56:25 **monetization (4)** 49:13;51:6;52:15; 55:9

25:17

listing (1)

74:20

literally (5)

lots (9)

72:22

40:10;44:13,16,25;

55:11;57:9,25;60:4;

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

27:22;52:10;70:11;

memorandum (2)

42:20;58:15

25:9,19;70:1

mentioned (3)

material (6)

materials (4)

75:2,2;76:6

# Case No. 12-51502 Pq 97 of 105 August 20, 2013

monetize (2) 48:3:53:22 money (4) 29:1.10:31:2:52:1 monies (2) 27:23:28:21 Monroe (1) 8:4 month (1) 47:23 months (11) 68:15,21;69:5; 74:2;78:5;79:7,15,16, 17:80:4,18 monumental (3) 56:25;78:14;79:1 **MOONEY (2)** 13:11;17:3 more (24) 21:17;31:25;33:2; 34:22;35:2;36:14; 39:16,16;41:17; 42:13,25;44:7;50:5, 16,19;54:13;62:25; 69:3;72:24;73:20; 76:18;78:9;80:3; 82:25 Moreover (1) 28:9 Morgan (1) 72:6 morning (64) 15:5,9,14,14,15,16, 21,22;16:1,2,4,5,7,8, 12,13,17,21,23,23; 17:1,2,5,6,11,13,16, 17,21,22,25;18:3,4,6, 7,9,10,11,13,14,16, 17,19,20,22,23;27:5, 6;30:8;39:3;49:23; 57:5:58:13:66:8: 67:15,24,25;69:20; 70:20;71:3,3,9;72:16, 17 Moskowitz (13) 15:13,15;69:19,20, 21;80:7,8;81:24; 82:25;83:3,13;84:1,4 **most (8)** 22:20;38:3;39:22, 23;43:3;57:11,23; 58:8 motion (45) 19:10,19;20:9; 23:2;26:24;27:3,6, 14;28:18,20;29:23; 30:1,2,6,20;32:21; 33:6,8;35:13;36:2,4, 5,8;37:3,7,7,10,13, 14:38:1,7,8:60:8,13: 63:15;64:12,14;65:2; 66:23;67:2,2;72:20;

motions (3) 31:21:55:8:56:12 motion-writing (1) 82:14 **MOU** (5) 42:20,24;43:2; 56:21:58:16 Mountain (1) 76:17 move (6) 20:6;38:17;40:22; 50:15:67:12:83:24 moved (1) 42:10 moving (7) 34:19;38:25;39:1; 56:17,18;70:8;80:23 much (12) 16:22;30:15,23; 32:8,19;36:6;37:5; 38:10;49:16;66:8; 70:11:81:25 multibillion (1) 35:20 multiemployer (1) 44:16 multiple (3) 40:3;42:1;56:10 MURPHY (2) 13:11:17:3 Ν NA (3) 7:14;13:3;14:3 NAFTALIS (1) 6:11 names (2) 25:11:78:25 Nancy (2) 23:18:24:1 narrow (2) 74:17;76:23 narrowing-down (1) 77:17 National (4) 10:4;12:13;17:19; 61:6 nature (4) 32:1:34:8:48:8; 50:25 necessary (1) 36:20 need (19) 33:20,23;34:23; 35:20;36:12;44:9,18; 53:3;55:8;57:17,18; 59:14;65:11;66:14; 70:15:78:22.25; 80:24:82:25 needed (4) 34:1,8:42:16:51:7 needs (4)

32:13:39:20:42:9: 57:6 negative (1) 66:5 negotiated (6) 39:14;43:11;46:10, 20;47:10;62:9 negotiating (3) 68:19,20,22 negotiation (2) 46:5;54:21 negotiations (2) 32:3;41:25 net (1) 34:5 New (19) 6:15;7:17;10:16, 16;12:16;14:5;28:10; 34:14;37:8,24;41:23; 42:18;43:20,23; 47:15;52:20;55:17; 56:9;59:8 newly (1) 45:9 NEWMAN (22) 14:17;16:19;71:11; 72:13,15,16,18,18; 78:12;79:4,13;80:9; 81:10,11,13,25; 83:11,14,19;84:3,6,7 Newman's (2) 71:15:80:15 news (7)33:25;35:17;38:13; 40:18:68:5,6,11 next (18) 22:11;30:10,16; 32:5,20;33:8;36:7; 42:7;47:23,23,23; 49:23:52:18:53:1; 56:15:77:5.6:84:18 NIEMANN (1) 12:2 night (2) 39:2;49:22 nine (1) 80:18 ninety-day (1) 32:25 nobody (6) 47:19:49:10:55:23; 56:4;66:5;73:3 nobody's (1) 37:24 no-brainer (1) 50:9 nominate (1) 60:3 none (3) 30:5;36:5;64:15 nonemployer (2) 29:5.6 nonresponsive (1)

77:18 nonunion (1) 43:16 normal (1) 82:15 North (2) 11:14;14:13 note (7) 19:16;20:13;51:24; 57:8:65:1:70:19; 80:11 noted (1) 26:18 Noteholders (2) 10:14;18:15 notes (3) 12:14;16:25;80:9 notice (2) 19:15;37:23 notices (1) 45:23 notify (1) 28:6 notion (1) 74:22 notwithstanding (1) 61:18 not-X (1) 57:18 nowhere (1) 56:7 number (13) 32:5;42:15;46:24; 47:1,5;51:5;55:2,25; 57:22;59:20;74:10; 76:24;80:9 numbers (1) 54:17 NW (1) 13:13 NY (4) 6:15;7:17;12:16; 14:5 0 objection (31) 21:4,12,21,24;22:4, 8,10,11,16,17;23:1,3, 8,21,21;24:5;25:3,9, 17,21,25;26:4,7,7; 30:11;31:5;32:5,6; 35:22;38:3;66:7 objectionable (1) 39:6 objections (42) 19:15,23;20:12; 21:2,5,8,9,15,15,18, 19,23;22:1,2,21,24; 23:15,16,22,23;24:2, 11,14,18,20,21;25:5, 7:28:7,16:29:25: 30:20;31:5;32:17,23;

33:6,11:36:23:37:19; 50:5:66:25:67:1 objectors (1) 66:10 obligation (1) 69:11 obligations (1) 42:7 observed (1) 61:21 obvious (1) 67:16 **Obviously (20)** 31:18;32:24;33:12; 34:17;35:5,6,18; 36:18;37:12,14,21, 25;39:22;41:5;48:7; 50:11;56:13;59:12; 64:1,5 occasion (1) 72:23 occasional (1) 57:16 occurring (2) 63:23;78:10 October (2) 34:15,23 off (4) 19:2;26:11;43:21; 59:8 offer (1) 46:25 Office (1) 6:3 officer (1) 63:3 Official (7) 6:12;7:3;8:3; 15:18;18:18;26:25; 61:9 often (4) 37:10:39:15:59:2. 25 Ohio (2) 11:3;18:21 old (2) 75:23,23 omitted (2) 60:19,20 omnibus (16) 19:14,23;20:12; 21:16,23;23:16,22; 24:5,14,21;25:9,17; 26:4,6,11;31:20 once (7) 40:20;52:2;74:23; 76:6,7,8;84:12 one (47) 20:14,17;21:3,11;

82:4;83:11,14

23:19;24:1;32:6;

33:17;34:2,7;35:23;

38:6,18;39:22;40:8,

25;41:5,8,15;42:18;

#### CaseA1781500ALDOR#58ATI5Ned 98/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pg 98 of 105 August 20, 2013

43:12:44:23:47:5: 49:21:50:8:51:1.5. 21:52:15,18:53:9; 54:12;58:11,16;60:5; organic (1) 61:12;63:22,25; 66:13;68:7;73:20; 74:23;76:1;78:24; 80:9,23;81:11 one-fifth (1) 49:4 others (9) O'NEILL (12) 6:18;15:20;67:20, 23,24;68:1;70:1; 79:5,6;80:14;83:13; 84:1 O'Neill's (1) ought (1) 70:2 **Out (30)** onerous (1) 34:25 ones (4) 21:16;25:19;77:17, 18 ongoing (3) 28:1;68:14;77:13 only (17) 27:19;31:7;32:9, 10;44:12;45:11; 46:18;49:21;58:16; outside (8) 63:24;70:1;71:15; 72:1;75:9;80:19; 83:18,19 onto (1) 74:25 over (21) operative (1) 43:3 opportunity (1) 81:19 opposed (4) 21:16;43:4;51:4; overall (2) 59:23 optimistic (1) 68:9 Oral (1) 82:8 order (50) 18:25;19:4,5; 22:21;23:13;25:19; owe (1) 26:1,6,11,12;27:16, 22;28:2;30:8,12; own (1) 31:6;33:4,9;34:8,12; 35:16,24;37:7;39:3,5, 13,19;50:22;51:7; 52:23;58:11,12; 60:13;63:19;64:4; PA (1) 65:12,19,22,23; 66:10,17;68:3,20; pace (6) 73:9,10;75:8;77:12; 80:2,24;83:6 ordered (1) pacing (1) 67:7 orders (5) package (2) 19:23;20:4;73:16; 82:18;84:13 **page** (7)

43:5

75:11

79:16

79:21

42:24

68:8

68:6

40:19

73:24

11:7

70:4

ordinary (6) 23:7:51:16:52:17: 52:8,9,13;70:10; 53:5:54:15.18:58:16 82:11.15 pages (4) 65:3;74:3;75:1; 79:10 organized (2) paid (4) 43:21;45:24;54:3; 79:3;81:20 originally (3) 59:8 34:20;45:5;46:2 pain (1) 58:4 20:13;21:12;22:12, painful (1) 17;23:2,8,17;31:3; 41:10 painfully (1) otherwise (3) 82:5 54:21;62:6;76:24 Panther (1) 26:5paper (7) 73:7;74:20;76:17, 7:15;9:3;13:3; 18;81:20,21,22 14:3;16:9;17:8;20:3; paragraph (7) 52:5;53:1,15; 21:25;28:12;31:12; 54:13,17;56:9;58:16 36:20;40:15;44:6,17; 45:22;46:3;49:24; paranoid (1) 55:18,19;57:18; 51:19 61:22;63:11;64:21; parsed (1) 65:3;69:13,16;78:6; 46:14 79:18;80:24;82:14 part (16) 27:16;34:18;36:11; 30:23,24;31:21; 41:18;45:17;46:2,5, 32:4,7:52:9:76:4,6 25;49:14,24;62:19; outstanding (1) 68:21;69:17;74:1; 77:5:79:22 participants (1) 31:23;33:16;39:2, 48:12 7;42:7;43:11;44:6, particular (5) 22;53:25;58:12,15; 21:10;22:4;34:3; 61:9;68:8,15,16;74:2, 74:6;80:22 particularly (2) 7,25;78:10,24;80:6 22:1;34:25 49:15,25 particulars (1) overtime (1) 72:13 parties (33) overwhelming (1) 22:20;30:1,3; 32:24;33:12,13; overwhelmingly (1) 35:15;36:1,3,23;37:1, 20;39:14;42:21;48:7; 55:6,11;56:2,10; 57:11,14;60:7;62:21; 63:14,23;64:12,13; 68:7;74:1,4;77:24; 83:12,17 Ρ parties' (1) 52:3 party (6) 26:25;39:6;51:21; 61:15;62:15;72:10 34:9,13,13;70:3; party-(1) 80:23;82:8 39:10 party-in-interest (1) 55:24 pass (1) 34:19:35:7 33:21 passed (1)

31:6 past (2) 38:3:74:2 Patriot (18) 15:6,11;29:16; 30:21;36:20;41:18; 46:24;47:15;56:25; 58:6;75:10,12,15; 78:16,17,18,19;81:15 Patriot's (1) 41:11 PAULA (2) 14:18;16:19 pay (1) 47:3 paying (1) 44:6 payment (12) 48:24;49:3,5; 53:14,18,20,24,25; 54:1,2,7,9 payments (3) 47:11;48:20;54:8 PC (2) 7:2;13:11 Peabody (29) 8:12;14:12;16:18; 47:2,3;67:19;68:1, 14;69:4,9,25;70:5,18, 21,25;72:2,2,19;73:1, 4;74:3,4,12;75:10,11, 11:80:3:81:14:83:21 Peabody's (2) 70:7;71:20 pension (4) 44:1,4,15,20 people (23) 36:16,17,17;37:16, 23;38:2;40:24;41:17; 43:7;44:16;45:22; 51:20;57:9,15;59:2; 60:22;63:2;70:12,13; 73:19,22;75:9,10 people's (2) 56:13;58:5 per (2) 42:6;47:11 percent (7) 41:14;42:14;46:23, 25;47:4,5;71:17 Peres (1) 12:5 perfected (1) 63:21 perfectly (1) 54:22 perhaps (1) 68:8 PERILLO (9) 11:18:15:22,22; 60:8,9,12;63:13; 64:19:65:7 period (6)

32:21:33:7:74:8; 75:5:78:20:79:21 permission (3) 28:19;29:15;63:3 person (2) 55:20;83:17 personal (2) 40:24:57:8 personally (5) 57:13:58:2.2; 71:16;82:1 perspective (1) 46:12 Petroleum (1) 26:9 phase (1) 20:6 Phelps (2) 36:9,17 philosophical (1) 65:8 phone (9) 16:14;17:8;18:1; 30:3:36:3;37:1; 57:10;64:13;83:18 phrase (1) 56:7 physical (1) 75:22 pick (1) 60:23 piece (4) 47:7;49:18:50:2; 74:20 pieces (5) 38:25;41:8;42:11; 47:9;56:18 piker (1) 38:20 pinch (1) 57:16 Pittsburgh (1) 11:7 place (6) 40:18;41:9;48:20; 55:22;57:23;59:24 placed (1) 29:1 plaintiffs (1) 75:14 plan (29) 17:4;26:6;28:11, 12;40:7;44:1,6,8,8, 10,16,20,20,20,20; 45:1,4;53:9,9,10,12; 54:4;57:6;58:19; 59:3,5,18;63:24;77:7 planet (1) 52:12 planning (1) 36:11 Plans (3) 13:12;44:2,19

#### CaseA12:500ALDoor450ATI5Ned 98/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pq 99 of 105 August 20, 2

plan's (1) 53:12 play (1) 46:11 playing (2) 39:15:79:19 Plaza (2) 11:4:13:4 Please (7) 15:5,16:27:10; 64:22;66:17;83:5,13 pleasure (2) 50:21;56:22 PLLC(1) 10.2PLOTKO (3) 6:17;18:4,6 plus (2) 73:21,24 pm (2) 82:20;84:19 **Point** (10) 14:13;21:25;28:14; 33:1;49:1;70:6,14; 77:19;78:24;79:9 pointed (1) 61:21 points (3) 57:16;60:16;65:3 police (1) 63:3 policy (4) 61:6,7,22;62:3 **Polk (10)** 15:10,12;20:21; 23:11,12,13;31:9,22; 32:10:69:21 pool(1)20:5Porter (2) 61:2,21 portions (1) 62:5 position (4) 54:22;63:2;64:7; 77:18 positions (1) 57:20 positive (3) 21:7;28:15;36:18 possession (2) 26:24;27:15 possibility (2) 59:12;77:4 possible (4) 37:21;50:18;79:2,3 possibly (6) 40:1;44:21,24; 46:4;48:3;65:23 Potatohead (1) 66:1 potential (1) 44:6

potentially (2) 47:4:82:3 power (2) 61:15;63:4 powers (1) 61:25 precedent (1) 41:4 precise (2) 29:8;44:14 precluding (1) 61:23 predicted (2) 33:21,25 prediction (1) 81:6 preexisting (1) 42:7 prefatory (1) 71:15 prefer (2) 19:4;20:20 preferred (1) 49:16 preliminary (1) 68:24 pre-Medicare (1) 29:10 premia (1) 45:3 premise (1) 61:5 premium (1) 29:4premiums (3) 29:5,7;45:23 prepare (1) 83:13 prepared (1) 77:13 **Pre-Petition** (1) 7:15 presaged (1) 33:19 present (2) 27:3;30:12 presentation (3) 20:22;58:9;81:20 presentations (1) 40:24 presented (4) 27:16;60:13;62:22; 67:10 presenting (1) 27:6 President (1) 40:25 presiding (1) 15:4 pressed (1) 52:12 pretty (2) 66:8:77:19

prevailing (1) 62:24 **PREVIANT (1)** 11:12 pricing (1) 47:17 primarily (1) 32:9 primary (4) 39:5,10:42:21; 59:13 priming (1) 55:22 principally (1) 77:3 printed (2) 19:1,9 prior (1) 37:20 priorities (1) 35:16 private (1) 61:7 privilege (1) 79:1 privileged (1) 77:10 probably (6) 42:13;43:22;44:12; 46:12,18,25 problem (2) 19:7:48:22 procedurally (1) 35:22 procedure (1) 61:8 proceed (1) 27:7 proceeding (5) 27:10;29:21;71:19, 20:73:15 proceedings (3) 20:7;31:19;84:19 process (31) 27:21;28:8,12; 32:14;39:18;40:20, 21;56:13;63:19,21; 64:1;68:2,6,12;69:7, 14;70:15,16;72:24; 75:13;76:20;77:1,2,4, 12,17;78:4,14,21; 81:22;83:22 processed (1) 75:25 processes (2) 76:2;80:21 produce (3) 78:3,8;82:15 produced (2) 80:19:83:9 producing (3) 77:22,23,25 production (15)

68:18:69:12:70:3. 9.22:73:11.13.23.24. 25;74:7;78:2;80:25; 81:2.7 Products (1) 26:9 professionals (3) 30:24,24;31:3 profile (2) 35:9,10 profitability (1) 47:17 profit-sharing (1) 47:13 program (1) 76:22 progress (1) 71:23 progression (1) 67:12 promised (2) 38:16:49:6 promptly (1) 70:17 prong (2) 46:24;47:5 proper (1) 65:8 properly (1) 78:9 proposal (3) 45:18.18:47:1 proposals (1) 45:6 propose (1) 50:20 proposed (6) 22:21;23:13;25:22; 28:12,24;30:8 propound (1) 70:23 propounding (1) 70:25 protections (1) 64:2 protective (1) 77:12 provide (7) 28:22;29:2,11; 45:14;46:8;68:3; 74:21 provided (6) 27:19;28:21;48:4; 63:5;66:24;68:13 provider (1) 36:9 provides (4) 42:6;45:8;46:21; 48:20 providing (3) 29:3;45:20;71:13 provision (2) 45:8:56:4

August 20, 2013 provisions (5) 54:11.14:62:10.14. 16 public (5) 35:13:40:18:44:15: 65:5.25 pull (1) 39:15 purport (2) 65:3:67:21 purposes (2) 25:10:79:1 Pursuant (1) 29:14 push (1) 39:15 put (8) 28:12;32:5;55:18, 21;61:16;69:9;81:15, 21 putting (1) 76:20 0 Quantico (1) 13:21 quick (2) 64:16;80:8 quickly (6) 39:5:50:21:51:13: 56:17:80:4:83:6

quite (6) 38:25;42:2;60:18; 65:7;72:10;82:16 quoting (3) 61:7,23;62:8

### R

raise (3) 21:19:51:25:69:12 raised (2) 22:3;23:22 raises (1) 20:3 Raleigh (3) 21:13,24;22:7 RANDY (2) 9:8:17:6 range (2) 76:7,16 ranges (1) 76:4 rare (1) 32:10 rate (1) 31:13 rates (2) 32:9:44:4 rather (11) 21:21;35:2;38:21; 39:12,17;40:14;41:3,

**Min-U-Script**®

# Case No. 12-51502 Pq 100 of 105 Alignment August

22;45:18;51:24; 61:12 ratification (5) 40:18;41:1,15; 42:15:52:22 ratified (1) 49:21 reach (4) 41:24;48:7,19;67:5 reached (2) 38:13;83:12 reaching (1) 74:13 read (4) 55:12;58:13;60:23; 65:23 reading (1) 65:2 ready (7) 49:20;56:23;57:5; 66:16,18;79:16; 82:22 real (6) 34:22;49:10;53:21; 56:1;58:1,5 realize (3) 30:7;31:13;42:13 realized (1) 55:25 really (24) 30:25,25;31:25; 34:7:36:15:39:6: 42:22:43:4,11:50:16, 25:55:6.6:56:1: 57:22:59:7:63:4,18, 21,22;72:20,21;78:9; 84:11 reason (4) 63:1,7;73:3;79:22 reasonable (2) 46:12;70:10 reasonably (2) 69:7,17 reasons (4) 23:11;44:13,25; 70:17 recall (3) 68:1;73:9,18 received (17) 21:3,6,6;22:7,14, 19:23:20:24:8,17; 27:23,25;28:14,16; 29:25;68:25;69:2,3 receiving (1) 29:11 recently (1) 76:17 recess (4) 82:18,19,20;84:18 recitation (4) 77:20:80:11.14.15 recognition (1) 29:12

record (9) 15:10;25:10;30:19; 32:7:56:8:67:1: 69:21:71:25:82:21 Records (1) 25:24 recover (1) 47:15 recovered (1) 76:16 recoveries (1) 44:24 red (1) 65:10 redirected (1) 28:5 red-line (1) 58:12 redouble (1) 80:3 refer (1) 21:15 reference (1) 74:6 referenced (1) 64:22 references (2) 21:12;83:8 referred (2) 73:13:80:9 refine (1) 20:5 reflect (1) 27:22 reflected (2) 47:10;50:3 reflecting (1) 27:17 reflects (2) 28:2;43:20 regarding (9) 22:12,18:23:2,8; 24:1;30:2;36:24; 37:2,7 regular (3) 53:7,8;77:23 regulate (1) 61:15 reimbursement (4) 29:2,3,4,10 reject (1) 19:10 relate (3) 43:4;48:18,19 related (3) 42:7;43:1;47:1 relating (2) 68:16,18 relatively (5) 33:3:34:6:38:2; 51:2;59:25 release (2) 54:10.13

releases (1) 53:16 relied (1) 65:4 relief (6) 19:19;20:9;33:20, 23;34:1,7 remain (1) 31:9 remaining (4) 19:24;26:17;28:4; 53:10 remarkable (1) 41:17 remarks (2) 60:10,25 remember (5) 33:22;55:23;75:5; 76:11;78:14 remembered (1) 47:8 remembers (2) 44:1;46:25 remind (2) 38:16;72:19 reminding (1) 53:2 renovation (1) 40:10 reopener (3) 60:20,21:64:22 reorganization (2) 59:5:67:13 reorganize (1) 35:20 reorganized (2) 36:21:46:24 repeatedly (1) 80:9 report (4) 32:25:40:17:71:14: 77:14 reports (1) 40:18 representative (2) 43:18;66:3 represented (1) 43:13 representing (4) 16:18;17:14,23; 69:22 represents (2) 43:14,16 request (6) 35:24;70:10,18; 72:2,5;73:18 requested (1) 79:23 requests (9) 29:23;70:23;71:4, 5,21,21;72:3;78:15; 79:20

42:9 requires (1) 52:6 requiring (1) 21:22 reset (2) 20:10:59:15 resisting (1) 82:12 Resnick (2) 18:1,3 resolution (5) 27:17;31:4;64:6; 67:6,8 resolve (7) 32:6,13;57:19; 65:11,15;68:8;73:5 resolved (5) 32:4;50:14;56:18; 72:4,6 resolves (2) 38:14:50:14 resolving (1) 26:7 resources (1) 69:15 respect (7) 36:20;64:7;70:21; 72:1,7;81:25;82:8 respond (4) 71:5;72:9;81:17,22 responding (1) 71:11 response (10) 21:3:22:8,14,19; 23:12,17,20,24; 63:18:83:15 responses (8) 21:6,7;24:8,17,25; 36:1:66:23.25 responsive (5) 75:3;76:11:77:10, 17;78:9 rest (2) 20:4;71:4 restoration (6) 75:4,19,20,22,23; 78:7 restore (1) 75:12 restructuring (1) 43:4 restructurings (1) 59:22 result (2) 35:10;47:4 retiree (15) 27:18,20;28:1,3,6, 9,18,25;29:15,20,22; 45:9,14:46:3,16 Retirees (20) 8:3:18:18:27:1; 28:7,8,13,15,17,23;

August 20, 2013 29:3.10.11.17.18: 43:15,15,17,18; 65:16:72:4 retiree's (1) 29:23 retrieved (1) 74:24 returned (1) 76:6 review (5) 69:11;70:12,13; 73:25:78:1 reviewed (3) 66:23;76:24;83:6 reviewing (1) 78:23 **RICHARD** (2) 13:17;17:2 right (103) 15:6;16:1,16;18:1, 24;19:7,14,19,22; 20:8,9,18;21:11,14, 20;22:6,9,11,15,23; 23:5,7,14,25;24:10, 19;25:4,6,16,23;26:2, 8,13,16,19,21,23; 27:4,13;29:24;30:2,5, 13,16;31:7,24;32:12, 15,15,16,20,24;33:5, 8;35:25;36:2,5,7,22, 25:37:3:38:8:46:21; 48:6.8.15:49:7.10.14: 50:13:54:10.25:55:3, 17:58:10:60:6.6: 63:12;64:9,11,13,15; 66:21,22;67:14,23; 69:19;71:7;72:15; 78:11;79:4,19;82:17; 83:4,5;84:1,5,6,8,8, 17,17,18 rights (2) 55:13:56:13 rise (3) 44:5;47:16;69:25 risk (2) 35:9,10 **RiverCenter** (1) 11:14 Road (1) 12:5 Robert (1)

requirements (1)

26:4

role (3)

rolled (1)

59:9

rolling (1)

73:24

41:16

room (2)

rolls (1)

Roberts (3)

40:25;58:2,9

61:3,11;65:9

#### Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pg 101 of 105 August 20, 2013 57:9:58:3 75:18 sophistication (1) Scherck (1) 36:18:48:14:52:21, **ROURKE (4)** 17:6 Service (1) 23.24 57:2 **SCHERK (3)** sorry (1) 12:2,9:17:22,22 25:20 signature (2) royalty (1) 9:8:17:6.12 Services (3) 41:6.7 54:14 47:11 **SCHISLER (2)** 9:12;17:14;36:9 signatures (1) sort (27) 7:10;15:17 session (1) 66:18 32:10;34:4,10,25; ruin (1) 46:18 **SCHNABEL (6)** 15:3 signed (2) 38:15,20;39:15;40:9; 52:20:65:15 rule (3)12:18:16:23,24; set (11) 41:22;42:22,25; 61:19;62:7;73:9 27:20;45:15;57:7; significant (3) 44:21;49:1,7;50:8; 63:15;64:10;65:20 rules (2) SCHNABLE (2) 60:1:68:22:69:16: 39:22,23;76:23 51:9:53:1.6:54:5.11; 42:23;43:20 63:16,17 71:4;73:7;76:14; similar (1) 56:4;57:9;59:15; ruling (2) **SCHONHOLTZ (4)** 78:6:80:1 65:17;66:14;71:21; 67:7 simplicity's (1) 41:22;42:3 7:20;17:9;18:8,10 sets (4) 79:25 search (11) 42:20;57:19;73:19, 53:22 sorts (2) run (6) 43:22;52:1 40:14;76:3,5,8; 68:22;74:8,14,17, 20 simply (4) 79:18;80:24 settle (1) 44:7;45:12;50:17; 20,25;76:5,8,13;78:7; soul (1) 79:10 70:15 39:13 running (3) 38:17 45:21;76:12,12 seated (2) settled (7) single (10) sounds (1) 15:5;83:5 25:15,20,21,24; 21:4,15,18,21; 79:18 S Second (7) 26:1,5;59:13 22:2;34:1;39:13,19, sources (3) 7:15:9:3:17:8: settlement (14) 23;40:1 45:15,19;59:21 SAAVEDRA (4) 39:9;41:6;42:20; 37:22;38:14,18,21; sit (1) South (2) 14:8;16:15;18:11, 51:18 41:24;42:17;43:19; 81:18 6:4;7:5 space (1) 13 secondly (1) 45:7:50:6:62:6.10. site (1) safety (1) 48:21 14;64:8;67:3 50:24 34:4 settlements (2) seconds (1) situation (1) spared (1) 55:2 SAINDON (2) 50:6 38:19;61:4 41:12 79:7 13:11;17:3 Section (3) seven (2) six(2)speak (5) sake (2) 27:18;61:18;62:7 74:25;78:5 24:7;68:19 46:14;56:15;66:5, 20:2;53:22 securities (1) Seventh (1) sixty (3) 5:69:23 Salaried (5) 50:1 7:16 77:14:80:1:81:4 **SPEAKER** (2) seeing (2) several (6) slightly (1) 82:23:83:2 8:3:18:18:27:1; 29:16:72:4 39:11:82:2 21:24;25:18;28:15; 31:3 speaks (2) Sale (2)seek (3) 43:11:44:23:65:3 slow(1)80:17.20 41:21,22;48:3 79:25 special (1) 48:13,16 share (3) 47:14;70:2,17 seeking (1) small (1) 30:17 same (6) 22:13;26:9;28:23; 52:5 shared (1) 59:19 Specialty (2) 42:12.14:53:2 seeks (3) smaller (1) 10:4;17:20 73:21 22:4:28:19:29:14 shares (1) specific (2) sat (1) 44:19 Smith (2) 58:2 seems (2) 24:16 27:24;33:22 satisfactory (1) 83:12.20 sheet (1) 23:18:24:1 specifically (2) 48:20 selection (2) 65:4 **SMOLINSKY (3)** 27:24;42:10 satisfied (3) 75:14,16 shift (2) 14:7;16:10,12 speed (3) 40:14,22;83:21 50:19;55:14;76:10 send (2) 42:23;43:21 snail's (1) 39:2;45:22 speeding (1) shifted (1) 80:23 save (2) 31:1;49:1 sense (1) 31:22 sneakily (1) 63:6 62:23 showing (1) 51:24 spend (1) saving (1) sensible (1) 66:20 72:1 so- (1) 50:5 savings (5) 76:23 shows (1) 74:6 spent (4) 42:6,10,15;43:20; sensitive (1) 25:1 so-called (1) 68:19,20,21;71:22 45:5 34:2 sic (2) 47:3 sponsored (2) 36:9;50:17 saying (8) sent (2) software (1) 29:5.6 53:24:55:12.13; 75:18,20 side (9) 76:22 spread (1) 57:17,18;72:20;77:7; separate (5) 37:16;38:4;39:19; somebody (9) 45:4 80:5 43:2;67:19;73:10, 40:1;44:13;49:24; 35:21;39:15,16; sprinting (1) 12,13 56:9;72:25;74:18 49:2;53:24;55:18; SC (1) 43:12 side-by-side (1) separately (1) 57:17,18;65:1 St (7) 11:12 77:25 6:6;7:7;8:15;9:6, scary (1) 73:8 somehow (3) 33:17 September (9) sides (2) 51:23;70:22;74:8 16;12:7;13:6

**Min-U-Script**®

schedule (4)

scheduled (1)

41.1

35:1;37:19,21;38:4

51:20:57:25

sideways (1)

35:24

sign (5)

someone (2)

sooner (1)

83:22

27:2;49:20

19:12,17,25:20:10;

24:1;25:15;34:11;

83:16,17

sequence (1)

stability (1)

40:3 staff (1)

37:12

#### Main Document Case No. 12-51502 Pg 102 of 105 August 20, 2013 STAHL (1) straightforward (2) 82:2.13 25:2.4 53:10:54:10 33:3:34:6 **Suite** (12) sustainable (2) terms (33) 8:2 6:5:7:6:8:5,14:9:5, 37:25;41:17:43:3. stand (2) stream (3) 44:7:47:16 53:25;54:1,8 56:23:78:4 15;10:7;11:15;12:6; sustained (1) 3,3;44:14,17;50:1,4; Street (5) 13:5,14,22 53:3:55:4:60:17: standard (1) 21:9 76:2 6:4;8:4;10:6; summarize (1) synonymously (1) 61:9,16,24;63:11; 12:15:13:13 59:3 standards (1) 46:19 65:20;68:19,22;70:8; summarized (1) 50:18 strike (1) 74:8,14,17,21,22,25; Т 64:25 76:5,8,13;77:12; standing (1) 50:13 summarizing (2) 79:14 strong (2) 78:7;79:10;80:20 Stanley (1) 58:6;61:22 45:12;56:6 table (4) thanking (1) strongly (1) summary (5) 15:19;57:7;82:12, 37:12 72:6 60:17,17,19;64:19, stars (1) 57:19 15 that'll (4) 44:25 structural (3) 20 tactic (1) 19:22;23:5;30:13; start (5) 42:25;46:18;51:1 summer (2) 82:3 83:18 structure (16) 36:13;69:6;74:17; 33:20;46:4 takeaways (1) thereafter (1) 35:15;39:25;40:4, 79:9,14 summertime (1) 41:16 28:22 6,8,15;43:21;46:10; talk (3) there'll (1) started (3) 78:10 55:21;68:13;73:23 47:16;48:10,12;49:3, sunset (1) 20:20;21:9;43:9 68:10 starting (1) 15;50:1;55:10;59:8 54:10 thereof (1) talking (1) 46:7 structured (1) superpriority (1) 62:11 56:2 stated (3) talks (1) thinking (1) 48:1 55:17 45:12;63:18;64:5 structures (1) superseded (1) 58:17 80:2 statement (2) 40:16 24:23 tape (3) third (9) 65:21;66:6 structuring (1) superseding (1) 73:20;75:4;78:7 19:14;20:6;32:20; statements (1) 49:25 43:8;48:7;74:1,1,4; 25:1 tapes (7) 63:20 stuck (1) super-summarize (1) 77:24 73:19;75:12,13,17, STATES (6) 47:9 46:13 18,23;78:7 third-(1) 6:2,3;15:2;16:3; studying (1) supervision (1) task (2) 72:9 61:2;62:24 71:5 61:8 67:7;79:1 third-party (2) status (5) stuff (5) supervisors (1) tax-free (1) 29:6;72:7 15:6:67:18:69:11: 46:18:48:25:52:1: 55:2 thirteen-day (1) 28:23 71:14.18 57:25;69:6 supplemental (3) team (1) 72:9 stav (3) SubCon (3) 26:6.12:33:8 79:15 thirteenth (1) 19:20:44:8:45:1 65:22,24;66:1 Supply (1) 24:21 **TEASDALE (4)** subject (1) 21:13 thirty (2) staying (2) 8:11:16:18:68:17; 44:1.7 51:3 support (1) 71:10 68:16:81:3 submit (2) technical (3) **STB** (1) 80:14 thirty-eight (2) Supreme (1) 23:13;26:6 36:12:59:4:66:12 46:23:47:5 19:11 thirty-five (3) step (5) submitted (3) 61:1 technologies (1) 47:20,21;63:22,25; 25:22:26:1.18 Sure (9) 70:13 46:23,25;47:4 64:6 subpoena (2) 19:2;30:10;31:14; telephone (1) though (2) step-by-step (1) 68:14;72:10 36:10;65:7;68:9; 15:24 80:17;83:12 subpoenas (1) thought (5) 66:9 75:9;77:16;82:9 **TELEPHONICALLY (8)** 73:14 **STEPHEN (1)** Surety (3) 18:25;21:17;39:4; 6:17:7:19,20:8:8: subsequent (1) 12:3;17:18,23 10:18 10:18;11:9;13:25; 51:24;64:19 63:25 surprised (1) 14:8 thoughts (1) steps (2) 63:25;74:23 subsidiaries (2) 41:20 64:17 telling (1) STEVEN (3) 78:15,16 surprises (1) 82:16 thousands (2) 8:17;16:17;71:9 substance (4) 35:21 tells (1) 43:6;45:22 still (6) 21:7:22:1:23:10; Surratt-States (1) 67:17 three (5) 31:21;44:19;45:20; 64:7 39:8;42:17;46:1; 15:4temporary (1) 82:19 49:9;61:19;75:9 substantial (1) survival (1) 48:4;75:5 stipulated (1) 59:4 58:6 ten (1) tick (1) substantially (1) 68:20 50:7 26:1 survive (1) timeline (1) 32:3 41:19 tendered (4)

stipulation (1) 25:22 STITES (2) 10:2;17:18 stock (3) 24:16;49:2,16 stopped (1) 63:2

substantive (3)

subtle (1)

51:2

subtly (1)

54:21

suggest (2)

61:24;62:13,16

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250

22:8,9,15,23;23:14,

23;24:2,10,18,19;

(15) STAHL - time-sensitive

time-sensitive (1)

34:21

timely (1)

48:24

40:12

times (2) 48:23;82:10

41:6,8;48:14;66:18

tenth (2)

term (2)

23:16,22

53:18:59:4

termination (5)

48:6,17;49:7;

Min-U-Script®

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

surviving (1)

suspenders (1)

25:2

63:9

sustain (12)

CaseAinton500ALQOR Case No. 12-51502		13 Entered 08/21 9g 103 of 105	/13 14:46:33 Mai	n Document August 20, 2013
timetable (4)	17:19	53:17;56:2;57:19;	UNIDENTIFIED (2)	16
35:19;37:15;70:9,	tremendous (1)	63:23;66:2,12;73:14;	82:23;83:2	used (3)
18	32:8	74:1,1;77:24;80:2,8	o2.25,05.2 uniform (1)	29:1;34:2;75:10
timing (2)	52:8 trial (3)		58:8	
	33:24,25;47:8	<b>two-party</b> (1) 56:3	union (30)	<b>uses (1)</b> 59:21
80:20;82:8		two-week (1)	39:9,21;40:19;	
<b>tiny (1)</b> 64:17	<b>Triangle (1)</b> 13:23	72:9	41:13;43:13,17;	<b>usually (1)</b> 59:19
Tire (1)	trickle (1)	type (5)	44:18;47:14,21;48:5,	utilize (1)
26:15	80:19	27:22;29:2,6;	9,15,15;49:24;50:11;	29:8
tired (1)	tried (1)	33:17;54:11	52:19;53:5,8,22;54:4,	utilized (1)
37:16	46:19			27:24
tireless (1)		<b>typical (1)</b> 59:25	23;57:2;61:13,16; 62:20,23;65:16;66:2;	utilizing (1)
41:23	<b>trigger (1)</b> 54:6	typically (1)	67:5;70:24	29:19
today (16)	triggered (1)	33:11	unionized (1)	utterly (1)
15:12,24;16:19;	34:10	typo (1)	39:24	82:9
21:6;25:7,18;26:7;	triggering (1)	66:14	union's (5)	82.9
38:7,19;60:16;61:11;	54:9	00.14	41:7;44:14;49:17;	V
62:12;63:2,20;71:24;	triggers (1)	U	50:2;55:18	•
79:14	53:16	U	UNITED (11)	VA (1)
today's (1)	truly (2)	ultimately (6)	6:2,3;11:13;13:20;	VA (1) 13:23
38:12	44:13;62:15	ultimately (6)	15:2,23,24;16:3;	
		39:19;41:13;42:3; 45:6;47:22;48:6	61:1;62:24;67:3	valid (1) 52:20
<b>together (5)</b> 20:21;48:2,11;	<b>trust (15)</b> 26:24;27:15,21;	<b>Um-hum (3)</b>	unless (2)	
· · · ·				Valley (2)
69:24;77:8	28:19,20,22;29:1,8,	51:15;54:16;81:12	35:21;40:5	11:3;18:21
told (2)	14,17;30:6;45:10;	UMWA (9)	<b>unlike (1)</b> 43:15	valuation (1)
41:21;69:9	58:17;59:10,15	13:12;17:4;38:14;		36:9
tomorrow (1)	trusted (1)	41:24;42:9;43:15,18;	unopposed/supported (1)	value (1)
57:5	66:7	45:10;60:12	33:1	49:13
ton(1)	<b>Trustee (7)</b>	unable (1)	unrepresented (2)	variant (1)
47:11 tong (1)	6:3;12:14;16:3,25;	48:6	21:3;23:19	37:22
$\frac{\text{tons } (1)}{47.12}$	26:5;30:11;63:17	unbelievably (1)	Unsecured (3)	variety (4)
47:12	trustees (1)	47:20	6:12;7:3;15:18	34:9;36:11;45:15;
took (2)	29:16	unchanged (1)	unsurprisingly (1)	78:19
40:18;56:16	<b>Trusts (2)</b> 13:12;60:1	35:17	33:14	various (5)
tools (1)		under (20)	unsustainable (1)	21:19;37:18;60:18;
76:21	try (4)	43:14;44:6;48:15,	44:5	66:23;77:15
top (3)	20:3;70:7;76:22;	24;49:3;50:17,17;	unusual (1)	vast (1)
52:17;54:14;68:23	80:4	52:6;54:10;55:4;	22:1	42:13 VEBA (20)
<b>total (1)</b> 74:3	trying (4)	59:4;61:8;62:7;64:3;	<b>up</b> ( <b>19</b> )	
	55:21;77:16;79:18;	65:16;67:2,9;69:10; 77:1_12	18:25;20:23;21:12; 27:20;34:11;38:18,	26:24;27:14,20; 28:19,20,22;29:8,14,
touched (1) 78:25	80:23 Tuesday (1)	77:1,12	27:20;34:11;38:18, 23;39:17;45:21;	
	<b>Tuesday (1)</b> 49:23	underbrush (1)		17;30:6;43:10;45:10, 16,21;46:7,22;47:12,
towards (1)		32:8 underlying (2)	48:25;53:20;59:10;	
67:13 town (1)	turn (2)		60:1,23;62:23;74:12; 79:16;83:18,21	20;48:10,15
<b>town (1)</b> 40:24	45:25;48:11	31:25;66:15		<b>venue (2)</b>
	<b>TURNER (4)</b> 13:8:16:8:0:14	<b>undermine</b> (1) 62:2	<b>upcoming (1)</b> 33:23	40:25;41:1 version (1)
track (3)	13:8;16:8,9,14			
21:22;34:17;71:25	turns (1)	understands (1)	<b>update (1)</b> 68:4	50:24
transaction (2)	52:15	41:12		VFA (20)
52:6;79:12	tweak (1)	understated (1)	upon (7)	43:10;46:21;47:6;
transactions (1)	66:11	71:24	58:17,18;62:10;	48:2,5,19,24;49:7;
78:19	tweaks (1)	understood (2)	65:4;71:21;76:19;	50:3;51:2,4,6,9,18;
transfer (2)	51:8	41:13;52:3	83:16	52:10,16,18;53:23;
78:17,18	twelfth (1)	undertake (1)	upset (1)	55:22;62:19
transferred (2)	24:13	70:12	35:23	viable (1)
78:16,18	twenty (2)	undertaking (1)	upside (1)	40:8
transfers (1)	36:14;50:6	83:23	47:14	vicinity (1)
59:22	twenty-three (1)	unexpired (1)	urge (1)	74:2
transition (2)	73:19	19:11	63:10	view (9)
45:8,23	two (23)	unfortunately (3)	urges (1)	33:2;34:25,25;
transparency (1)	24:16;26:10;30:10;	41:16,18;82:6	60:12	35:7;36:18;50:11;
28:11 Travelorg (1)	34:7,8;41:4,8;44:19;	unheralded (1)	use (4)	51:20;53:6;55:14
Travelers (1)	46:1;47:9;48:17;	35:22	28:24;41:22;59:2,	viewed (1)

24 <b>121011500</b> ALQOR Case No. 12-51502		/13 Entered 08/21 Pg 104 of 105	L/13 14:46:33 Ma	ain Document August 20, 2013
57:11	weren't (3)	11:13;13:20;15:23,	10153 (1)	
violated (2)	31:14;63:5;75:17	25;43:14;67:3	14:5	2
62:13,16	West (3)	workforce (1)	105 (2)	
virtually (3)	8:4;10:6;12:15	39:24	61:19;62:7	2 (2)
42:1;50:25;69:2	Westchester (2)	working (12)	10th (1)	23:7;51:16
virtue (1)	10:5;17:20	19:2;31:3;32:1;	6:4	<b>20</b> (1)
52:22	what's (7)	37:17;48:2;58:3;	11 (4)	46:9
visual (1)	32:11;33:13;51:7;	69:24;73:2;74:4;	33:15;57:3;80:22;	200 (2)
74:22	55:4;63:22;68:4;	79:14,15;80:12	81:8	13:22;78:24
voluminous (2)	69:13	works (2)	11:48 (1)	<b>20036 (1)</b>
60:18;66:15	Whereupon (1)	31:1;51:5	82:20	13:15
voluntarily (1)	84:19	world (8)	111 (1)	<b>2004 (9)</b>
72:8	WHITNEY (2)	34:22;49:10;51:19;	6:4	68:1,19;70:15;
vote (3)	12:12;16:24	53:21;55:6;58:1;	1113 (7)	
40:18;41:15;52:22	whole (6)	64:20;65:25	33:24;40:2;43:14,	72:2,3,5;73:2,9,14
10.10, 11.13, 52.22	31:2;49:8;65:2;	worst (1)	19;45:6,17;66:3	2005 (3)
W	73:7;75:2;76:10	64:20	1114 (5)	75:6,7;78:20
••	WI (1)	wrangling (1)	27:18;45:7,18;	2008 (2)
	11:16	81:19	65:16;66:3	75:8;78:21
wage (1)				2013 (1)
43:21	WILLARD (3)	writing (2)	1177 (1)	45:13
wages (2)	7:9;15:16,17	66:24;81:15	6:14	2014 (2)
42:23;43:6	willing (2)	written (5)	12:07 (1)	46:17;83:20
waited (1)	47:15;52:23	29:25;32:17;36:1,	82:20	202 (1)
66:4	WILLKIE (2)	22;60:14	12:10 (1)	11:15
walk (4)	7:13;17:9	wrong (1)	84:19	22172 (1)
39:5;50:22;51:13;	WILSON (4)	22:3	120 (1)	13:23
56:21	14:18;16:19;71:17;		7:5	2300 (1)
walked (1)	83:15	Y	1200 (1)	10:7
79:25	winds (1)		8:5	2325 (1)
walking (1)	36:21	year (10)	1288 (1)	22:12
64:23	wish (10)	27:17;34:21;35:19;	23:17	23rd (1)
Walsh (1)	30:1,4;36:2,3,24;	42:6;45:13,13;46:6;	130 (2)	11:6
16:6	37:1;60:7;63:15;	47:23;77:5,6	42:6;45:6	<b>24</b> (1)
wants (3)	64:12,14	years (5)	13th (1)	19:12
65:1,14;77:14	withdraw (1)	36:14;40:3;42:8;	83:17	
Wardwell (2)	44:9	62:25;75:6	1449 (2)	24th (4)
15:10;69:22	withdrawal (1)	yellow (1)	20:12;21:12	19:17,25;20:10;
Washington (1)	19:15	65:10	150 (2)	24:1
13:15	withdrawing (2)	yesterday (1)	12:6;45:5	250 (1)
	44:5,19	66:8	12.0,45.5 1500 (1)	10:6
way (16)				25th (1)
21:17;22:20;27:21;	withdrawn (5)	York (6)	61:20 <b>15222 (1)</b>	74:11
31:24;46:13;47:25;	19:20;23:3,6;	6:15;7:17;10:16,		26th (2)
51:5;58:5;59:25;	25:21,25	16;12:16;14:5	11:7	27:17;28:2
64:4;72:5;75:18;	within (1)	-	1555 (1)	<b>29th</b> (1)
76:22;78:8,8;79:2	41:23	Z	11:14	83:14
ways (1)	without (6)		1650 (1)	
42:11	61:9;65:2,14,15;	zero (1)	12:5	3
Web (1)	72:20;81:19	44:25	1800 (2)	
50:24	wonderful (2)		7:6;8:14	3 (1)
week (7)	38:13;65:13	1	18354 (1)	52:17
25:22;26:1;42:1,1;	word (6)		13:21	<b>3,000 (1)</b>
45:25;47:23;84:18	39:13;46:19;65:12,	1(1)	19 (1)	68:25
weeks (4)	13,13,13	46:24	50:17	
59:20;68:19,20;	words (2)	1/1/14 (1)	190 (1)	<b>31 (3)</b> 24:15 21 24
80:3	54:13;66:10	46:7	13:4	34:15,21,24
weighted (2)	work (12)	40.7 10 (1)	<b>1920</b> (1)	315 (1)
29:9,11		83:17	13:13	22:17
29:9,11 WEIL (2)	711.3.311.77.21.8		13.13	<b>31st (1)</b>
NY 6 11 1 / 1	20:3;30:22;31:8,			
	12,23;36:19;42:23;	10019 (2)	1970 (1)	34:23
14:2;16:11	12,23;36:19;42:23; 43:20;57:4,6;67:5,11	<b>10019 (2)</b> 7:17;12:16	<b>1970 (1)</b> 61:1	34:23 <b>3421 (1)</b>
14:2;16:11 WELCH (5)	12,23;36:19;42:23; 43:20;57:4,6;67:5,11 worked (4)	<b>10019 (2)</b> 7:17;12:16 <b>10022 (1)</b>	<b>1970</b> (1) 61:1 <b>1974</b> (2)	34:23 <b>3421 (1)</b> 23:8
14:2;16:11 WELCH (5) 13:11,17;17:2,2,3	12,23;36:19;42:23; 43:20;57:4,6;67:5,11 <b>worked (4)</b> 27:21;57:10,15;	<b>10019 (2)</b> 7:17;12:16 <b>10022 (1)</b> 10:16	<b>1970</b> (1) 61:1 <b>1974</b> (2) 17:4;44:1	34:23 <b>3421 (1)</b>
14:2;16:11 WELCH (5)	12,23;36:19;42:23; 43:20;57:4,6;67:5,11 worked (4)	<b>10019 (2)</b> 7:17;12:16 <b>10022 (1)</b>	<b>1970</b> (1) 61:1 <b>1974</b> (2)	34:23 <b>3421 (1)</b> 23:8

Case No. 12-51502		Pg 105 of 105	 August 20,
61:3	7700 (1)		
	8:13		
4	7701 (2)		
4 (0)	9:4,14		
4 (2)	787 (1)		
53:5,15 <b>400 (1)</b>	7:16 <b>799 (1</b> )		
13:14	23:1		
40507 (1)	7th (2)		
10:8	73:10;83:7		
44114 (1)	ο		
14:15	8	_	
5	<b>80,000</b> (1) 74:3		
<b>5 (4)</b> 47:1;54:13,15;	9		
58:16	-	_	
500 (2)	901 (1)		
9:5,15	14:14		
51 (1)	<b>9019 (4)</b> 50:6 8:61:18:62:7		
12:15 <b>52nd (1)</b>	50:6,8;61:18;62:7 9019a (1)		
12:15	67:2		
53212 (1)	907 (1)		
11:16	61:20		
<b>55 (1)</b>	<b>93</b> (1)		
8:4 5th (1)	44:20 <b>99 (1)</b>		
83:16	61:3		
6	_		
6 (1)			
58:16			
<b>6.353</b> (1) 6:5			
600 (1)			
13:5			
601 (1)			
10:15			
60603 (1) <sup>9.6</sup>			
8:6 625 (1)			
11:5			
630,000 (3)			
76:8,10,14			
63102 (1)			
6:6 63105 (5)			
7:7;8:15;9:6,16;			
13:6			
63131 (1)			
12:7			
6th (1) 83:7			
	_		
7	_		
7 (1)			
54:18 767 (1)			
<b>767 (1)</b> 14:4			

### Case 12-51502 Doc 4503-1 Filed 08/21/13 Entered 08/21/13 14:46:33 Notice of Transcript BK Pg 1 of 1

#### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of Missouri Thomas F. Eagleton U.S. Courthouse 111 South Tenth Street, Fourth Floor St. Louis, MO 63102

In re: Debtor(s):

Patriot Coal Corporation

Case No.: 12-51502 -A659

### CHAPTER 11

### Notice of Filing of Transcript and of Deadlines Related to Restriction and Redaction

To: All Persons of Record at Hearing

A transcript of the proceeding held on August 20, 2013 was filed on August 21, 2013.

The following deadlines apply:

If you wish to have personal data identifiers redacted from the transcript, a *Request for Transcript Redaction* must be filed within 7 days of the date of this notice: August 28, 2013. Personal data identifiers <u>include</u>: **social security numbers, financial account numbers, names of minor children, and dates of birth**. If no such request is filed within the allotted time, the Court will presume redaction of personal data identifiers is not necessary.

Any party seeking redaction shall file a *Statement of Transcript Redactions* identifying the location of the personal data identifiers sought to be redacted within 21 days of the date of this notice:September 11, 2013. The party filing the statement shall serve it by regular mail upon all parties at the hearing and shall include a Certificate of Service listing the date and parties served. The *Statement of Transcript Redactions* event will be restricted from public view and cannot be served electronically through the CM/ECF system. If no Statement of Transcript Redactions is filed within the allotted time, the Court will presume redaction of personal identifiers is not necessary.

Any party may file a response in opposition to the Statement within 7 days of the date the Statement is filed using the *Response to Statement of Transcript Redactions* event. If a response in opposition to the Statement is filed, the Court will rule on the matter. If a hearing is needed, the Court will send notice of hearing.

If a request for redaction is filed, the redacted transcript is due within 31 days of the date of this notice: September 23, 2013.

The transcript may be made available for remote electronic access upon expiration of the restriction period, which is 90 days from the date of filing of the transcript: November 19, 2013, unless extended by court order. However, during this 90–day period the transcript is available for viewing only during normal business hours at the Clerk's office.

Any questions regarding the transcript process should be directed to Matt Parker, Director of Courtroom Services, at (314) 244–4801.

FOR THE COURT:

/s/Dana C. McWay Clerk of Court

Dated: 8/21/13

**Copies Mailed To:** Brian Walsh, 211 N. Broadway #3600, St. Louis MO 63102 Rev. 12/10